#### 1 STUART G. GROSS (State Bar No. 251019) JOSEPH R. SAVERI (State Bar No. 130064) 2 sgross@gross-law.com jsaveri@saverilawfirm.com **GROSS LAW, P.C.** ANDREW M. PURDY (State Bar No. 261912) 3 The Embarcadero apurdy@saverilawfirm.com Pier 9, Suite 100 KEVIN E. RAYHILL (State Bar No. 267496) 4 San Francisco, CA 94111 krayhill@saverilawfirm.com t (415) 671-4628 JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM, INC. 5 f (415) 480-6688 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 625 6 San Francisco, CA 94111 t (415) 500-6800 7 f (415) 395-9940 8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs the Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians and the Paskenta Enterprises Corporation 9 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 PASKENTA BAND OF NOMLAKI INDIANS; Case No. 13 and PASKENTA ENTERPRISES CORPORATION, **COMPLAINT** 14 Plaintiffs, 15 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** V. 16 17 INES CROSBY; JOHN CROSBY; LESLIE LOHSE; LARRY LOHSE; TED PATA; JUAN 18 PATA; CHRIS PATA; SHERRY MYERS; FRANK JAMES; UMPQUA BANK; UMPQUA 19 HOLDINGS CORPORATION; GARTH **MOORE**; GARTH MOORE INSURANCE 20 AND FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.: 21 ASSOCIATED PENSION CONSULTANTS, INC.; HANESS & ASSOCIATES, LLC: 22 ROBERT M. HANESS; THE PATRIOT GOLD & SILVER EXCHANGE, INC.; and 23 NORMAN R. RYAN, 24 Defendants, 25 QUICKEN LOANS INC., 26 Nominal Defendant. 27 28

GROSS LAW, P.C. THE EMBARCADERO PIER 9, SUITE 100 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

COMPLAINT

GROSS LAW, P.C. THE EMBARCADERO PIER 9, SUITE 100 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

NATURE	OF TH	E ACT	ON	1
JURISDIO	CTION .	AND V	ENUE	6
PARTIES				7
I.	PLAIN	NTIFFS		7
II.	DEFI	ENDAN	TS	7
	A.	The F	RICO Defendants	7
		1.	The RICO Ringleaders	7
		2.	The Other RICO Defendants	8
		3.	The Abettor Defendants	9
		4.	Nominal Defendant	12
FACTUA	L ALLI	EGATIO	ONS	12
I.	RICO compa	Defenda ny, Usin	ants Take Control of the Tribe and its Non-Casino Business Holding ng racketeering means	12
	A.	Histo	ry and Background of the Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians	14
		1.	The Nomlaki People's Origins and Early Contact with European Settlers	14
		2.	Indian Removal by the United States Government and the Creation and Termination of Paskenta Rancheria	. 14
		3.	Restoration of the Paskenta Band of the Nomlaki Indians, the Establishment of a Tribal Government and Its Economic Self-Sufficiency	15
	B.		CO Ringleaders Gain Membership in the Tribe and Through mate and Coercive Means Begin Taking Control of the Tribe	18
	C.		g Hills Casino Was Established and Became Successful With Little ance from the RICO Ringleaders	. 22
	D.	Wrong	CO Ringleaders Employed a Pattern of Racketeering and Other ful Means to Consolidate and Maintain Their Control Over the Tribe Non-Casino Business Ventures	
		1.	RICO Ringleaders Rigged the Electoral Process to Ensure the Continued Election of Leslie Lohse to the Position of Treasurer and Compliant Individuals to the Other Council Seats	
		2.	The RICO Ringleaders Consolidated Their Control of the Tribe by Tightly Controlling the Flow of Information	28

2	3. The RICO Ringleaders Purchased the Silence of Others and Recruited Them into the RICO Enterprise Through Bribery
3	4. The RICO Ringleaders Exploited Tribe Members' Economic Reliance
4	on Per Capita Payments to Quash any Opposition to Their Control, Real or Perceived
5	II. Rico Defendants, with Substantial Assistance from Abettor Defendants, Stole
6	Millions of Dollars in Tribal Funds Through a Pattern of Fraud and Outrageous Self- Dealing
7	A. The RICO Ringleaders, with Substantial Assistance from the Abettor
8	Defendants, Used Their Control of the Tribe to Cause it and Tribe-Owned Businesses to Pay Them and Their Family Grossly Excessive and Unauthorized Compensation
9	
10	Excessive and Unauthorized Non-Retirement Compensation Paid by the Tribe and Casino
11	2. With Substantial Assistance from Abettor Defendants Haness, Moore, and APC, the RICO Ringleaders Caused the Tribe to Pay Them
12	Extraordinary Amounts in Retirement Compensation
13	3. Excessive and Unauthorized Compensation Caused to be Indirectly Paid to the RICO Defendants by the Tribe through Tribe-Owned
14	Businesses
15 16	4. Total Amounts of Excessive and Unauthorized Compensation Discovered Thus Far
	B. The RICO Ringleaders, with Substantial Assistance from the Abettor
17 18	Defendants and Certain Co-RICO Defendants, Used Their Control of the Tribe's Bank Accounts to Convert and Embezzle Millions of Dollars of the Tribe's Money
19 20	1. With Substantial Assistance from Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank, the RICO Ringleaders Directly Stole Millions in Tribal Money from the Tribe's Bank Accounts at Umpqua Bank
21	2. The RICO Defendants Directly Stole Millions in Tribal Money from
22	the Tribe's Bank Accounts at Cornerstone Bank
23	3. The RICO Defendants Directly Stole Several Hundred Thousand Dollars in Tribal Money from the Tribe's Bank Accounts at US Bank
24	81
25	4. The RICO Defendants Directly Stole Tens of Thousands in Tribal Money from the Tribe's Bank Accounts at Rabobank
26	5. The RICO Defendants Directly Stole Tens of Thousands in Tribal Money from the Tribe's Bank Accounts at Premier West Bank 82
27	C. The RICO Ringleaders Used Their Control of the Tribe's Bank Accounts to
28	Conduct Fraudulent Transactions that Resulted in the Transfer of Tribal Money to the RICO Ringleaders

GROSS LAW, P.C. THE EMBARCADERO PIER 9, SUITE 100 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

1	1. Fraudulent Circuit Transactions in the Tribe's Accounts at Tri
2	Counties Bank 83
3	\$192,000 Loan of Tribal Money to RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby's     Future Brother-In-Law
5	3. After Using the Tribe's Money to Purchase Luxury Homes for Himself, RICO Ringleader John Crosby Took Out Large Home Equity
6	Loans on the Homes
7	D. The RICO Ringleaders, with Substantial Assistance from Abettor Defendants Umpqua Bank Used, Without Authorization or Consent, Millions of Dollars
8	of the Tribe's Money to Purchase Goods and Services Personally Consumed by the RICO Defendants
9   10	1. The RICO Ringleaders Caused the Tribe to Pay for Tens of Millions of Dollars in Personal Travel by Private Jet for Them and Their Family Members
11	2. The RICO Ringleaders Used Tribal Money to Pay for Two Luxury
12	Homes for RICO Ringleader John Crosby and Extensive Renovations to the Homes of all Four RICO Ringleaders
13 14	3. The RICO Ringleaders Caused the Tribe to Purchase Numerous Luxury Vehicles for Them and Their Co-RICO Defendants, with Substantial Assistance from Umpqua Bank
15	4. The RICO Ringleaders Used Tribal Money to Pay for Numerous Luxury Sporting Events for Themselves
16 17	5. The RICO Ringleaders, with Substantial Assistance from Abettor Defendants Umpqua Bank and The Patriot, Used Tribal Money to Pay
18	for Numerous other Personal Expenses
19	E. The RICO Ringleaders Used Their Control Over the Tribe's Money to Divert Millions to Their Relatives and Friends
20	F. The Tribe Is Continuing to Investigate What Happened to Millions of Dollars that Went Missing
21 22	III. RICO Defendants Engaged in a Concerted Effort to Hide Their Scheme to Defraud and Evade Liability Therefor
23	A. The RICO Defendants Misled the Tribe and Its Members Regarding the
24	Tribe's Finances 103
25	B. RICO Defendants Fabricated "Employment Agreements" to Fraudulently Justify their Theft of Tribal Resources
26	C. In Response to Discovery by the Tribe of Defendants' Scheme and Actions Taken by it to Prevent its Continuance, RICO Defendants' Launched a Cyber-
27	Attack on the Tribe, With the Purpose and Intent of Obstructing Efforts to Hold RICO Defendants Criminally and Civilly Liable
28	Tiola raco Bolondanto Chiminany and Civiny Liable

GROSS LAW, P.C. THE EMBARCADERO PIER 9, SUITE 100 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

1	
2	D. The RICO Defendants Resorted to Armed Physical Intimidation in a Last Ditch Effort to Maintain Their Control Over the Tribe and its Money 114
3	FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT AND EQUITABLE TOLLING
4	CLAIMS FOR RELIEF
5	First Claim for Relief (Violations of RICO – Conduct of a RICO Enterprise, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c)) (Against the RICO Defendants)
6 7	Second Claim for Relief (Violations of RICO – Taking Control of an Enterprise, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(b)) (Against the RICO Defendants)
8	Third Claim for Relief (Violations of RICO – Investment of Racketeering Proceeds, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(a)) (Against RICO Ringleaders John Crosby and Larry Lohse)
10	Fourth Claim for Relief (Conspiracy to Violate Section 1962(c) of RICO – Conduct of a RICO Enterprise, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d)) (Against the RICO Defendants)
11 12	Fifth Claim for Relief (Conspiracy to Violate Section 1962(b) of RICO – Taking Control of an Enterprise, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d)) (Against the RICO Defendants)
13	Sixth Claim for Relief (Conspiracy to Violate Section 1962(a) of RICO – Investment of Racketeering Proceeds, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d)) (Against RICO Ringleaders John Crosby and Larry Lohse)
14 15	Seventh Claim for Relief (Violation of the Federal Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(3)) (Against the RICO Defendants)
16	Eighth Claim for Relief (Violation of the California Comprehensive Computer Data Access and Fraud Act, Cal. Penal Code, §502) (Against the RICO Defendants)
17 18	Ninth Claim for Relief (Conversion under California Law) (Against the RICO Defendants)
19	Tenth Claim for Relief (Fraudulent Concealment under California Law) (Against the RICO Defendants)
20 21	Eleventh Claim for Relief (Fraudulent Misrepresentation under California Law) (Against the RICO Defendants)
22	Twelfth Claim for Relief (Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Relations under California Law) (Against the RICO Defendants)
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	Thirteenth Claim for Relief (Breach of Fiduciary Duty of Undivided Loyalty under California Law) (Against the RICO Defendants)
25	Fourteenth Claim for Relief (Breach of Fiduciary Duty of Reasonable Care under California Law) (Against the RICO Defendants)
26 27	Fifteenth Claim for Relief (Common Count – Money Had and Received under California Law) (Against the RICO Defendants)
28	Sixteenth Claim for Relief (Civil Conspiracy under California Law) (Against the RICO Defendants)

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 6 of 171

	Case 2.13-at-00324   Document 1   Flied 03/10/13   Fage 0 01 1/1			
1	Consider the Claim for Dalief (Aiding and Alastina DICO Defendants) Communication and			
2	Seventeenth Claim for Relief (Aiding and Abetting - RICO Defendants' Conversion Breaches of Fiduciary Duties) (Against RICO Defendants)			
3	Eighteenth Claim for Relief (Common Law Negligence) (Against Umpqua Bank and Umpqua Holdings Corporation)			
4				
5	Nineteenth Claim for Relief (Statutory Negligence, Cal. U.C.C. § 3405(b)) (Against Umpqua Bank and Umpqua Holdings Corporation)			
6	Twentieth Claim for Relief (Aiding and Abetting - RICO Defendants' Conversion an Breaches of Fiduciary Duties) (Against Umpqua Bank and Umpqua Holdings Corporation)			
7				
8	Twenty-First Claim for Relief (Common Law Negligence) (Against APC, Moore, and Haness)			
9				
10	Twenty-Second Claim for Relief (Breach of Fiduciary Duties) (Against APC, Moore, and Haness)			
11	Twenty-Third Claim for Relief (Aiding and Abetting - RICO Defendants' Conversion			
12	and Breaches of Fiduciary Duties ) (Against APC, Moore, and Haness)			
13	Twenty-Fourth Claim for Relief (Aiding and Abetting - RICO Ringleader John Crosby's Conversion and Breach of Fiduciary Duties) (Against The Patriot)160			
14	Twenty-Fifth Claim for Relief (Restitution) (Against all Defendants)			
15	DEMAND FOR JUDGMENT			
16	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

GROSS LAW, P.C. THE EMBARCADERO PIER 9, SUITE 100 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 7 of 171

Plaintiffs bring this federal question action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C § 1367 for damages, restitution, and injunctive relief under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act ("RICO"), 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961 *et seq.*, the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(3), and the laws the State of California. Plaintiffs allege the following facts based on the knowledge of the Tribe and on information and belief as to all other allegations:

### NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. Through this action the Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians (the "Tribe"), on behalf of its three hundred plus Tribal members, together with its principal business vehicle, the Paskenta Enterprises Corporation ("PEC") seek to hold responsible a cadre of individuals who, over the course of approximately 17 years, took over control of the Tribal government and PEC. Through a concerted and systematic program of fraud, coercion, intimidation, extortion, bribery and deception, these individuals stole and otherwise diverted tens of millions dollars in Tribal money for their own personal benefit, as well as for those who substantially assisted them in this scheme.
- 2. Though the Tribe was formally restored and recognized by the federal government as a sovereign entity in 1994, its members did not truly begin the process of establishing real self-determination until <u>April 2014</u>, when the ringleaders of the unlawful scheme alleged herein were finally removed from power. This action represents an important step in the process of achieving that self-determination and establishing a truly representative, democratic, and transparent system of Tribal governance, in which those who steal from the Tribe, and those who substantially assist in such thefts, are held accountable for their actions.
- 3. Defendants Ines Crosby, John Crosby, Leslie Lohse, and Larry Lohse (collectively, the "RICO Ringleaders"), along with those they eventually brought into the ambit of their scheme—Defendants Ted Pata, Juan "Jon" Pata, Chris Pata, Sherry Myers, and Frank James (collectively with the RICO Ringleaders, the "RICO Defendants")—took control of the Tribe and PEC, and then used their control to steal and embezzle from the Tribe with impunity. The RICO Defendants, particularly the RICO Ringleaders, treated the Tribe's coffers as an ATM with no withdrawal limit.

- 4. The Tribe has only just begun the process of identifying and quantifying, in the limited records it has, the total amounts stolen by RICO Ringleaders during the course of their scheme, and the Tribe is still regularly discovering additional thefts. However, the amounts discovered so far are eye-popping.
- 5. The Tribe has discovered <u>over approximately \$20 million</u> in unauthorized and outrageously excessive retirement and non-retirement compensation paid to the RICO Ringleaders by the Tribe and its businesses ("Tribe-Owned Businesses") during an approximately 12 year period. This includes, for example, approximately \$954,123 paid in a single year to RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby purportedly for the minimal services she performed as Tribal Administrator.
- 6. The RICO Ringleaders also regularly withdrew large lump sums from the Tribe's bank accounts for personal purposes that are likely to total approximately another \$10 million at the least. For example, during an approximately fourteen-month period, RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby, withdrew over \$755,000 in cash from the Tribe's bank accounts at just one bank, while during the same period she and RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse wrote themselves and their co-RICO Defendant Sherry Myers approximately another \$40,000 in checks from the same accounts. As a further example, during a different approximately fourteen-month period, RICO Ringleader John Crosby made cash withdrawals and wrote checks to himself or RICO Ringleader Larry Lohse from the Tribe's accounts at a different bank that totaled over \$1.24 million dollars. So brazen were the RICO Ringleaders that in many instances the RICO Ringleaders immediately exchanged the large lump sums of cash they withdrew for cashier's checks to purchase luxury homes and sports cars.
- 7. It didn't end there. The RICO Ringleaders, on a regular basis, simply used the Tribe's bank accounts to pay their personal expenses in amounts that likely total **approximately another \$10 million**. For example, RICO Ringleader John Crosby—after embezzling approximately \$840,000 from the Tribe to purchase a luxury home (which he quickly used to secure home equity lines of credit totaling \$617,000)—wrote checks to various vendors totaling approximately \$650,000 from one of the Tribe's bank accounts for massive renovations of his

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 9 of 171

newly purchased home. As a further example, during a thirteen-month period, RICO Ringleaders paid almost \$500,000 in American Express bills from one of the Tribe's accounts, including almost \$210,000 for charges incurred in just three months. And just a week and half before her removal from power, RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby wrote check for \$93,331.05 from the same Tribal account to purchase a brand new Mercedes.

- 8. The RICO Ringleaders, furthermore, used their control of the Tribe and its money to make purchases and investments that personally served the RICO Ringleaders and brought no value to the Tribe. These purchases and investments that likely total **approximately** another **\$20 million**. These purchases include, for example, approximately \$17 million spent on expenses related to private jet travel by the RICO Ringleaders and/or their family members, the overwhelming majority of which was entirely or mainly for personal purposes. Again, the RICO Ringleaders' brazenness was manifest. RICO Ringleaders Leslie and Larry Lohse's son, Kyle Lohse, a major league baseball pitcher currently with the Milwaukee Brewers, and his teammates, at the time, from the Saint Louis Cardinals used a private jet purchased and maintained by the Tribe so often that, at certain airports, it became known as, paraphrasing, "the plane that the baseball players always use."
- 9. The Tribe's investigation to date has also revealed that the RICO Ringleaders shared the proceeds of their unlawful with certain other RICO Defendants, as well as other members of their family.
- 10. In short, while the vast majority of the Tribe's members were depending for most, if not virtually all, of their income, on yearly per capita payments from the Tribe of approximately \$50,000, the RICO Ringleaders were embezzling millions of dollars of the Tribe's money to support absurdly luxurious lifestyles of private jet travel, luxury homes, highend vacations, custom sports cars, and high profile sporting events. Every dollar that the RICO Ringleaders stole reduced the amount available for the Tribe to spend on efforts to improve the livelihoods of its members. Insidiously, this served the RICO Ringleaders perfectly.
- 11. The RICO Ringleaders had no interest in improving the financial condition of Tribe members. The Tribe members' dependence on their per capita payments for survival, in

combination with Tribal law that provides for temporary or permanent loss of per capita payments in the event of, respectively, suspension or disenrollment from the Tribe, provided the RICO Ringleaders with a powerful weapon to defend their control. It was a weapon that they routinely used. Tribe members who challenged the RICO Ringleaders were threatened with disenrollment or suspension; and those who were punished in this way were routinely presented to other Tribe members as examples.

- 12. In <u>April 2014</u>, in an impressive and inspiring expression of self-determination and democracy, the Tribe came together and removed the RICO Ringleaders from power. The Rico Ringleaders did not, however, go quietly.
- 13. In an effort to both retake control of the Tribe and prevent discovery of their criminal enterprise and the illegal benefits that they derived from it, the RICO Defendants launched an armed assault on the Tribe's Rolling Hills Casino (the "Casino"), in coordination with a destructive cyber-attack on the Casino and Tribe's computer systems. The RICO Defendants intended these attacks to shut down the Casino—far and away the most significant source of income for the Tribe and its members—to force the Tribe to allow them back in control, essentially using the economic welfare of every other Tribe member as a hostage. They further intended to, and did, destroy electronically stored information that could be used as evidence of their years of criminal activity.
- 14. Tellingly and remarkably, after these efforts failed, the RICO Ringleaders proposed, as a "settlement" with the Tribe, that their chosen candidates, including RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse, withdraw from the upcoming Tribal Council elections, in exchange for *inter alia*: the Tribe's agreement not to seek repayment of the millions of dollars of Tribal money that the RICO Ringleaders embezzled for personal purposes; the Tribe's agreement to allow the RICO Ringleaders to form and operate Tribal businesses; payment to the RICO Ringleaders of 12 months of severance based on the unauthorized and excessive compensation they were previously paid; and the Tribe's agreement to "[w]aive all claims, including but not limited to *wrongdoing, criminal action, embezzlement*, etc., as against Swearinger Group and

Employees, as appropriate, and *specifically against Leslie Lohse*, *John Crosby*, *Larry Lohse*, and *Ines Crosby*." (emphasis added).

- 15. The Tribe refused the offer; and all of the RICO Ringleaders' chosen candidates, including Leslie Lohse, were soundly defeated.
- 16. The conduct of the RICO Defendants alleged herein violates Sections (a), (b), (c), and (d) of RICO, 18 U.S.C. § 1962. The RICO Defendants took and retained control of the Tribe and PEC through a pattern of racketeering activity in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(b). To accomplish this and their ultimate scheme to defraud the Tribe, the RICO Defendants formed their own RICO enterprise (the "RICO Enterprise") and engaged in numerous acts of racketeering activity in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c). RICO Ringleaders John Crosby and Larry Lohse further violated 18 U.S.C. § 1962(a) by investing the proceeds of their racketeering activities in a manner that independently injured the Tribe, denying it investment opportunities that they took for themselves using money stolen from the Tribe. And by conspiring to do all of these things, the RICO Defendants violated 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d).
- 17. The actions taken by the RICO Defendants in furtherance of their scheme additionally violated numerous other provisions of federal and state law, including without limitation, the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(3), the California Comprehensive Computer Data Access and Fraud Act, Cal. Penal Code, §502, and California common law prohibiting conversion and breach of fiduciary duty.
- 18. The Tribe's investigation into the RICO Defendants' wrongdoing is ongoing, and the RICO Ringleaders' took calculated efforts to avoid creating a paper trail of their unlawful actions and later orchestrated a massive and illegal attack on the Tribe's computer systems to destroy evidence of their wrongdoing. As detailed herein, the Tribe's general allegations of wrongdoing are, nonetheless, corroborated by extensive factual detail. These detailed allegations are, in significant part, based on the findings of an impartial internal investigation conducted by the international law firm of Wilmer Hale conducted on behalf of the Tribe in the wake of the RICO Ringleaders' removal, as part of a mediated process involving the RICO Ringleaders.

- entities and individuals that gave substantial assistance to the RICO Defendants in commission of their illegal actions against the Tribe. They include: Umpqua Bank and its parent Umpqua Holdings Corporation; Garth Moore Insurance and Financial Services, Inc. and its owner, Garth Moore; Associated Pension Consultants; Haness & Associates, LLC and its owner, Robert M. Haness; and The Patriot Gold & Silver Exchange, Inc., and its president and principal, Norman R. Ryan. These individuals and entities (collectively, the "Abettor Defendants," collectively with RICO Defendants, "Defendants") knowingly gave such assistance to the RICO Defendants in their commission of the unlawful acts alleged herein and/or in violation of their own independent duties. The Abettor Defendants were motivated to assist the RICO Defendants because in doing so they collectively earned themselves millions of dollars in wrongful profits at the Tribe's expense.
- 20. Through this action, Plaintiffs seek damages and equitable relief—including preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, as well as restitution and declaratory relief—against each of the Defendants. This action is seeks to clean up the mess that Defendants created and hold them responsible for it.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 21. Plaintiffs bring this action seeking damages, including treble damages, costs of suit, equitable relief, and reasonable attorney's fees arising from Defendants' violations of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961, *et seq.*, the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(3), and California state law.
- 22. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337, 18 U.S.C. § 1964, and 18 U.S.C. § 1030.
- 23. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1965, 28 U.S.C. § 1391, and because certain Defendants reside and conduct their affairs in this District.

has owned and operated the Casino in Corning, California since 2002.

**PARTIES** 

recognized Indian tribe headquartered at 2655 Everett Freeman Way, Corning, CA. The Tribe

"Tribe" should be understood to refer collectively to both, except where context indicates

Plaintiff the Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians (the "Tribe") is a federally

Plaintiff Paskenta Enterprises Corporation ("PEC") is a federally chartered

The "Tribe" is used herein to collectively refer to the Tribe and PEC, and the

Defendant John Crosby is a resident of Redding, California. Mr. Crosby was the

Defendant Ines Crosby is a resident of Orland, California. She was the Tribal

1

2

3

#### I. **PLAINTIFFS**

24.

25.

26.

otherwise.

corporation owned by the Tribe.

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

#### II. **DEFENDANTS**

27.

28.

12

#### **The RICO Defendants** A.

13

#### 1. The RICO Ringleaders

14 15

Tribe's Economic Development Director from 2001 until he was terminated on April 12, 2014.

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Administrator from 1996 until she was terminated on April 12, 2014. Ms. Crosby is the mother of Defendant John Crosby, the sister of Defendant Leslie Lohse, and the sister-in-law of

Defendant Larry Lohse.

29. Defendant Leslie Lohse is a resident of Glenn, California. She was the Tribe's elected Treasurer from 1998 until she was terminated on April 12, 2014.

30. Defendant Larry Lohse is a resident of Glenn, California. Mr. Lohse is the husband of Ms. Lohse. He is not a Tribe member. Mr. Lohse was the Tribe's Environmental

Director from 2001 until he was terminated on April 12, 2014.

31. All four RICO Ringleaders are members of the Pata family, Mr. Lohse by marriage.

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 14 of 171

# 2. The Other RICO Defendants

- 32. Defendant Ted Pata is a resident of Orland, California. He is the brother of Ines Crosby, Leslie Lohse and Jon Pata, and is John Crosby's uncle. At the specific direction of the RICO Ringleaders, Mr. Pata was named Gaming Commissioner at the Rolling Hills Casino in 2001. He held this position until he was terminated in April 2014.
- 33. Defendant Juan "Jon" Pata is a resident of Corning, California. He is the brother of Ines Crosby, Leslie Lohse and Ted Pata, and is John Crosby's uncle. At the specific direction of the RICO Ringleaders, Mr. Pata was named Gaming Commissioner at the Rolling Hills Casino in 2001. He held this position until he was terminated in <u>April 2014</u>.
- 34. Defendant Chris Pata is a resident of Fairfax, Virginia. He is the brother of Ines Crosby, Leslie Lohse and Jon Pata, and is John Crosby's uncle. From <u>February 2002</u> until <u>November 2009</u>, he was the Information Technology Manager for the Rolling Hills Casino. He co-created the IT infrastructure for the Rolling Hills Casino with assistance from Defendant Frank James. He is a close friend of Defendant Frank James.
- 35. Defendant Sherry Myers is a resident of Orland, California. For most of the RICO Ringleaders' tenure, Myers worked as the office secretary and receptionist in the Tribe's offices located in Orland, California. Myers worked closely with the RICO Ringleaders and, at their direction, paid Tribal bills, managed the Tribe's checking and other banking accounts, and created Tribal records (*i.e.*, minutes, resolutions). Myers was also involved in the RICO Ringleaders' decisions regarding Tribal membership and per capita payment distributions to Tribe members. Myers held her position until she was terminated in <u>April 2014</u>.
- 36. Defendant Frank James is a resident of Chico, California. From March 2003 until May 2014, James was employed at the Rolling Hills Casino in its Information Technology Department. At the time of his termination, James was the Casino's Network Systems Administrator. He assisted Chris Pata in creating the Casino's IT infrastructure. James is a close friend of Defendant Chris Pata, whom James claims to be his mentor.

# 3. The Abettor Defendants

- 37. Defendant Umpqua Bank ("Umpqua") is an Oregon state chartered bank headquartered in Roseburg, Oregon, with retail-banking branches in Northern California, Oregon, Western Washington and Nevada. Umpqua is a wholly owned subsidiary of Defendant Umpqua Holdings Corporation. Although Umpqua has only two retail-banking branches in Tehama County, it is the largest bank in in the County based on deposit size, with approximately 19% of all deposits. Similarly, while it has just two retail banking branches in Glenn County, it is the second-largest bank there by deposit size, with approximately 30% of all deposits. Umpqua operates two retail-banking branches in Tehama County—one in Red Bluff, and the other in Los Molinos. Umpqua's two retail-banking branches in Glenn County are located in Orland (the location of the Tribal Council's former main office) and Willows. As alleged herein, the RICO Ringleaders, with the substantial assistance of Umpqua Bank, effected numerous conversions of Tribal money deposited with Umpqua Bank.
- 38. Defendant Umpqua Holdings Corporation ("Umpqua Holdings") is an Oregon corporation. It was formed as a bank holding company in March 1999 and became a financial holding company in March 2000 under the provisions of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 ("GLB Act"). According to its 2013 10-K filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), Defendant Umpqua Holdings conducts substantially all of its operations through its wholly owned subsidiary Umpqua Bank.
- 39. As a registered financial holding company under the GLB Act, Umpqua Holdings is subject to the supervision of and regulation by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve"), which requires Defendant Umpqua Holdings to serve as a source of financial and managerial strength to Umpqua Bank and, under appropriate circumstances, to commit resources to support Umpqua Bank. In order for Umpqua Holdings to qualify as a registered financial holding company under the GLB Act, both it and its wholly owned subsidiary, Umpqua Bank, must be "well managed." The latter, which is a defined term under 12 C.F.R. § 225.5, requires *inter alia* that Umpqua Bank implement policies, procedures, and processes to ensure compliance with laws and regulations. These include without limitation

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 16 of 171

policies, procedures, and processes to ensure the identification, monitoring, and reporting of unusual account activities. This includes account activities that trigger reporting requirements under the federal Bank Secrecy Act/Anti-Money Laundering Law ("BSA/AML"). These activities include, without limitation, withdrawals above \$10,000, for which the BSA/AML requires the filing of a Currency Transaction Report ("CTR"), as well as transactions that appear structured to avoid the CTR filing requirement. In the event of a transaction so structured, the BSA/AML requires filing a Suspicious Activity Report ("SAR").

- 40. In order to meet this requirement, Umpqua Bank is required to have a written board approved BSA/AML compliance program that must include, *inter alia*: a system of internal controls to ensure ongoing compliance; independent testing of BSA/AML compliance; a specifically designated person or persons responsible for managing BSA/AML compliance (BSA/AML compliance officer); and training for appropriate personnel. The required components of this compliance program, as identified and described in the 2014 Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council's Bank Secrecy Act/Anti-Money Laundering Manual (which is incorporated herein by reference), include without limitation: training for tellers concerning the identification and reporting of large currency transactions and other suspicious activities, establishment and maintenance of an automated system to identify and report large currency transactions and other suspicious activity, establishment and maintenance of a system to monitor the results of that automated system, identification of customers and geographic locations and other factors, such as frequent large transactions, that present BSA/AML risks.
- 41. These foregoing requirements also apply to Defendant Umpqua Bank by virtue of its Oregon state charter.
- 42. Defendant Garth Moore Insurance and Financial Services, Inc. is a California corporation with its principal place of business located in Colusa, California.
- 43. Defendant Garth Moore, a resident of Princeton, California, is the Owner of Moore Insurance and its registered agent.

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 17 of 171

- 44. Defendants Garth Moore Insurance and Financial Services, Inc. and Garth Moore are collectively referred to herein as "Moore." Moore, in the capacity of financial advisor to the Tribe, substantially assisted the RICO Ringleaders in setting up and administering retirement plans that had the purpose and effect of converting millions of dollars of Tribal money for the benefit of the RICO Ringleaders and RICO Defendant Sherry Myers.
- 45. Defendant Associated Pension Consultants, Inc. ("APC") is a California corporation with its principal place of business in Chico, California. APC served as the third-party administrator for the Tribe's retirement and defined benefit plans. In that capacity, APC substantially assisted the RICO Ringleaders in setting up and administering retirement plans that had the purpose and effect of converting millions of dollars of Tribal money for the benefit of the RICO Ringleaders and RICO Defendant Sherry Myers.
- 46. Defendant Haness & Associates, LLC is a California limited liability company with its principal place of business in Rocklin, California. The status of its registration with the California Secretary of State is currently suspended.
- 47. Defendant Robert M. Haness, a resident of Rocklin, California, is Haness & Associates, LLC's owner, principal, and registered agent.
- 48. Defendants Haness & Associates, LLC and Robert M. Haness are collectively referred to herein as "Haness." Haness, in the capacity of actuary for the Tribe, substantially assisted the RICO Ringleaders in setting up and administering retirement plans that had the purpose and effect of converting millions of dollars of Tribal money for the benefit of the RICO Ringleaders and RICO Defendant Sherry Myers.
- 49. Defendant The Patriot Gold & Silver Exchange, Inc. is a California corporation with its principal place of business in Redding, California. The Patriot purports to serve as an exchange for precious metal coins and bullion, and claims to sell emergency food and supplies.
- 50. Defendant Norman R. Ryan, a resident of Redding, California, is The Patriot's President, principal and registered agent for service of process. Mr. Ryan had an ongoing personal and business relationship with RICO Ringleader John Crosby.

51. Defendants The Patriot Gold & Silver Exchange, Inc. and Norman R. Ryan are collectively referred to herein as "The Patriot." The Patriot substantially assisted RICO Ringleader John Crosby in converting approximately \$160,000 of the Tribe's money.

#### 4. Nominal Defendant

52. Quicken Loans Inc. ("Quicken") is a Michigan Corporation with its principal place of business in Detroit, Michigan. On or about October 30, 2012, Quicken loaned the RICO Ringleader John Crosby \$417,000. This loan was evidenced by a promissory note executed by the Mr. Crosby, and it was secured by a Deed of Trust on the Deer Hollow Property (defined herein). This Deed of Trust was recorded with the Shasta County Assessor-Recorder's office on December 11, 2012 as Document No. 2012-0043195. 19. Because the Tribe's moneys were unlawfully converted and otherwise illegally taken by Mr. Crosby to purchase the Deer Hollow Property, the Tribe is the rightful owner of the Deer Hollow Property and title to the house should be in the their names. Quicken is named as Nominal Defendant for the purpose of providing the Tribe the ability to receive complete relief as to the Deer Hollow Property.

# **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

# I. RICO DEFENDANTS TAKE CONTROL OF THE TRIBE AND ITS NON-CASINO BUSINESS HOLDING COMPANY, USING RACKETEERING MEANS

From approximately 1998 until their removal from power in April 2014, the four RICO Ringleaders held all political and financial power within the Tribe. The RICO Ringleaders' acquisition of this control, however, did not happen overnight. Rather, it was a systematic process coordinated among and executed by the RICO Ringleaders over a period of years. Gradually, they ingratiated themselves with the Tribe's pre-restoration leadership team; entrenched themselves in key administrative and Tribal leadership roles after Restoration; assumed authority and control over revenue and other moneys directed to Tribal coffers through Leslie Lohse's position as the Tribe's Treasurer, and eventually marginalized the authority of the Tribal Council of which Mrs. Lohse was a part.

54. As early as 1998, the RICO Ringleaders ran both the Tribal government and its non-casino businesses by their own fiat. Decisions made with regard to spending Tribal

moneys—for the RICO Ringleaders' own expenses and interests or otherwise—were made only among the RICO Ringleaders. The RICO Ringleaders faced no accountability for their self-interested actions. Indeed, they denied Tribal members any means—constitutionally mandated or otherwise—by which to hold them accountable. Not only did the RICO Ringleaders deny Tribe members access to or copies of their own Tribal Constitution, but they also denied members any visibility into the Tribe's finances. Tribe members were unaware of the powers and rights afforded to them under their Constitution, and the duties owed by the Tribe's Treasurer to provide an accounting of the Tribe's moneys and annual audit.

- 55. The RICO Ringleaders maintained their control over the Tribe and its business enterprises through exercising preferential patronage to select individuals on the one hand, and threatening suspension and/or disenrollment and loss of per capita distributions on the other. The RICO Ringleaders spread the largess stolen from the Tribe among those—primarily other Pata family members—that would turn a blind eye to their criminal activities or even assist them in the looting of Tribal moneys. By widening the circle of those illegally benefiting at the Tribe's expense, the RICO Ringleaders ensured that they would have wider support within the Tribe. For Tribe members outside the RICO Ringleaders' circle of influence, they lived in constant fear that Leslie Lohse or one of the other Ringleaders could summarily disenroll or suspend them from the Tribe without cause and, on that basis alone, take away their benefits and per capita payments. This was a very real fear, as those who were suspended were held out to their fellow Tribe members during Tribal meetings as examples of what happened when the RICO Ringleaders were questioned.
- 56. Through its months-long investigation, the Tribe is only now beginning to define the depth and breadth of the intimidation, coercion, fraud, extortion, bribery, and vote-rigging the RICO Ringleaders employed to assume control over the Tribe and its non-casino business enterprises and to maintain that control. The wrongdoing of the RICO Ringleaders and the other RICO Defendants that has been discovered to date is set forth more fully below.

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 20 of 171

# A. History and Background of the Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians

# 1. The Nomlaki People's Origins and Early Contact with European Settlers

57. The Nomlaki people are Native Americans who, prior to their contact with European settlers, lived primarily in the areas now known as Tehama and Glenn Counties. Historically, there were two divisions among the Nomlaki people: the Hill Nomlaki and the River Nomlaki. The Tribe's members are descended from the Hill Nomlaki, who historically resided in the foothill lands to the west of Tehama and Glenn Counties, which extended to the summit of the Coast Range. The River Nomlaki occupied the territory east of the Hill Nomlaki in the Sacramento River Valley, in present day Tehama County.

- 58. In <u>1808</u>, it is generally believed that the first European explorers led by the Spanish explorer Gabriel Moraga reached the Nomlaki communities found along the banks of the Sacramento River. Direct contact between the Nomlaki and European settlers was limited until the middle of the 19<sup>th</sup> century, though the contact that occurred was punctuated by raids and battles with settlers who encroached upon their lands.
- 59. By 1849, the settlement of Tehama had become a booming town with a busy port that kept riverboats well stocked with cattle and produce used to feed the California Gold Rush. As the non-Indian population grew in and around Tehama, the Nomlaki population declined. The Nomlaki succumbed to foreign sicknesses such as malaria and smallpox, were exploited for labor, or fought with settlers in deadly conflicts over territory and natural resources.

# 2. <u>Indian Removal by the United States Government and the Creation</u> and Termination of Paskenta Rancheria

60. In <u>1854</u>, the nearly 25,000-acre Nome Lackee Indian Reservation was formed by the United States Government. Situated in the foothills of western Tehama County, the reservation served to isolate the Nomlaki people (as well as other native peoples of present-day Tehama, Glenn, Butte, Colusa, Yolo, Sutter, Trinity, and Shasta counties) from the local non-Indian population.

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 21 of 171

- 61. Beginning in 1863, due to the mismanagement of the Nome Lackee Indian Reservation and local settlers' desire for the Reservation's fertile lands, the United States Government forcibly relocated the Nomlaki people to the Nome Cult Farm (Round Valley Indian Reservation), via the 100-mile long Nome Cult Trail. The Nome Lackee Indian Reservation was fully abandoned by 1866, and the Nomlaki ancestral lands were sold to non-Indian settlers.
- 62. While some Nomlaki families chose to stay at Round Valley after the Nome Cult relocation, some began returning to the Nomlaki ancestral homelands around the Paskenta area to seek independence and work as farm hands.
- 63. In <u>1915</u>, the Nomlaki who eventually made their ways back to the Paskenta area were identified as a distinct band of Indians. In <u>1920</u>, the 260-acre Paskenta Rancheria was formed. The Rancheria was meant to serve as a home base for the Paskenta Nomlaki people.
- 64. In <u>1959</u>, the Paskenta Rancheria was terminated under the California Rancheria Termination Act, and the Tribe lost its federal recognition. The Nomlaki lands were again sold to non-Indians.
- 65. Though the Nomlaki people lost federal recognition, they nonetheless retained their cultural identity, language, collective history, and culture.

# 3. Restoration of the Paskenta Band of the Nomlaki Indians, the Establishment of a Tribal Government and Its Economic Self-Sufficiency

- 66. In the early <u>1990s</u>, a group of Paskenta tribal members and families began lobbying Congress for formal re-recognition and restoration of the Tribe.
- 67. On December 18, 1993, the Paskenta Band adopted an interim Tribal Constitution, which was intended to serve as a governing document until formal federal recognition of the Tribe and adoption of a new Constitution. The interim Tribal Constitution established membership criteria and provided for the election of a governing Tribal Council. On November 2, 1994, Congress restored the Tribe's federal recognition, via the Paskenta Band Restoration Act, 25 U.S.C. § 1300m *et seq.* ("Restoration"). The Tribe ratified the Constitution that is in force today on April 18, 1998. In a manner analogous to the U.S. Constitution, the

Tribal Constitution functions as the primary law of the Tribe. Among other things, the Tribal Constitution provides *inter alia* for the following:

- a. Qualifications for tribal membership predicated on lineal descent from those Nomlaki Indians from Paskenta;
- b. Establishment of a General Council made up of all members of the Band who are 18 years of age or older, vested with the powers *inter alia to* elect a Tribal Council, to undertake referenda and initiatives to change Tribal policy or propose legislation, to waive the sovereign immunity of the Tribe, and to exercise all residual powers of the Tribe;
- c. Establishment of a Tribal Council consisting of five duly elected Tribal members as the Council's officers—including a Chairperson, Vice-Chairperson, Treasurer, Secretary, and a Member at Large—vested with the powers and obligations to conduct business on the Tribe's behalf (including the power to negotiate and conclude all contracts on behalf of the Tribe), promote the welfare of the Tribe's members, manage the Tribe's economic affairs and enterprises (including the power to employ and discharge employees of the Tribe and create Tribally-owned or chartered businesses), manage Tribal lands and resources, and, above all, "preserve, protect and uphold [the Tribal] Constitution";
- d. Enumeration of specific duties for Tribal Council members, including without limitation:
- Chairperson: "execute on behalf of the Band all contracts, leases
  or other documents approved by the Tribal Council or the General Council" and "general
  supervision of all other Tribal officers, employees and committees of the Band";
- Treasurer: "accept, receive, receipt for, preserve and safeguard all funds in the custody of the Band and/ or the Tribal Council, and . . . account therefor," ensure that "[a]ll checks drawn on the accounts of the Band . . . be signed by the Treasurer and the Chairperson," or in the latter's absence the Vice-Chairperson, and submit the Tribe's financial records to an annual audit by a "competent auditor";
- e. Prohibition against the participation of any Tribal Council member "who reside[s] in the same household as a person having a direct financial interest . . . in the

discussion or determination of any matter in which he/she has a direct financial interest, or any matter directly affecting any person who resides in that Council member's household";

- f. Establishment of processes for free and fair elections of Tribal Council members by the secret balloting of the General Council, including qualification criteria for candidates (concerning age, Tribal membership, criminal record), and implementation of these processes and supervision of elections by an independent Elections Board;
- g. Scheduling of regular Tribal Council meetings on a monthly and annual basis during which the business of the Tribe is to be discussed with members, including an annual audit of all Tribal enterprises and an annual report on the Tribal government's finances; and
- h. The right of all members of the Tribe "to review all Tribal records, including financial records, at any reasonable time in accordance with procedures established by the Tribal Council."
- 68. Within two years of Restoration, the Tribe acquired nearly 2,000 acres of land outside of Corning, California. These lands are now under Tribal control and are the locus for the Tribe's political, administrative and cultural life.
- 69. These Tribally administered lands are also the home of the Rolling Hills Casino (the "Casino"), the Rolling Hills Equestrian Center, the Sevillano Links Golf Course, each directly owned and operated by the Tribe, as well as two hotels, an RV park, a truck stop, and a gasoline station operated by third parties pursuant to leases. The Casino is the primary source of the Tribe's income.
- 70. The income the Tribe has derived from the Casino over the last 12 years has made a dramatic difference in the lives of the Tribe's members and has continued to improve their standard of living.
- 71. It is important to have perspective on how crucial Restoration and the development of the Casino have been to improving the lives of the Tribe's members. Tribe members only began receiving benefits and per capita payments in <u>March 2003</u>. In or around 2005, Tribal demographic data showed that the median income of Tribe members was \$13,000.

This median number, however, is deceptive. At that time, approximately 83% of the Tribe's members resided in either Glenn or Tehama Counties, and, at that time, the average per capita income of Indians was \$8,391 and \$10,233 for those residing in Glenn and Tehama County, respectively. The two-county average was \$9,711. Since the great majority of the Tribe's members lived in those two counties, it was likely that the average per capita income of Tribe members in or around 2005 was very close to those amounts. Tribal demographic data also indicated that the unemployment rate for Tribe members was approximately 46%.

- 72. In or around 2005, the benefits the Tribe provided its members (*e.g.*, per capita distributions, health and education benefits) kept members above the poverty line, but nearly 80% of members had low or very low incomes relative to other residents in the area.
- 73. Today, the Tribe's current per capita payments to its members—approximately \$50,000 a year—continues to keep members above the poverty line. In fact, the current per capita amount more than doubles the U.S. Census Bureau's average per capita income for both Tehama and Glenn Counties from the period of 2009 to 2013.

# B. The RICO Ringleaders Gain Membership in the Tribe and Through Illegitimate and Coercive Means Begin Taking Control of the Tribe

74. Members of the Freemans and the Simmons families had been included on the U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs' rolls since prior to 1959 as Nomlaki Indians from the Paskenta Rancheria. Accordingly, all of the 261 persons on the first post-Restoration official Tribal roll certified the Bureau of Indian Affairs ("BIA") on January 6, 1996 were from those two families. No members of the Pata family—of which the RICO Ringleaders and several of the RICO Defendants are a part—were included on that roll; and their subsequent applications for membership in the Tribe were denied several times.

75. However, in the early 1990s, RICO Ringleaders and sisters Ines Crosby and Leslie Lohse began volunteering with the nascent Tribal leadership, following RICO Ringleader John Crosby's introduction to members of the Freeman family, who were deeply involved in work to restore the Tribe. They did not do so altruistically. The RICO Ringleaders were aware that the Tribe, post Restoration, would likely have the opportunity to open a casino and earn

significant amounts of money therefrom. By volunteering for the Tribe in the pre-Restoration period, RICO Ringleaders Ines Crosby and Leslie Lohse ingratiated themselves with Tribal leaders, and by doing so, intimately involved themselves in the Tribe's affairs. They did so in order to put themselves in positions from which they would later have the opportunity to wrongfully convert moneys earned by the Tribe from a casino operation.

76. In 1996, soon after Restoration, RICO Ringleaders Ines Crosby and Leslie Lohse—neither of whom had made it yet onto the Tribe's official roll—parlayed their knowledge of, and involvement in, Tribal affairs into paying jobs with the Tribe. Tribal leaders hired Ms. Crosby to become the Tribal Administrator, and Ms. Lohse began assisting Ms. Crosby in this role.

Two years later, Ms. Crosby and Lohse were still in these roles. In circumstances that appear irregular at best, Tribal enrollment was opened for a one-month period running from July 1, 1998 to July 31, 1998. At the close of enrollment, RICO Ringleaders Ines Crosby, Leslie Lohse, John Crosby, along with their co-RICO Defendants Ted Pata, Jon Pata and Chris Pata, as well as other members of the Pata family, were listed on the official Tribal roll. RICO Ringleaders Ines Crosby and Leslie Lohse oversaw the process of new Tribe members being added to the roll, despite their deeply personal interest in the results of the Tribe's membership expansion. Indeed, RICO Ringleaders Ines Crosby and/or Leslie Lohse drafted the minutes and other documentation for all Tribal Council resolutions memorializing the Pata family's inclusion on the Tribe's membership roll, and misled the Tribal Council regarding the extent to which the membership roll had increased following the opening of the roll.

78. The expansion, however, did not only result in the RICO Ringleaders Ines Crosby, Leslie Lohse, John Crosby, and many members of their extended family becoming members of the Tribe. It also set the stage for RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse to secure the position of Treasurer on the Tribal Council, which the RICO Ringleaders knew would give her—and by extension the rest of them—exceptional access to the Tribe's money once a casino was built.

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 26 of 171

- 79. The mass enrollment of the RICO Ringleaders' family members in <u>July 1998</u> increased by approximately 30 members, from 56 to 86, the total number of Tribe members eligible to vote in the <u>September 1998</u> Tribal Council elections. This gave the RICO Ringleaders' Pata family immediate and significant voting power, as they collectively made up approximately 35% of Tribal members eligible to vote.
- 80. This development was unforeseen and poorly understood by the existing Tribal members. However, by the RICO Ringleaders it was well understood and intended. Within two months of the addition of her name to the Tribal roll, RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse placed her name on the September 26, 1998 ballot as a candidate for the Tribal Council's Treasurer position. She won with 50 votes, 30 of which presumably came from her family members who had been newly added to the Tribal roll with her assistance.
- 81. The Tribal Constitution enumerates the Treasurer's duties, in relevant part, as "accept[ing,] receiv[ing,] . . . preserv[ing] and safeguard[ing] all funds in the custody of the Band and/or the Tribal Council," and accounting for such funds through the maintenance of books and records of all Tribal bank accounts. And as the Tribal Council's Treasurer, RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse gained access to all Tribal bank accounts. She held the position of Treasurer until April 2014.
- 82. After the <u>September 1998</u> election, RICO Ringleaders Ines Crosby and Leslie Lohse used their influence over the Tribal Council to convince its members that the Tribe's growing business ventures and financial interests necessitated the hiring of more Tribal employees.
- 83. In <u>late 2000</u>, RICO Ringleaders Ines Crosby and Leslie Lohse orchestrated the hiring of their son and nephew, respectively, RICO Ringleader John Crosby as the Tribe's "Economic Development Director," placing him purportedly in charge of the Tribe's business activities. On <u>January 1, 2001</u>, the entire Tribal Council (Chairperson Everett Freeman, Vice-Chairperson Andrew Freeman, Treasurer Leslie Lohse, Secretary Geraldine Freeman, and At-Large-Member Carlino Swearinger) approved and executed employment contracts with Mr.

Crosby and a former colleague of his at the FBI, K.C. Yi, who was hired at the same time as the Tribe's General Counsel.

- 84. RICO Ringleaders Ines Crosby and Leslie Lohse created this position specifically for John Crosby; and prior to John Crosby being hired for the position it did not exist. No other candidates were interviewed for the position.
- 85. RICO Ringleader John Crosby has claimed, in direct contravention to explicit limitations in the Tribal Constitution, that, as Economic Development Director, he had compete discretion to use Tribal money as he deemed fit, without review or approval by the Tribal Council, including unfettered and unchecked access to the Tribe's various bank accounts on which he was made a signee.
- 86. Around the same time in <u>late 2000</u>, RICO Ringleaders Ines Crosby and Leslie Lohse orchestrated the Tribe's hiring of Larry Lohse, their brother-in-law and husband, respectively, as the Tribe's "Environmental Director."
- 87. RICO Ringleaders Ines Crosby and Leslie Lohse created this position specifically for Larry Lohse; and prior to Larry Lohse being hired for the position it did not exist. No other candidates were interviewed for the position.
- 88. As alleged herein, following construction of the Casino, Mr. Lohse had little to do that could be described as relevant to the duties of an Environmental Director. However, it did provide him with significant authority concerning the establishment of the Casino and, in the process, he was given check writing authority, in contravention of the Tribal Constitution, over certain Tribal accounts.
- 89. Neither the Economic Development Director or Environment Director positions were roles the Tribe needed filled at the time RICO Ringleaders John Crosby and Larry Lohse were respectively hired. Indeed, the Tribe had no businesses operating 2002 other than the Casino. Over time, Larry Lohse stopped working on any environmental issues and the Tribe eventually hired environmental personnel with more expertise in the field than Mr. Lohse. Both John Crosby and Larry Lohse held these positions until their termination in April 2014.

Notably, since April 2014, the Tribe has found no need to hire anyone to fill these two positions.

90. However, by newly minting these positions and placing their son/nephew and husband/brother-in-law in them, RICO Ringleaders Leslie Lohse and Ines Crosby essentially created an extra-legal executive committee in the Tribe's governing structure that controlled the Tribe's money, which was occupied by themselves and their close family members. Over the next thirteen years, the RICO Ringleaders would use these positions and the power it gave them over the Tribe's money to enormously enrich themselves and defend their control from scrutiny and attack. By seizing the power of the Tribe's purse, the RICO Ringleaders were able to extort and, when necessary, purchase the submission of other Tribal members, while simultaneously using that power to take whatever they pleased from the Tribe.

# C. Rolling Hills Casino Was Established and Became Successful With Little Assistance from the RICO Ringleaders

91. Implicitly recognizing that no services performed by them for the Tribe over the last twelve years could justify the outrageous sums of money they took from the Tribe, the RICO Ringleaders have sought to justify their twelve-year-long looting spree as merely compensation for the important work they did in connection with the establishment of the only business enterprise during their tenure that has made any money—the Casino. Indeed, the other economic activities in which they caused the Tribe to engage during their tenure effectively resulted in losses of many tens of millions of dollars, not including lost investment opportunity related costs, reducing the amount of Casino revenue that could have been distributed to Tribal members by an equivalent amount.

92. Whether, and to what extent, the RICO Ringleaders contributed to the Casino's establishment is essentially academic, in light of the unauthorized, extra-legal character of the RICO Ringleaders' conversion of Tribal money, and no such contribution could ever justify the outrageous sums they stole. However, the reality is that such contributions were nowhere near as great as the RICO Ringleaders claim.

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 29 of 171

- 93. After Restoration, the Tribe—under the direction of then-Tribal Chairman Everett Freeman and then-Member-at-Large Carlino "Bud" Swearinger—began working toward clearing all regulatory and financial obstacles in the way of the Tribe establishing its own casino.
- 94. On or about March 7, 2000, the Governor of California signed, and the State Legislature approved, the Tribe's Tribal-State gaming compact which gave it, along with certain other California Indian tribes, the exclusive right to conduct Class III gaming in the State of California. The Tribe presented this compact to the BIA on or about March 23, 2000, and it was approved on or about May 5, 2000. On September 18, 2000, the National Indian Gaming Commission ("NIGC") approved the Tribe's Gaming Ordinance pursuant to the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act.
- 95. Once such approval was received, the Tribal Council engaged outside consultants to build and operate the Rolling Hills Casino. No member of the Tribe or employee of the Tribe (including any of the RICO Ringleaders) had any experience in the gaming industry, nor did any member know how to fund or direct construction of a casino.
- 96. After an initial venture with outside consultants failed, in or about <u>April 2001</u>, the Polaris Gaming Group ("Polaris") was engaged by the Tribe to raise funding for the Casino's construction, to oversee the construction, and eventually to operate the Casino.
- 97. Within approximately one and a half years, Polaris managed to complete the funding and construction of the Casino. The Casino opened for business at midnight on <u>August 1, 2002</u>. Since its opening, the Casino has been a successful gaming operation with no notable regulatory problems, and—in contrast with those business enterprises of the Tribe that the RICO Ringleaders directed—was almost immediately profitable and has remained so. The revenue generated by the Casino has for many years, and remains, the primary source of income for the Tribe and its members.
- 98. The Casino's success is due largely to its management since 2002 by several senior officers of Polaris that stayed to work with the Tribe and the Casino after its opening. All four principals of Polaris stayed on to manage the Casino until 2006; and since 2006 it has

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 30 of 171

continued to be managed by a former principal of Polaris. These individuals all had significant experience in funding, building and operating tribally owned casinos prior to their engagement by the Tribe to build the Rolling Hills Casino (*e.g.*, Spirit Mountain Casino owned by the Confederated Tribes of Grande Ronde in Oregon; the Northern Quest Casino owned by the Kalispel Tribe of Indians in Washington). These individuals brought to the Rolling Hills Casino their expertise in developing, financing and managing casino operations and infrastructure.

99. Since the Casino's opening in <u>2002</u>, the RICO Ringleaders played no role in the Casino's business operations and were not employed in any way by the Casino.

# D. The RICO Ringleaders Employed a Pattern of Racketeering and Other Wrongful Means to Consolidate and Maintain Their Control Over the Tribe and its Non-Casino Business Ventures

100. Within three years of Leslie Lohse and Ines Crosby engineering the Pata family's Tribal enrollment, the RICO Ringleaders all had entrenched themselves in influential and authoritative positions of power within the Tribe's government, administration, and non-casino business ventures. By as early as 2001, the RICO Ringleaders concertedly and systematically began eliminating any impediments that would stand in the way of them being able to achieve the purpose of their unlawful enterprise: to convert Tribal moneys for their own personal use. As set forth more fully below, they achieved control of the Tribe, and thus the Casino's revenues, by: (1) ensuring the continued election of RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse to the position of Treasurer and other Tribal Council members whom they could control; (2) tightly controlling the flow of information to prevent challenges to their control and discovery of their scheme; (3) buying, when necessary, the loyalty of those needed by the RICO Ringleaders to effectuate their plans; and (4) intimidating and threatening Tribe members with loss of their livelihoods to dissuade them from questioning their control.

# 1. RICO Ringleaders Rigged the Electoral Process to Ensure the Continued Election of Leslie Lohse to the Position of Treasurer and Compliant Individuals to the Other Council Seats

101. The minutes from Tribal Council meetings held during the period of the RICO Ringleaders' control are remarkably absent of any record of substantive deliberation,

notwithstanding the Tribal Constitution's significant enumeration of responsibilities to the Tribal Council. This is no accident.

102. Integral to the RICO Ringleaders' scheme was a Tribal Council that did not get in their way. As discussed *supra*, the Tribal Constitution requires, for example, that the Tribal Council negotiate and conclude any contract entered into by the Tribe, make decisions concerning the hiring and firing of Tribal employees, and manage Tribal resources. It further provides the Chairman and the Treasurer with significant control and supervision obligations and authority over the use of Tribal moneys, including, for example, the requirement that all Tribal checks be signed by the Chairman and the Treasurer and that the Chairman execute all contracts in the name of the Tribe. It further prohibits any Tribal Council member from participation in any decision that would affect them or a family member living in their household. If, as it is now, the Tribal Council was occupied by persons that diligently demanded compliance with the requirements of the Tribal Constitution, the RICO Ringleaders could never have achieved the thefts they accomplished.

- 103. Accordingly, from early on in their scheme, the RICO Ringleaders worked hard to ensure that (a) RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse was always elected as Treasurer and (b) the rest of the positions in the Tribal Council were filled with persons who would be compliant to them.
- 104. The RICO Ringleaders did so using the classic tools of third world despots: patronage, bribery, intimidation, extortion, and vote-rigging.
- 105. As discussed *supra*, admission of the Pata family into the Tribe substantially increased the number of persons eligible to vote in Tribal Council elections. It also created a block of voters that owed their entitlement to the tens of thousands of dollars in annual per capita Tribal distributions to their relatives, the RICO Ringleaders. This encouraged a strong sense of dependence on, and loyalty to, the RICO Ringleaders among this block of the Tribal electorate, which the RICO Ringleaders further encouraged by using their positions to dole out special advantages to the members of the Pata family. The RICO Ringleaders exploited this loyalty and dependence to ensure that other members of the Pata family always voted en masse for the RICO Ringleaders' chosen candidates.

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 32 of 171

106. Though they are thieves, the RICO Ringleaders are not stupid. With the exception of RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse, the RICO Ringleaders' chosen candidates were not Patas but rather members of the Freeman family. Thus, when these candidates were offered up for election, they could depend on support not only from the Pata-block but also other members of the Freeman family, leaving candidates supported by the only other major family in the Tribe, the Simmons family, with little to no chance of electoral success.

107. The Freeman candidates that the RICO Ringleaders selected were compliant and disengaged and, if not, they were removed. For example, in or around 1999, Rebecca ("Becky") Swearinger—who had been instrumental in the Restoration—began raising questions concerning how the Patas were admitted to the Tribe. In reaction, the RICO Ringleaders, led by RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse, not only orchestrated Ms. Swearinger's removal from her position as Secretary of the Tribal Council but also her suspension from the Tribe. Ms. Swearinger remained suspended and did not receive per capita payments for a number of years, leaving her financially destitute, literally living in the out-building of relatives. What was done to Ms. Swearinger was employed by the RICO Ringleaders as a potent symbol of what could happen to any Tribal member, including a Tribal Council member, who challenged their authority.

108. However, the RICO Ringleaders avoided, in large part, the need to use such threats vis-à-vis Tribal Council members by intentionally choosing candidates from the Freeman family that lacked the time and/or sophistication to challenge to the RICO Ringleaders. The RICO Ringleaders, furthermore, encouraged a sense of dependence on them among the Tribal Council members, by doling out financial benefits to Tribal Council members in amounts that were, especially in comparison with the scale of the theft in which the RICO Ringleaders were engaged, not particularly large but which were provided in irregular ways. The RICO Ringleaders provided the benefits in this way with the purpose and intent of encouraging not only a sense of loyalty but also a sense of vulnerability that would discourage any impulse to challenge the RICO Ringleaders.

109. As a result, the RICO Ringleaders were never subject to review by the Tribal Council for their work in their respective positions. Indeed, the RICO Ringleaders were able to invert the relationship between the Tribal Council and the Tribal administration of which they were a part. That is, the RICO Ringleaders decided and directed what the Triba should do and how it should be governed. They took countless actions that were never approved by the Tribal Council; and on occasion, when the Ringleaders chose to seek Council approval, the Tribal Council effected those decisions based on the intentionally limited and often false and/or inaccurate information provided to the Council by the RICO Ringleaders.

110. While, as discussed above, the RICO Ringleaders' choice of Freeman family members as their preferred candidates left little chance of successful challenge, as evidenced by the extraordinary measures that the RICO Ringleaders took in a futile effort to regain control when they were ousted in <a href="April 2014">April 2014</a>—including launching both an armed physical attack and cyber-attack on the Casino and Tribe-Owned Business "E"—the economic benefits that the RICO Ringleaders enjoyed by virtue of their control of the Tribe were too great to be left at all to chance. Thus, the RICO Ringleaders employed a number of improper means to ensure that RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse and their other chosen candidates were always elected.

111. For example, despite the explicit requirement of secret balloting to choose Tribal Council members, the RICO Ringleaders organized the balloting such that each Tribe member's ballot was assigned a specific number according to which the RICO Ringleaders could identify for whom every Tribe member voted. In context with the clear message sent that any person who challenged the RICO Ringleaders risked suspension and thus financial ruin, this number system clearly communicated to Tribe members that if they did not vote for the RICO Ringleaders' chosen candidates they would suffer severe consequences. Again leaving nothing to chance, the RICO Ringleaders always ensured that they and they alone oversaw the elections, counted the ballots and certified election results, usurping the constitutional authority of the Tribe's Election Committee.

112. However, when it came to RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse, given the importance of her continued tenure as Treasurer for both the continuation of the RICO Ringleaders' scheme

to defraud and the protection of its past effects from discovery, even these mechanisms were deemed insufficient by the RICO Ringleaders to guarantee a successful outcome. It was crucial to the success of the RICO Ringleaders' unlawful scheme that Ms. Lohse remained as the Tribal Council's Treasurer as long as they intended to continue their racketeering enterprise. And their scheme to convert Tribal moneys and properties for their own use would not only be jeopardized if Ms. Lohse lost her position, but they could all end up in jail if a new person was elected Treasurer and decided to call on the RICO Ringleaders to justify their multiple instances of accessing Tribal accounts and using those moneys for their own benefit.

113. Thus, to ensure that RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse would not face competition for the Treasurer position at any election, the RICO Ringleaders, by fiat, falsely proclaimed that in order to run for the Treasurer position, a candidate was required to post a \$1 million bond with the Tribe. And in the months preceding elections, Ms. Lohse would state to members of the General Council and the Tribal Council that she had posted the required bond, and that it was secured by her house and property.

114. Of course, RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse never purchased any such bond, and there is no basis in the Tribal Constitution, Tribal law, or any Tribal Council resolutions for such a requirement. Rather it was a fraudulent misrepresentation that RICO Ringleaders used to establish a barrier against RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse's removal that they knew no one in the Tribe other than her co-RICO Ringleaders could ever clear. As a result, RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse never faced a contested election for the office of Treasurer at any time from 1998 to 2014.

# 2. The RICO Ringleaders Consolidated Their Control of the Tribe by Tightly Controlling the Flow of Information

115. A critical component of the RICO Ringleaders' strategy, as the foregoing suggests, was their control on the flow of information. The RICO Ringleaders' abilities to manipulate the Tribe's political processes and rob it blind depended on their keeping the rest of the Tribe ignorant of (a) the Tribal Constitution's provisions and the allocation of authority and

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 35 of 171

responsibilities within the Tribal government and (b) the financial activities of the RICO Ringleaders.

# a. The RICO Ringleaders Actively Sought to Prevent Tribal Members from Understanding the Tribal Constitution's Requirements

116. Numerous actions and positions taken, and omissions made, by the RICO Ringleaders were directly contrary to requirements in the Tribal Constitution. For example, contrary to the \$1 million bond requirement that the RICO Ringleaders claimed anyone seeking to run for Treasurer must meet, the Tribal Constitution states explicitly that subject to age and criminal record requirements, anyone can run for a position on the Tribal Council, including Treasurer. As a further example, while, as discussed below, the RICO Ringleaders routinely wrote from Tribal bank accounts large checks to themselves for cash and to third parties for millions of dollars in personal purchases, the Tribal Constitution requires that both the Treasurer and the Chairman sign every such check. As another example still, while the Tribal Constitution requires the Tribe's books be audited annually, as discussed below, the RICO Ringleaders specifically prevented even basic bookkeeping from occurring, let alone, any such audit.

117. Thus, the RICO Ringleaders determined at some point in their tenure that it was necessary to keep the Tribe's members in the dark about their rights under the Tribal Constitution and the duties that the Tribal Council's officers owed them. Without the ability to weigh the actions of not only the Tribal Council, but also the RICO Ringleaders, against the Constitution's enumerated rights, responsibilities and duties, Tribe members had no reason not to believe that what the RICO Ringleaders said or did was authoritative or sanctioned by the Tribal Council.

118. The Tribal Constitution initially was made available to the Tribe's members in or about the spring of 1998 prior to their voting on its adoption. After the Constitution's adoption, however, the RICO Ringleaders—specifically Leslie Lohse and Ines Crosby—restricted Tribe members' access to copies of the Constitution. They refused to provide copies to the Tribe's members, and, instead, made it known among members that they would have to come to the Tribal offices in Orland to examine a physical copy of the Constitution.

119. The RICO Ringleaders limited access to the Tribal Constitution to physical copies housed in the Tribe's Orland offices in order to intimidate members and dissuade them from determining whether the RICO Ringleaders' actions, or those of certain Tribal Council members, were in violation of the Constitution. Indeed, Ms. Crosby expressed that the RICO Ringleaders had concerns that Tribe members would read the Constitution and interpret it a way contrary to their interests.

# b. The RICO Ringleaders Intentionally Kept No Financial Books and Records or Accountings of Tribal Accounts so as to Hide Their Unlawful Actions from Scrutiny

120. Among the Constitutional provisions about which the RICO Ringleaders specifically sought to keep Tribe members ignorant were RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse's constitutional duties as the Tribal Council's Treasurer, *i.e.*, safeguarding and accounting for Tribal moneys, keeping the Tribal government's financial books and records, and providing for annual audits.

- 121. Financial transparency is one of the cornerstones of the Tribal Constitution. The Constitution provides that at the annual General Council meeting, a presentation will be made to the Tribe's members by the Tribe's "accountant and/or bookkeeper/financial officer" of the annual audit for all Tribal enterprises, and a written report will be provided by the Tribal Council's Treasurer detailing, in relevant part, the finances of the Tribal government. The Tribal Constitution further provides that the Treasurer must keep "books of account and other records" regarding the finances of the Tribal government and Tribal enterprises that were to be audited annually by a "competent auditor." And the Constitution specifically includes in its "Bill of Rights" the right of every Tribe member "to review all Tribal records, including financial records."
- 122. During the entirety of the RICO Ringleaders' tenure, including that of RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse as the Tribe's Treasurer, the RICO Ringleaders purposefully and intentionally prevented any of these constitutional requirements from being fulfilled by ensuring that RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse was always elected Treasurer. Under Ms. Lohse's watch, the Tribe did not at any time maintain (1) consolidated financial accounts; (2) a general ledger;

- (3) an income statement; (4) any balance sheets; and (5) any periodic financial statements. She did not conduct annual audits for the Tribe or its enterprises, nor did she engage any accounting professional to do so.
- 123. Without any such records, reports or presentations, the Tribal Constitution's provisions for financial transparency were rendered a nullity. Even if Tribe members had knowledge of Ms. Lohse's constitutional duties and her failure to meet those duties, because she maintained no financial books and records on the Tribe's behalf, it would have been impracticable for any Tribe member to determine the Tribe's financial health or the degree to which Tribal moneys were being mismanaged or, more importantly, stolen. Indeed, so little information in this regard was provided by the RICO Ringleaders that the Tribal Council members who served on the Tribal Council with RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse believed as late as <u>August 2014</u> that the investments of Tribal money conducted by the RICO Ringleaders had achieved significant financial success. They were likewise unaware of how much the RICO Ringleaders were paid in non-retirement or retirement compensation.
- 124. This, of course, was exactly the point. RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse's failure to perform her constitutional duties as Treasurer was not the result of negligence or her inability to perform the duties required of her. Ms. Lohse was, in fact, experienced in bookkeeping and accounting. Rather, in coordination with the other RICO Ringleaders, Ms. Lohse intentionally resolved not to keep the Tribe's financial books and records.
- 125. The RICO Ringleaders understood that their conversion of Tribal moneys, as detailed more fully *infra*, was unauthorized and illegal under Tribal Constitution. The RICO Ringleaders had no valid legal entitlement to the Tribal moneys they converted. Thus, they understood that financial books and records, accountings and other such documentation could be used or relied upon as evidence of their illegal actions in this regard. Therefore, in consideration of the unlawfulness of their actions and their concern that there not exist any record of the extent of their conversion of Tribal moneys and property, the RICO Ringleaders intentionally did not generate or maintain any financial books and records or accountings on behalf of the Tribe.

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 38 of 171

126. The RICO Ringleaders, furthermore, understood that if anything close to the full extent of their rapaciousness came to be understood by other Tribe members, they faced the substantial risk of being removed from power and their access to the Tribe's coffers being cut.

127. So concerned were they of the risks that would be posed by a truthful financial reporting, the RICO Ringleaders intentionally structured the payment amounts the Tribe received from the federal government for its performance of contracts provided for under the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act of 1975, Pub. L. No. 93-638, 88 Stat. 2203 ("638 Contracts") to be below certain threshold dollar amounts in order to avoid having to perform and submit financial audits for review by the Department of Interior and BIA.

128. 638 Contracts are awarded to Tribes to administer federal services, programs, and activities to the Tribes' members.

129. The Tribe's investigation has revealed that, starting in 2002, the Tribe entered into a number of 638 Contracts with the BIA under which the Tribe requested payments totaling nearly \$1 million. A Tribe receiving money pursuant to a 638 Contract is required by federal law to keep records showing the amount and disposition by the Tribe of the contract funds; the cost of any project or undertaking funded by these federal moneys; the amount of funding for the project or undertaking supplied by non-638 Contract money; and any other information that will facilitate an effective audit.

130. For "mature" 638 Contracts, *i.e.*, those that have been operated by a Tribe for more than three years, Tribes are required to keep quarterly financial statements for the purpose of accounting for the moneys received under the 638 Contracts, an annual "single-audit" required under federal law, and a brief annual program report. A "single-audit" is an annual audit that covers the entire operations of the Tribe or, at the Tribe's option, includes a series of audits that cover departments, agencies, and other organizational units that expended or otherwise administered 638 Contract moneys during the audit period.

131. The records and accountings for the moneys received under the 638 Contracts must be made available to the BIA officials, for the purpose of audit and examination, for a

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 39 of 171

period of three years after the completion of a project or undertaking funded under the 638 Contracts.

- 132. Simply put, a Tribe accepting federal moneys under a 638 Contract must keep sufficient records to account for the use of those funds and, in certain instances, make available to the BIA full audits of the Tribe's finances.
- 133. These accounting and record-keeping requirements, however, can be avoided if the amounts paid under the 638 Contract are beneath a certain dollar amount threshold.
- 134. The Tribe's investigation has revealed that the RICO Ringleaders did contract with the BIA to receive federal moneys under several 638 Contracts, none of which have, to date, been officially closed with the BIA. The Tribe's members had been under the impression from the RICO Ringleaders that the Tribe had never taken any federal money under any 638 Contracts.
- 135. Each of the Tribe's 638 Contracts entered into by the RICO Ringleaders was structured to keep the payment amounts requested underneath the threshold dollar amount that triggered audits.
- 136. The RICO Ringleaders were aware that they had structured the payments requested under these 638 Contracts to come in beneath the threshold dollar amount that would have triggered audits. Indeed, as part of the process undertaken by the Tribe to sort out the financial mess left behind in the wake of the RICO Ringleaders' removal, the Tribe discovered files in the Tribal offices in Orland kept by the RICO Ringleaders that documented inquiries by the BIA into the status of these 638 Contracts, as well as the RICO Ringleaders' responses to the BIA representing that the payments requested under these contracts were underneath the threshold dollar amount that would have triggered an audit.
- 137. Both Tribal officials and the Department of the Interior had been told by a local Central California BIA official—one known to be very friendly with the RICO Ringleaders and a frequent golfing companion of RICO Ringleader John Crosby—that the Tribe had never entered into 638 Contracts.

138. It is also not clear to the Tribe at this time why a local Central California BIA official, especially one with a close relationship to the RICO Ringleaders, would have represented to both the Tribe and the Department of the Interior that the Tribe had not 638 Contracts when it clearly did.

139. It is additionally not clear to the Tribe at this time why the Department of the Interior and/or the BIA did not timely follow up on the status of the 638 Contracts that the Tribe had kept open since in or about 2002.

140. While the Tribe has no indication at this time that any of the RICO Defendants or other Tribal officials improperly administered the moneys received under the 638 Contracts, it appears that the payment requests were structured so as to avoid any inquiry that would have required a broader audit by the BIA of the Tribe's financial books and records or accountings. The RICO Ringleaders undoubtedly would have wanted to avoid any such scrutiny of the Tribe's financial books and records or accountings because it would reveal to both federal authorities and Tribe members the RICO Ringleader's scheme to convert Tribal moneys.

141. The Tribe's investigation into the status of these 638 Contracts is still ongoing.

# 3. The RICO Ringleaders Purchased the Silence of Others and Recruited Them into the RICO Enterprise Through Bribery

142. The RICO Ringleaders could not achieve the goals of their unlawful enterprise alone. Accordingly, they brought into their conspiracy several individuals who assisted the RICO Ringleaders in the performance of their criminal conduct. These individuals were either close and loyal associates or Pata family members.

143. RICO Defendant Sherry Myers, the RICO Ringleader's administrative assistant, was heavily involved in the RICO Ringleaders' criminal enterprise. She was involved in depositing and transferring Tribal moneys among the various bank accounts opened by the RICO Ringleaders at the instruction of the RICO Ringleaders and was responsible for managing the payments to Tribal employees and government officials. She was also involved in the RICO Ringleaders' resolution of membership issues. Ms. Myers was paid very well for being an administrative assistant, including, in particular in the form of very generous retirement benefits

she received as the only beneficiary other than the RICO Ringleaders of retirement plans they set up in the name of the Tribe.

144. RICO Defendant Sherry Myer's loyalty to the RICO Ringleaders was such that, even after their removal in <u>April 2014</u>, Ms. Myers continued to assist them in their unlawful scheme and, in fact, opened up Post Office Boxes at their instruction in order to direct Tribal mail away from the new Tribal leadership. The confusion caused by Ms. Myer's actions caused financial difficulties for certain Tribal members and caused Tribal financial information to end up in the hands of the RICO Ringleaders after they were all terminated and relieved of their authority. Ms. Myers also signed for and took paychecks for the newly elected members of the Tribal Council.

Leslie Lohse initially entered into the enterprises' ambit when, at RICO Ringleader John Crosby's specific instructions, they were hired by the Tribe as the Casino's Gaming Commissioners before the Rolling Hills Casino had even been completed and opened. Neither one of the men had any experience in the gaming industry nor had either one ever worked in a casino. Indeed, given the complex duties with which gaming commissioners are tasked at casinos (e.g., security, fraud prevention, surveillance, investigations), the Pata brothers lacked the skills necessary to effectively serve in these positions. The Tribe did not seek out candidates to fill these positions and no other individuals were interviewed for these two gaming commissioner positions, despite the Polaris Gaming Group's specific advice that they be filled by trained outside third parties. Simply put, the Pata brothers were just given the jobs, at RICO Ringleader John Crosby's command, and they stayed in the positions until their removal on or around April 2014, receiving salaries substantially more than that paid to the third gaming commissioner employed at the Casino.

146. The RICO Ringleaders intended for the Pata brothers to serve as their eyes and ears in the one Tribal business with which they had limited influence—the Casino—and ensure that those eyes and ears did not expose the RICO Ringleaders' illegal scheme.

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 42 of 171

about the fall of 2011, by accident, they discovered that, unbeknownst to other Tribe members, the RICO Ringleaders had purchased a private jet with approximately \$3.6 million of the Tribe's money, which is alleged more fully *infra*. When the RICO Ringleaders discovered that the Pata brothers knew about the jet, they resolved to buy their silence. RICO Ringleader John Crosby confronted his uncles and offered to buy them both brand new, fully loaded Ford pick-up trucks if they kept quiet about it. Already well compensated in exchange for their participation in the RICO Ringleaders' scheme, the Pata brothers accepted the trucks and kept silent about the jet purchase.

148. The RICO Ringleaders also orchestrated the hiring of RICO Defendant Chris Pata, another one of Ines Crosby and Leslie Loshe's brothers, to design and manage the Rolling Hills Casino's entire IT infrastructure. Though Mr. Pata was an IT professional, he had never completed a project as complicated as building out a casino's IT infrastructure at the time he was given the job. The Tribe did not seek out bids to do this work, nor did it seek or interview any candidates other than RICO Defendant Chris Pata to fill the IT manager position. However, again, his usefulness in a position where he could monitor and destroy electronic evidence of the RICO Ringleaders' scheme was invaluable.

149. Upon the RICO Ringleaders' removal from their positions of power in April 2014, RICO Defendant Chris Pata played a critical role in the RICO Ringleaders' scheme. As alleged more fully *infra*, at the instruction of the RICO Ringleaders, RICO Defendant Chris Pata's used his knowledge of the Casino's IT infrastructure to coordinate a destructive cyber attack that not only significantly crippled the Casino's business operations, but also wiped out a large portion of the electronically stored documents that could have served as evidence of the criminal enterprise's operation as alleged herein. To execute this attack RICO Defendant Chris Pata recruited RICO Defendant Frank James, who substantially assisted in the attack.

4. The RICO Ringleaders Exploited Tribe Members' Economic Reliance on Per Capita Payments to Quash any Opposition to Their Control, Real or Perceived

150. The RICO Ringleaders knew that virtually every other Tribe member was financial dependent on the per capita payments they received from the Tribe. The RICO Ringleaders also knew that, because they had restricted the Tribe's members' access to information concerning the Tribe's constitution and laws, most members had little understanding about the Constitutional provisions and Tribal ordinances concerning disenrollment or suspension.

- 151. The RICO Ringleaders resolved to use their knowledge of the foregoing facts to intimidate Tribe members and further protect their control over the Tribe.
- 152. The RICO Ringleaders—particularly Ines Crosby and Leslie Lohse—frequently used the threat of disenrollment or suspension to counter what they perceived to be any questions regarding the validity of the Pata family's Tribal membership, any threat to the RICO Ringleaders' control of the Tribal government or administration, or any challenge to the actions undertaken by any of the RICO Ringleaders.
- 153. The threat of disenrollment or suspension was not one taken lightly by Tribe members. Not only would disenrollment or suspension render a person unwelcome at all Tribal events and meetings, but the person would also lose his or her per capita payments and all other benefits received from the Tribe. The effect of disenrollment or suspension could be personally and financially devastating.
- 154. The threat of disenrollment or suspension was not a hypothetical one; the RICO Ringleaders did act on their threats. The RICO Ringleaders orchestrated the imposition of suspensions between one to ten years in length against several Tribe members, and in at least one instance, they imposed an indefinite suspension on a Tribe member that challenged the Pata family's enrollment. Those Tribe members subject to such punishments were not given the opportunity to challenge this punishment, nor were they given the bases for such punishment or how those executing it established the punishment's term.

155. For example, in <u>2003</u>, Tribe members Ann Dalson and Alicia Castillo went to a local newspaper to make public their concerns regarding the Pata family's membership and to air their concerns regarding corruption. The RICO Ringleaders orchestrated the suspension of these women for three months and fifteen months, respectively. During this time, they did not receive their per capita payments or any other benefits from the Tribe.

156. After Ms. Dalson's and Ms. Castillo's suspensions, the RICO Ringleaders regularly included a photograph of the two women looking distressed in PowerPoint presentations to General Council members. A copy of this photograph is attached as **Exhibit A**. The photograph was taken after the women were told that they would be suspended for making public their challenges to the Pata family's membership and allegations of corruption. The RICO Ringleaders, furthermore, prominently posted a copy of a newspaper article regarding Ms. Dalson's and Ms. Castillo's suspensions on bulletin board in the Tribal Office in Orland. By regularly making reference to this picture even years after Ms. Dalson and Ms. Castillo's suspensions and prominently posting a copy of the article about in the Tribal Office, the RICO Ringleaders made clear that they intended to make an example of the women: if any Tribe members were to speak up against the RICO Ringleaders or the Patas, those members would be subject to the indignities of disenrollment or suspension, and the loss of their primary, if not only, source of income.

# II. RICO DEFENDANTS, WITH SUBSTANTIAL ASSISTANCE FROM ABETTOR DEFENDANTS, STOLE MILLIONS OF DOLLARS IN TRIBAL FUNDS THROUGH A PATTERN OF FRAUD AND OUTRAGEOUS SELF-DEALING

157. The RICO Ringleaders' primary purpose in taking control of the Tribe and PEC was to place themselves in the position to misappropriate millions of dollars earned by the Tribe from the Casino. The RICO Ringleaders used their control over the Tribe and thus the money earned by the Tribe from the Casino to devise and execute, with substantial assistance from the Abettor Defendants, a multi-pronged scheme to defraud the Tribe and enrich themselves.

158. The Casino revenue presented an unprecedented opportunity to dramatically improve the financial quality of life of the Tribe's members. However, the RICO Defendants actively worked together on multiple fronts to ensure that the revenue would instead be used by

them and their families to enjoy a lavish lifestyle of private jets, luxury cars, multi-thousand dollar sporting events, and luxury homes.

159. Following the RICO Ringleaders' removal from power and in the wake of the physical and computer based attacks launched against the Tribe by the RICO Ringleaders in an effort to maintain control, the Tribe initiated mediation with the RICO Ringleaders with the goal of ensuring a peaceful transition of power and protection of the financial interests of its members. The outcome of the mediation was a Mediated Settlement Agreement ("MSA"), executed on <u>July 3, 2014</u>, followed by a Settlement Implementation Agreement ("SIA"), executed on <u>August 5, 2014</u>.

160. As memorialized in the SIA, it was agreed that the Tribe would retain international law firm WilmerHale to conduct an investigation into alleged financial misconduct by the RICO Ringleaders. It was mutually agreed that the Tribe would retain WilmerHale based, in part, on its substantial experience in investigating financial misconduct and management of Indian tribes.

161. Indeed, Wilmer Hale, which is led by former federal prosecutors and leaders from the highest ranks of government—including former FBI Director Robert S. Mueller III, former Deputy U.S. Attorney General Jamie Gorelick, former Securities and Exchange Commission Division of Enforcement Director William R. McLucas, former U.S. Secretary of the Interior, U.S. Senator and State Attorney General Ken Salazar, and former U.S. Attorney for the District of Colorado, Tom Strickland—has extraordinary capabilities for handling complex internal investigations for which it is rightly well known. WilmerHale conducted the independent investigations and produced the findings reports in response to numerous prominent matters, including Enron, Worldcom, and Qwest corporate crises, as well as the National Football League's handling of the Ray Rice matter, among others. The firm's independent findings from those investigations are widely relied upon by the media, government investigators, and private stakeholders and the public in general. And among its peers, WilmerHale is preeminent. Indeed, Global Investigations Review ("GIR"), a chief resource for lawyers around the world, recently

tapped WilmerHale to write the chapter, literally, on how to handle internal investigations in the United States as part of GIR's *Investigations Review of the Americas 2015*.

- 162. Pursuant to a resolution by the Tribe's General Council, the Tribe thus retained WilmerHale to conduct the investigation called for by the SIA,
- 163. The WilmerHale investigation on behalf of the Tribe into the alleged financial misconduct by the RICO Ringleaders was led by former U.S. Attorney Tom Strickland. Following a twelve-week long investigation involving meetings with and interviews of more than 70 witnesses, and the review of thousands of pages of documents, WilmerHale issued a report. WilmerHale concluded in the report that the "complete picture may be worse than what we have thus far been able to reconstruct," as a result of the RICO Ringleaders' ultimate refusal to cooperate with the investigation (which they initially agreed to), which significantly impeded WilmerHale's ability to fully investigate. Nonetheless, the report's conclusions were damning, including *inter alia* that:
- The RICO Ringleaders "directed nearly every aspect of the Tribe's financial and related governance affairs."
- The RICO Ringleaders "[r]egularly ignored and frequently violated the Tribe's laws and governance rules, particularly by failing to maintain any financial records whatsoever."
- The RICO Ringleaders paid themselves millions of dollars in excessive and unauthorized compensation.
- The RICO Ringleaders regularly made unauthorized withdrawals of money from Tribal bank accounts for personal purposes.
- The RICO Ringleaders regularly made unauthorized use of Tribal money and resources for personal purposes.
- "[S]ubstantial questions exist regarding the authenticity and legitimacy of the agreements" that the RICO Ringleaders claimed to have with the Tribe and based on which they sought to justify their conduct.

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 47 of 171

164. Building on the results of WilmerHale's investigation, the Tribe has identified the
following four ways in which the RICO Ringleaders used their control of the Tribe and its
money to execute its scheme to defraud the Tribe and enrich themselves, their co-RICO
Defendants, and their family members: (A) causing the Tribe, Tribe-Owned Businesses and an
organization established by the Tribe, with the substantial assistance of the Abettor Defendants
and certain co-RICO Defendants, to pay the RICO Ringleaders, and certain RICO Defendants,
excessive and unauthorized compensation in the form of various unearned salaries, bonuses, and
retirement plan contributions in which the RICO Ringleaders and RICO Defendant Sherry
Myers were the only participants; (B) using their control over the Tribe's finances and bank
accounts, with the substantial assistance of the Abettor Defendants and certain co-RICO
Defendants, to directly convert and embezzle millions of dollars of the Tribe's money, by
simply withdrawing thousands of dollars in cash from the Tribe's accounts or writing checks to
each other out of those accounts; (C) using their control over the Tribe's finances and bank
accounts, with the substantial assistance of the Abettor Defendants and certain co-RICO
Defendants, to engage in transactions using the Tribe's money that resulted in some or all of
that money ending up it in the RICO Ringleaders' possession; (D) using their control over the
Tribe's finances and bank accounts, with the substantial assistance of the Abettor Defendants
and certain co-RICO Defendants, to use Tribe's money to pay for millions of dollars of goods
and services personally consumed by the RICO Defendants, thereby embezzling the funds used
therefor; and (E) using their control over the Tribe's finances and bank accounts, with the
substantial assistance of the Abettor Defendants and certain co-RICO Defendants, to divert
millions of dollars in Tribal money to their relatives and friends.

- 165. These amounts stolen by the RICO Defendants from the Tribe were in addition to approximately \$50,000 each that RICO Defendants Leslie Lohse, Larry Lohse, Ines Crosby, Ted Pata, Jon Pata, and Chris Pata, like other Tribe members, received in yearly Tribal per capita distributions.
- 166. After paying Tribe members these per-capita distributions and covering the cost of operating the Casino, between 2002 through the first part of 2014, approximately \$191

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 48 of 171

million in Tribal funds were available. Under the RICO Ringleaders direction, <u>\$61 million</u>—over 30 percent of this amount—essentially evaporated. The Tribe's investigation concerning what happened to this money is ongoing, and a significant portion of these millions of dollars is still unaccounted for. However, the Tribe's investigation indicates that most of this amount was misappropriated by RICO Ringleaders.

# A. The RICO Ringleaders, with Substantial Assistance from the Abettor Defendants, Used Their Control of the Tribe to Cause it and Tribe-Owned Businesses to Pay Them and Their Family Grossly Excessive and Unauthorized Compensation

# 1. Excessive and Unauthorized Non-Retirement Compensation Paid by the Tribe and Casino

- 167. The Tribe's principal business and virtually its sole source of income is, and historically has been, the Casino. No other Tribe-Owned Business has yet resulted in a net profit to the Tribe and most of the Tribe's investments have been marked failures.
- 168. As discussed herein, the great bulk of the work to build and begin operations of the Casino was performed by four outside consultants to whom the Tribe paid substantial compensation. And once in operation, the Casino has been run by a professional full-time staff overseen by two of the Polaris consultants who were hired by the Tribe to supervise its operation. Accordingly, the RICO Ringleaders performed little to no work on behalf of the Tribe that related to the operation of the Casino since its establishment in 2002. Again, the Casino represents by far the largest source of income for the Tribe at an order of magnitude that denies any comparison between it and any other enterprise by the Tribe.
- 169. There is, furthermore, little indication that the RICO Ringleaders did much of anything that could be considered governmental or administrative service for the Tribe.
- 170. Still, as discussed herein, the RICO Ringleaders caused various Tribe-Owned Businesses to pay them compensation purportedly for services the RICO Ringleaders provided thereto.
- 171. Nonetheless, the RICO Ringleaders, with the substantial assistance of the Abettor Defendants, used their control of the Tribe to cause it to directly pay them non-retirement compensation that was grossly excessive and not properly authorized by the Tribe.

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 49 of 171

- 172. The Tribe is still investigating the full extent of the non-retirement compensation that the RICO Ringleaders caused to be paid directly to themselves by the Tribe and the Casino, i.e. not through an indirect means such as through a Tribe-Owned Business; however, as detailed below, the information gathered thus far indicates that the total amount, for each, is at least several million dollars, and totals at least approximately **§14 million**.
- 173. In contrast, during the relevant period, the non-Chairman members of the Tribal Council *other than RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse*, received stipends of approximately \$18,000 per year for their service to the Tribe. And current Tribal Council members, other than the Chairman, who are working full time for the Tribe in an effort to clean up the mess left by the Defendants, receive salaries of \$60,000 per year. The Chairman receives \$70,000.
- 174. The non-retirement compensation that the RICO Ringleaders caused to be paid to each of the RICO Ringleaders by the Tribe or the Casino was not authorized by the Tribal Council and was unreasonable when viewed in isolation, as well as in context with the millions of dollars in other forms of compensation that the RICO Ringleaders took for themselves. Indeed, these compensation packages constituted merely one of several mechanisms by which the RICO Ringleaders executed their scheme to defraud the Tribe of millions of dollars.
- 175. RICO Defendant Sherry Myers actively participated in the effort to cause the Tribe to pay the RICO Ringleaders excessive and unauthorized non-retirement compensation, making the necessary arrangements to ensure its payment as demanded by the RICO Ringleaders.

# RICO Ringleader John Crosby

176. The largest beneficiary of the excessive and unauthorized non-retirement compensation the RICO Defendants caused the Tribe to pay directly was Defendant John Crosby. The Tribe is still in the process of investigating the full extent of the compensation that Defendants caused Defendant John Crosby to be paid between 2002 and the beginning of 2014; however, the Tribe has already discovered excessive compensation payments **totaling at least approximately \$4.8 million**. Again, this is **not** including very large retirement compensation payments by the Tribe or very large compensation payments made by Tribe-Owned Businesses.

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 50 of 171

177. During the years 2002 through the first three months of 2014, the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to directly pay Mr. Crosby at least approximately **§4.5 million** in non-retirement compensation, including the following approximate amounts:

- \$281,810 in 2004;
- \$500,000 in 2005;
- \$583,217 in 2006;
- \$453,261 in 2007;
- \$370,435 in 2008;
- \$459,130 in 2009;
- \$422,478 in 2010;
- \$326,304 in 2011;
- \$275,217 in 2012;
- \$275,217 in 2013; and
- \$51,087 in the first three months of 2014.

178. In addition, records show that the RICO Defendants caused the Casino to pay Mr. Crosby **\$280,709** in non-retirement compensation in 2003 (\$116,981) and 2004 (\$163,728).

179. RICO Ringleader John Crosby purportedly received this excessive and unauthorized compensation for work done as the Tribe's Economic Development Director. However, the only business that has ever turned a profit for the Tribe is the Casino, and Mr. Crosby played no role in its operation. The only economic activities of the Tribe in which Mr. Crosby did play a role were investments in various enterprises. These investments—essentially all of which were alternative investments, many of which were untested start-ups and/or with personal friends of Mr. Crosby—have so far resulted in net losses to the Tribe in the tens of millions of dollars and will likely result in tens of millions of dollars more in losses for the Tribe. Such investments did nothing to develop the Tribe's economic self-sufficiency; rather, they hindered its development. Furthermore, the Tribe paid another person a large six-figure salary to assist Mr. Crosby in his consistently unsuccessful work as Economic Development Director.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

180. Under Mr. Crosby's direction the Tribe had no written business plan, and no regular outside investment adviser. Mr. Crosby generally did not confer with an investment adviser before making investments, and he did not invest Tribal resources in a balanced and diversified portfolio of stocks, bonds, and cash. The Tribe, moreover, incurred an enormously high rate of expense on the investment and outside business activities conducted at Mr. Crosby's direction.

- 181. Not surprisingly, given Mr. Crosby's abysmal results in the role of Economic Development Director, Mr. Crosby spent little time doing anything that could be characterized as work in this role, and, instead, spent much of his time living a life of luxury at the Tribe's expense. Mr. Crosby furthermore lacked the applicable training or expertise necessary for the role. Indeed, Mr. Crosby's behavior and performance indicates that the position was, in effect, another perk that he took for himself at the Tribe's expense, allowing him to live a fantasy life as a rich private equity player, playing with—and consistently losing—millions of dollars of the Tribe's money.
- 182. Since removing Mr. Crosby from the position, the Tribe has not hired anyone to serve at the Tribe's Economic Development Director.

### RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby

- 183. The RICO Ringleaders made Defendant Ines Crosby—the mother of John Crosby and sister of Leslie Lohse—the next largest beneficiary of the excessive and unauthorized non-retirement compensation directly paid by the Tribe and/or the Casino. The Tribe is still in the process of investigating the full extent of the compensation that the RICO Ringleaders caused Defendant Ines Crosby to be paid between 2002 and the beginning of 2014.
- 184. However, the Tribe has already discovered payments totaling at least approximately \$3.6 million made to RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby during the years 2002 through the first three months for 2014, in excessive and unauthorized non-retirement compensation. These include the following approximate amounts:
  - \$169,000 in 2004;
  - \$251,000 in 2005;

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 52 of 171

\$440,000 in 2006; \$322,000 in 2007; \$287,000 in 2008; \$286,000 in 2009; \$284,000 in 2010; \$341,000 in 2011; \$348,000 in 2012; \$309,000 in 2013; and \$40,000 in the first part of 2014.

185. RICO Ringleader Ms. Crosby received this excessive and unauthorized compensation purportedly for work done as the Tribal Administrator. In general, the tribal administrator for an Indian tribe oversees the operation of various tribal departments (*e.g.*, communication, finance, education, legal counsel, health services, housing and facilities, human resources, information technology, language and culture, natural resources, social services, and possibly police and fire departments as well) and supervises the leaders of those departments. However, the operations of the Tribe's government during the relevant period were extremely limited; thus, there was little for Ms. Crosby to oversee. Nor did Ms. Crosby make efforts to create new Tribal operations or services. Indeed, as part and parcel of the RICO Ringleaders' scheme, Ms. Crosby intentionally failed to establish and maintain operations and services that would be expected of the Tribe and which would have benefited the Tribe's members. Ms. Crosby failed to do so because the lack of structure and formality was beneficial to the accomplishment of the RICO Ringleaders' scheme to defraud the Tribe.

186. Ms. Crosby, in an attempt to justify her compensation in the absence of many of these typical operations and services, has claimed to have been responsible principally for ensuring that Tribal members had access to healthcare (particularly prior to 2011), and helping to place Tribal children in foster or adoptive homes. However, the work Ms. Crosby did in this area that was mostly connected with the establishment of the Tribe-Owned Business "G", for which she also received generous compensation in addition to her compensation as Tribal

Administrator, as discussed below. Apart from this work, the only other duty that Ms. Crosby claims to have performed for the Tribe consisted of generally overseeing the Tribal Council office, including the office administrative assistant, RICO Defendant Sherry Myers. In essence the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to pay Ms. Crosby approximately \$300,000 a year in non-retirement compensation, in addition to millions more in retirement compensation, to be an office manager.

187. By way of comparison, the current Tribal Administrator—who, unlike Ms. Crosby, faces a significant task ahead of him in cleaning up after Defendants' malfeasance, is working as a consultant without Tribal benefits, has extensive expertise, and has to regularly travel from his home out of state to perform his duties—is paid \$120,000 a year.

188. Nothing in the work performed by Ms. Crosby for the Tribe, her experience, or her training justifies or explains the levels of compensation that she received. It is, however, explained by the critical role that she played in the RICO Enterprise. The position of Tribal Administrator gave her signing authority over the Tribe's bank accounts in which the revenues from the Casino were deposited. This authority was, in essence, the keys to the kingdom, allowing the RICO Ringleaders to direct millions of dollars of Tribal money for their own benefit, for the benefit of their family and friends, for the benefit of their co-RICO Defendants, and to ensure execution of transactions necessary to further the RICO Enterprise. Indeed Ms. Crosby has admitted to using the Tribe's bank accounts to make cash payments to herself and other RICO Ringleaders and to directly pay her personal expenses.

189. Finally, Ms. Crosby had no skills, expertise or experience that could justify the excessive and unauthorized compensation that she and the other RICO Ringleaders caused to be paid to her. Prior to becoming the Tribal Administrator, Ms. Crosby was a hairdresser.

# RICO Ringleaders Larry and Leslie Lohse

190. The RICO Ringleaders made fellow RICO Ringleaders, and married couple, Larry and Leslie Lohse, the next largest beneficiaries (though, in combination, absolute largest) of the excessive and unauthorized non-retirement compensation paid directly by the Tribe and/or Casino. The Tribe is still in the process of investigating the full extent of the

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 54 of 171

compensation that the RICO Ringleaders caused Larry and Leslie Lohse to be paid between 2002 and the beginning of 2014; however, the Tribe has already discovered the excessive payments **totaling at least approximately \$6 million**.

191. The official titles of RICO Ringleaders Larry and Leslie Lohse during the relevant period were Environmental Director and Treasurer, respectively; and in those positions each had very different purported job responsibilities. However, reflecting the lack of any reasonable connection between the amounts of non-retirement compensation that the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to directly pay them and any work purportedly performed, the RICO Ringleaders caused Defendants Larry and Leslie Lohse to be paid exactly the same excessive amounts from 2009 on.

# RICO Ringleader Larry Lohse

- 192. The Tribe's investigation has so far revealed that between 2002 and the first three months of 2014 the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to directly pay RICO Ringleader Larry Lohse <u>at least approximately \$3.1 million</u> in non-retirement compensation, purportedly for his work as the Tribe's Environmental Director.
- 193. During the years 2002 through the first three months of 2014, the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to directly pay Mr. Lohse <u>at least approximately \$2.9 million</u> in non-retirement compensation, including the following approximate amounts:
  - \$180,839 in 2004;
  - \$249,000 in 2005;
  - \$297,000 in 2006;
  - \$256,000 in 2007;
  - \$401,000 in 2008;
  - \$222,000 in 2009;
  - \$192,000 in 2010;
  - \$192,000 in 2011;
  - \$192,000 in 2012;
  - \$192,000 in 2013; and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 55 of 171

1

• \$40,000 in the first part of 2014.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

**၁**0

28

194. In addition, records show that the RICO Defendants caused the Casino to pay Mr.

Lohse **\$107,927** in non-retirement compensation in 2003 (\$48,046) and 2004 (\$59,881).

195. RICO Ringleader Larry Lohse received these excessive amounts purportedly as compensation for work done as the Tribe's Environmental Director. However, after the initial development of the Casino and golf course, which was substantially complete in 2002 there was little to be done in the way of environmental work. Furthermore, the Tribe hired full-time, qualified environmental personnel, who performed what little environmental work there was to do. Thus, Mr. Lohse did little to nothing for the Tribe in exchange for this and other compensation received from the Tribe. Mr. Lohse had very little training or expertise applicable to the job of Environmental Director, and the closest thing he had to relevant experience was working on his family's farm.

196. Reflecting the lack of any need for an Environmental Director, the Tribe has not had one since removing Mr. Lohse from the position.

# RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse

197. The Tribe's investigation has so far revealed that between 2002 through the first three months of 2014 the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to directly pay RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse <u>at least approximately \$2.9 million</u> in non-retirement compensation purportedly for her work as the Tribe's Treasurer. These include the following approximate amounts:

- \$165,209 in 2004;
- \$250,792 in 2005;
- 360,000 in 2006;
- \$264,000 in 2007;
- \$384,000 in 2008;
- \$222,000 in 2009;
- \$192,000 in 2010;
- \$192,000 in 2011;
- \$192,000 in 2012;

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 56 of 171

- \$192,000 in 2013; and
- \$40,000 in the first part of 2014.

198. For all but 2007, these amounts are precisely the same as the non-retirement compensation that the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to directly pay RICO Ringleader Larry Lohse.

199. RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse's only official position with the Tribe during the relevant period was as its elected Treasurer, a member of the Tribal Council. However, the above non-retirement compensation she received alone—i.e. not including her enormous retirement compensation or any other benefits paid for by the Tribe to which she is now falsely claiming to have been entitled—was many times higher than what any other Tribal Council member received, including its Chairman.

200. This disparity cannot be justified by any additional work that RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse performed as Treasurer for the Tribe. As discussed herein, a critical component of the RICO Defendants' scheme was preventing the Tribe from performing accounting and auditing obligations required under the Tribal Constitution and for which a treasurer would be responsible for ensuring performance. Stated another way, a significant component of the RICO Defendants' scheme was Ms. Lohse not performing her duties as Treasurer; and, in fact, the RICO Ringleaders corrupted and manipulated the electoral process in order to prevent the election of any replacement for Ms. Lohse who would have performed those duties.

201. The unreasonable and excessive amount of this and other compensation that the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to directly pay Ms. Lohse stands in stark contrast to the \$60,000 salary the Tribe pays to the current Treasurer, who, in fact, has been required to work full time and beyond in the effort to sort out and repair the damage caused by the Defendants.

202. Ms. Lohse has no skills, expertise, or experience that would justify the excessive and unauthorized compensation she received as Treasurer. While her experience as a bookkeeper could arguably qualify her to *run* for Treasurer—and it is certainly probative of the intentional and purposeful quality of her failure to ensure basic bookkeeping and accounting

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 57 of 171

measures were taken—it does not come close to justifying the compensation she and her other RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to pay her.

203. In an implicit admission that the compensation she received was outrageously excessive in light of any work performed by her as Treasurer for the Tribe, Ms. Lohse has claimed for herself the title of Political Director. There is no record of her ever being hired by the Tribe for such a position, and, in fact, no such position exists. Furthermore, while purportedly in this role, Ms. Lohse oversaw the expenditure of almost \$6 million for local, state, and federal campaigns, candidates, party committees, political action committees, and other policy-oriented groups. The Tribe had little to no need to make such contributions. Rather, Ms. Lohse appears to have caused the Tribe to make them principally for her own aggrandizement, in order to create for herself a fantasy life of purchased political importance. Secondarily, she appears to have caused the donations to have been made in order to curry favor with government officials so as to protect the RICO Defendants' scheme from scrutiny. Since Ms. Lohse's termination, the Tribe has found no need to hire anyone to engage in the activities that Ms. Lohse did her self-appointed position as "Political Director."

204. It is further relevant to note in this regard, that one of the main beneficiaries of Tribe's largess was the California Tribal Business Association ("CTBA"). As discussed herein, RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse, as chairperson of CTBA, ensured that CTBA would pay her another approximately \$550,000, in addition to the amounts RICO Ringleader Lohse was directly misappropriating from the Tribe.

# 2. With Substantial Assistance from Abettor Defendants Haness, Moore, and APC, the RICO Ringleaders Caused the Tribe to Pay Them Extraordinary Amounts in Retirement Compensation

205. Reflecting the mutual recognition that their scheme to defraud the Tribe could not continue indefinitely, the RICO Ringleaders prepared for their post-scheme lives by causing the Tribe to pay them enormously excessive sums in retirement compensation. While the Tribe's investigation is continuing, based on information uncovered thus far, in total but not including other retirement compensation that the RICO Ringleaders caused themselves to be paid by

Tribe-Owned Businesses, the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to pay them **approximately over \$4.4 million** in retirement compensation.

206. Thusly, the RICO Ringleaders diverted for themselves almost all of the millions of dollars that they caused the Tribe to invest in two retirement plans, a defined benefit plan ("Tribal Pension") and 401(k) ("Tribal 401k") that the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to establish (collectively, "Tribal Retirement Plans"). The only other participant in the Tribal Retirement Plans was the RICO Ringleaders' co-RICO Defendant Sherry Myers. No other employee of the Tribe, including those of the Casino, or any other Tribal Council Member was allowed to participate in the Tribal Retirement Plans.

207. This retirement compensation paid to the RICO Ringleaders and Ms. Myers was not authorized by the Tribal Council and was unreasonable when viewed in isolation, as well as in the context with the millions of dollars in other forms of compensation that the RICO Ringleaders took for themselves. The Tribal Council never authorized the creation or funding of either the Tribal 401(k) or Tribal Pension Plan, which thus violated Tribal law; and no other Tribal Council member or employee of the Tribe or Casino was given the opportunity to participate in either plan.

208. Indeed, these retirement compensation packages were merely one of several mechanisms by which the RICO Ringleaders executed their scheme to defraud the Tribe of millions of dollars.

209. RICO Defendant Sherry Myers actively participated in the effort to cause the Tribe to pay the RICO Ringleaders excessive and unauthorized retirement compensation, making the necessary arrangements to ensure its payment as demanded by the RICO Ringleaders and providing cover for such payments. In exchange for this and other acts and omissions taken by her as part of, and in substantial assistance of, the RICO Ringleaders' scheme to defraud the Tribe, the RICO Ringleaders also caused Ms. Myers, herself, to be awarded an excessive amount in retirement compensation.

210. Abettor Defendants Moore, Haness and APC substantially assisted the RICO Defendants in unlawfully misappropriating Tribal money through this means, and did so with

the requisite knowledge and/or in violation of their independent duties to the Tribe. Abettor Defendants Moore, Haness and APC set up and/or administered the Tribal Retirement Plans knowing that the RICO Defendants intended to, and did, use them to convert moneys of the Tribe, cause the Tribe to pay themselves grossly excessive and unauthorized compensation, and/or violate their fiduciary duties to the Tribe, and substantially assisted them in this effort. During WilmerHale's investigation, the RICO Ringleaders indicated that they worked with and received advice and direction from Abettor Defendant Moore and APC in setting up and administering the Tribal Retirement Plans. The RICO Ringleaders routinely consulted these Abettor Defendants, and, in fact, they indicated it was Abettor Defendant Moore that came up with the idea to install retirement plans for the RICO Ringleaders.

- 211. Several factors are indicative of the fraudulent nature of the Tribal Retirement Plans and the substantial assistance in accomplishing this fraud knowingly provided by Abettor Defendants Moore, Haness and APC, or at least provided in violation of their duties to the Tribe. Viewed together, these factors clearly indicate that the Tribal Retirement Plans were set up and administered with the goal of employing them as mechanisms for the transfer of as much Tribal money as possible, as fast as possible, to the RICO Ringleaders.
- 212. Abettor Defendants Moore, Haness and APC assisted the RICO Ringleaders in accomplishing this goal by setting up and administering the Tribal Retirement Plans in ways that would never have been done for a financially accountable or healthy business. Rather, the Tribal Retirement Plans were set up and administered as though the Tribe was the RICO Ringleaders' wholly owned small business from which the Abettor Defendants Moore, Haness and APC would assist them extract as much money as possible and as quickly as possible. The Tribe is not a small business, and it is not owned by anyone. It is a sovereign nation with hundreds of members. And ERISA-compliant retirement plans—which the Tribal Retirement Plans were set up to be—must be created and administered with the intention of creating a permanent mechanism for retirement savings that benefits an employer's current and future employees generally. They cannot be used, as the RICO Ringleaders used the Tribal Retirement Plans, as short-term vehicles to take money out of businesses or institutions in tax advantageous

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 60 of 171

ways for a limited number of specially favored individuals. The Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS"), in fact, scrutinizes, and will disqualify, a retirement plan that appears set up for this purpose. Abettor Defendants Moore, Haness and APC knew this and that they owed the Tribe duties as each's respective client, including, without limitation, the duty to ensure that the retirement plans they set up and/or administered were set up and administered properly and for proper purposes. These three Abettor Defendants knowingly disregarded these things and, instead, substantially assisted the RICO Ringleaders use of the Tribal Retirement Plans as highly efficient mechanisms for the expeditious embezzlement of millions of dollars in Tribal money. Factors indicative of this include, by way of example and without limitation:

213. Improperly Exclusionary Nature of Both Plans. Treasury Regulation 1.401-1(b)(3), 26 C.F.R. 1.401-1(b)(3) provides that a retirement "plan must benefit the employees in general," and cannot be "designed as to amount to subterfuge for the distribution of profits" to only certain specially favored individuals. As retirement professionals, Abettor Defendants Moore, Haness and APC were well aware of this. Nonetheless, they knowingly assisted the RICO Ringleaders set up and administer the Tribal Retirement Plans in a way that excluded participation of any member of the Tribal Council other than RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse and that excluded participation of any employee of the Tribe, other than RICO Ringleaders Ines Crosby, John Crosby, Larry Lohse and RICO Defendant Sherry Myers. Indeed, they assisted the RICO Ringleaders inclusion of Leslie Lohse, who was not an employee of the Tribe but rather an elected official, in retirement plans purportedly set up for Tribal employees. This was improper. Abettor Defendants Moore, Haness and APC knew it was improper, but assisted the RICO Ringleaders in establishing them in this manner so as to maximize the utility of the plans, as means to convert as much money of the Tribe as possible for the benefit of the RICO Ringleaders. Establishing the plans in this way was critical to their utility in this regard, as it allowed the RICO Ringleaders to ensure that the outrageously generous manner in which they structured the plans would benefit only them and their co-RICO Defendant Sherry Myers. If, instead, the plans had been appropriately inclusive, the outrageously generous benefits would have had to been shared with others. Indeed, RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby admitted during

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 61 of 171

WilmerHale's investigation that only herself, her co-RICO Ringleaders Larry Lohse, Leslie Lohse, John Crosby, and co-RICO Defendant Sherry Myers were covered by the plans.

214. <u>Unauthorized Establishment and Modification of the Tribal Retirement Plans</u> required authorization from the Tribal Council. The determination of annual employer contributions to the Tribal 401(k) also required authorization from the Tribal Council. Defendants Moore and APC were aware of this and were aware that no such authorizations were received. Nonetheless, Defendants Moore and APC repeatedly assisted the RICO Ringleaders in establishing, modifying and funding the Tribal Retirement Plans as the RICO Ringleaders saw fit in order to achieve their goal of diverting as much Tribal money as possible to themselves, without requiring proof of any Tribal Council authorization.

215. Structuring and Administering the Tribal Pension as a Short-Term Mechanism to Quickly Divert Huge Sums of Tribal Money to the RICO Ringleaders. In addition to the prohibition against using a retirement plan as a "subterfuge for the distribution of profits" to only certain specially chosen individuals, as explained by the IRS's Internal Revenue Manual, "a plan must be established with the intent to be a 'permanent', not 'temporary', program." see also 26 C.F.R. 1.401-1(b)(2). As retirement professionals, Defendants Moore, Haness and APC were all aware of these fundamental requirements. Nonetheless they knowingly structured and administered the Tribal Pension Plan in a way that was clearly indicative of the RICO Ringleaders' intent to use the Tribal Pension Plan as a short-term and highly effective mechanism to divert a huge amount of Tribal money very quickly to the RICO Ringleaders, and provided the RICO Ringleaders substantial assistance in accomplishment of this goal. This assistance included without limitation:

Plan's Actuarial Formula. The amount an employer contributes to a defined benefit plan is determined by the actuarial formula used in establishing the plan and, in particular, the target for retirement benefits set in the formula. The higher the target benefit number, the more the employer contributes. Industry practice, for those businesses and entities able to afford

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 62 of 171

employee pension plans, is to set that target at monthly retirement benefits in amounts roughly equal to the average after tax monthly income of an employee in the last five years of employment. In contrast, Abettor Defendants Moore, Haness, and APC assisted the RICO Ringleaders in setting up the Tribal Pension with an actuarial formula in which the target retirement benefit was set at 245% of the highest pre-tax income in three consecutive years, approximately 4 times higher than the industry standard. This is an extraordinarily high target and is indicative of instructions having been given by the RICO Ringleaders, and followed by Abettor Defendants Moore, Haness, and APC, to structure the Tribal Pension such that it would result in the highest possible diversion of Tribal money into the Tribal Pension for the RICO Ringleaders' benefit, as quickly as possible. As retirement professionals, Abettor Defendants Moore, Haness, and APC knew that employing such an extraordinarily high retirement benefit goal was indicative of the Tribal Pension Plan's purpose as a subterfuge for diversion of Tribal money for almost the exclusive benefit of the RICO Ringleaders and the temporary, as opposed to permanent, quality of the plan. See 26 C.F.R. 1.401-1(b)(2) ("The permanency of the plan will be indicated by all of the surrounding facts and circumstances, including the likelihood of the employer's ability to continue contributions as provided under the plan.") Indeed, during WilmerHale's investigation RICO Ringleader John Crosby acknowledged that the Tribal Pension Plan was shut down after only five years of existence because it was too generous. In fact, the plan's generosity, the almost exclusive beneficiaries of which were the RICO Ringleaders, was exactly the point. And it was shut down after only five years because it was never intended to be a long-term bona fide retirement plan for the benefit of all present and future employees of the Tribe; rather, it was intended to do exactly what it did, provide a means to quickly divert huge sums of Tribal money into the pockets of the RICO Ringleaders. Abettor Defendants Moore, Haness, and APC knew this and substantially assisted in the realization of this intended result.

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

b. <u>Setting Vesting and Expected Retirement Age in the Tribal Pension</u>

Plan in a Manner Extraordinarily Favorable to RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby. Another component of the actuarial formula that determines employer contributions is the expected

28

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 63 of 171

retirement age set in the plan documents. With the substantial assistance of Defendants Moore, Haness, and APC, the RICO Ringleaders setup the Tribal Pension with a retirement benefit qualification criteria of 65 years of age and five years of service. At the time of the establishment of the Tribal Pension, Ines Crosby was 60. Thus, by establishing the criteria in this way and in combination with the extraordinarily high retirement benefit target included in the actuarial formula, the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to make, by operation of the actuarial formula, extraordinarily high contributions for Ines Crosby discussed herein. A legitimately established defined benefit plan would not have been structured in this way exactly because doing so would impose a tremendous cash drain on the contributing employer. However, here, the RICO Ringleaders structured it in this way so that it would have this result; Abettor Defendants Moore, Haness, and APC knew this and assisted in its accomplishment. As a result, for three of the five years of the Tribal Pension Plan's existence, substantially more money was diverted into the Tribal Pension for Ms. Crosby's benefit than even the excessive and unauthorized compensation that RICO Ringleaders caused her to be paid that year; and more than \$1.5 million of Tribal money was diverted to Ms. Crosby through the Tribal Pension during the just five years of the plan's existence.

After It Was Fully Funded for RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby. Traditionally, defined benefit plans are not terminated in advance of distribution of the benefits to be provided thereby. Rather bona fide defined benefit plans, and retirement plans more generally, are expected, again, to be permanent, generally providing present and future employees of a business or other institution the opportunity to save for their retirement. Treasury Regulation 401-1(b)(2) states in this regard, "[t]hus, although the employer may reserve the right to change or terminate the plan, and to discontinue contributions thereunder, the abandonment of the plan for any reason other than business necessity within a few years after it has taken effect will be evidence that the plan from its inception was not a bona fide program for the exclusive benefit of employees in general. Especially will this be true if, for example, a pension plan is abandoned soon after pensions have been fully funded for persons in favor of whom discrimination is prohibited

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 64 of 171

under section 401(a)." (emphasis added). As retirement professionals, Abettor Defendants Moore, Haness, and APC were aware of this. Nonetheless, with the substantial assistance of Defendants Moore, Haness, and APC, the Tribal Pension Plan was terminated just five years after its establishment, and the funds distributed to the RICO Ringleaders and RICO Defendant Sherry Myers. Not coincidentally, this was immediately after the Tribal Pension Plan had been fully funded for RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby. This was the RICO Ringleaders' intention from the outset. Like any good thieves, they understood getting away from the scene of the crime with the stolen money is the most important part of the theft. Defendants Moore, Haness, and APC were aware of this purpose and assisted in the early termination of Tribal Pension plan at the RICO Ringleaders' instructions and for their benefit.

216. Structuring and Administering the Tribal 401(k) with the Overriding Purpose of Maximizing the Benefits for the RICO Ringleaders. After terminating the Tribal Pension, with the substantial assistance of Abettor Defendants Moore and APC, the RICO Ringleaders set up and administered the Tribal 401(k) with the over-riding purpose of benefiting the RICO Ringleaders as much as possible on an ongoing basis, rather than, as IRS regulations require, benefiting the Tribe's employees in general. As retirement professionals, Abettor Defendants Moore and APC knew this was improper, but nonetheless substantially assisted the RICO Ringleaders in this effort, even after it was widely reported that the RICO Ringleaders were accused of stealing millions of dollars from the Tribe. According to RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby, the contribution and withdrawal decisions she made concerning the Tribal 401(k) were based on the advice of Abettor Defendants Moore and APC. Actions taken by the RICO Ringleaders with the substantial assistance of Abettor Defendants Moore and APC to ensure that the Tribal 401(k) operated with the overriding purpose of benefiting the RICO Ringleaders as much as possible, was not limited to improperly excluding from participation everyone other than the RICO Ringleaders and RICO Defendant Sherry Myers, it also included without limitation:

a. Tailoring the Tribe's Contributions to the Tribal 401(k) to Maximize

Total Contributions for the Benefit of the RICO Ringleaders. As detailed below, while the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 65 of 171

amounts that the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to contribute were remarkably similar as between the RICO Ringleaders, they varied by year. This was no accident. Beginning in 2009, after the Tribal Pension Plan was shut down, through 2013, the RICO Ringleaders, with substantial assistance of APC and Moore, caused the Tribe to contribute the maximum allowable amount every year to the Tribal 401(k) for each of the RICO Ringleaders, with only one exception; in 2013, the maximum contribution was made by the Tribe for RICO Ringleaders Ines Crosby, Leslie Lohse, and Larry Lohse, but not John Crosby. (Not coincidentally, that year, with the substantial assistance of Abettor Defendants APC and Moore, the RICO Ringleaders caused a 401(k) to be set up in the name of Tribe-Owned Business "E" and caused Tribe-Owned Business "E" to contribute over \$42,000 into the plan for Mr. Crosby.) To achieve this result, every year, the RICO Ringleaders needed to modify the Tribal 401(k) Plan. Abettor Defendant Moore and APC accomplished this modification for the RICO Ringleaders, identifying the maximum amounts that the Tribe could contribute on their behalf and modifying the plan's contribution formula accordingly, with full knowledge that the purpose of doing so was to benefit the RICO Ringleaders and them alone. As mentioned, while any such modification required authorization from the Tribal Council and Defendants Moore and APC were aware of this requirement, they always effected the modifications notwithstanding the absence of any such authorization.

b. *Fraudulently Liquidating the Tribal 401(k) Accounts Post Removal*. In an implicit admission that the amounts they caused to be invested by the Tribe on their behalf in the Tribal 401(k) were unauthorized and thus constituted conversions of Tribal money the RICO Ringleaders and RICO Defendant Sherry Myers, upon their termination and removal from power by the Tribe, liquidated their Tribal 401(k) accounts to avoid their seizure by the Tribe. In fact, the RICO Ringleaders took the extraordinary step of withdrawing the money in cash, and thus incurring very significant tax penalties, so as to avoid efforts by the Tribe to track and locate the funds. The liquidations were accomplished in <u>late June and early July of 2014</u>, well after it was common knowledge, especially in the Corning-Chico-Redding area, that the RICO Ringleaders were accused of embezzling millions of dollars from the Tribe and had been

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 66 of 171

removed from their positions for such actions. In full knowledge of these facts Abettor Defendant APC not only allowed the RICO Ringleaders to make these withdrawals without requesting and receiving authorization to do so from the Tribe, it allowed RICO Ringleader John Crosby—who as known by the Abettor Defendants, had not held any position with the Tribe since April—to sign the employer authorization section of the liquidation paperwork for himself and the other RICO Ringleaders.

217. Extraordinarily High Investment Gains and Losses. Further evidencing the RICO Ringleaders' utilization of the Tribal Retirement Plans as a means to benefit themselves as opposed to employees of the Tribe in general, as required by the law, and the substantial assistance provided by Abettor Defendants Moore and APC in connection therewith, are the extraordinarily high levels of investment gains and losses in the Tribal Retirement Plans. The Tribal Retirement Plans were established as purportedly ERISA compliant retirement plans; and RICO Ringleader John Crosby relied on APC to ensure they were ERISA compliant. However, the extraordinarily high levels of investment gains and losses that occurred in the plans indicate that a substantial portion, or all, of the Tribal money contributed to the plans was invested in fringe investments that would not have constituted reasonable and diversified investments appropriate for an ERISA plan. Defendant Moore was responsible for implementing the investment choices of the trustees of the plans, RICO Ringleaders John Crosby and Leslie Lohse. Defendant APC was responsible for ensuring that the plans remained ERISA compliant. Both substantially assisted RICO Ringleaders in making investment choices with the funds invested in the plans that were not ERISA compliant. They did so because they knew that the plans were not legitimate retirement plans but rather simply another mechanism used by the RICO Ringleaders to convert Tribal Money.

218. Abettor Defendants Moore, Haness and APC repeatedly and consistently assisted the RICO Ringleaders in establishing and administering the Tribal Retirement Plans in a manner that was extraordinarily generous to the RICO Ringleaders and RICO Defendant Sherry Myers to the obvious detriment of the Tribe. Their assistance substantially aided in the diversion of as much Tribal money as possible to the RICO Ringleaders. The RICO Ringleaders could not have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

caused the Tribe to pay them and their co-RICO Defendant Sherry Myers the grossly excessive amounts in retirement compensation alleged herein without the substantial assistance of Abettor Defendants Moore, Haness and APC.

219. Abettor Defendants Moore, Haness and APC were well rewarded by the RICO Ringleaders for their substantial assistance in this regard. Not only did they receive generous fees for their work paid by the Tribe, they also received special additional consideration. For example, in reward for this assistance the Casino sponsored Garth Moore's son's.

# RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby

- 220. The RICO Ringleaders caused RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby to receive the largest amount in excessive and unauthorized retirement compensation paid by the Tribe. From just 2004 through the 2013, less than ten years, the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to directly pay Ms. Crosby <u>at least approximately \$1.7 million</u> in retirement compensation purportedly for her work as the Tribal Administrator of the Tribe.
- 221. This amount included the following approximate amounts that the RICO Defendants diverted for Ms. Crosby's benefit in the Tribal Pension:
  - \$258,037 in 2004;
  - \$298,525 in 2005;
  - \$170,600 in 2006;
  - \$246,918 in 2007; and
  - \$560,923 in 2008.
- 222. Emphasizing the obvious impropriety of these contributions, all are substantially more than the already excessive amounts that the RICO Ringleaders caused Ms. Crosby to be paid in non-retirement compensation during the applicable year.
- 223. It also included the following approximate amounts that the RICO Defendants diverted for Ms. Crosby's benefit in the Tribal 401(k):
  - \$15,900 in 2006;
  - \$17,800 in 2007;
  - \$9,200 in 2008;

	and the state of t				
1	• \$32,500 in 2009;				
2	• \$32,500 in 2010;				
3	• \$32,500 in 2011;				
4	• \$33,000 in 2012; and				
5	• \$33,500 in 2013.				
6	224. As discussed above, there was no reasonable basis on which to compensate Ms				
7	Crosby in the amounts she received in non-retirement compensation. When the above retirement				
8	compensation amounts are added, the total compensation that Ms. Crosby and her co-RICO				
9	Ringleaders caused her to be paid is simply outrageous. For example, in 2008 alone, Ms.				
10	Crosby and her co-RICO Ringleaders caused her to be paid by the Tribe \$954,123 in direct				
11	retirement and non-retirement compensation. This amount does not include any other amounts				
12	of Tribal money that Ms. Crosby converted that year through other means, such as her admitted				
13	regular use of Tribal money to pay personal expenses.				
14	RICO Defendant Larry Lohse				
15	225. The RICO Ringleaders caused RICO Ringleader Larry Lohse to receive the				
16	second largest amount in excessive and unauthorized retirement compensation paid by the				
17	Tribe. From just 2004 through 2013, the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to directly pay Mr.				
18	Lohse at least approximately \$1 million in retirement compensation purportedly for his work				
19	as the Environmental Director of the Tribe.				
20	226. This amount included the following approximate amounts that the RICO				
21	Defendants diverted for Mr. Lohse's benefit in the Tribal Pension:				
22	• \$103,081 in 2004;				
23	• \$98,236 in 2005;				
24	• \$101,437 in 2006;				
25	• \$128,207 in 2007; and				
26	• \$386,433 in 2008.				
27	227. It also included the following approximate amounts that the RICO Defendants				
28	diverted for Mr. Lohse's benefit in the Tribal 401(k):				

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 69 of 171

• \$15,900 in 2006; • \$17,800 in 2007;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- \$9,200 in 2008;
- \$32,500 in 2009;
- \$32,500 in 2010;
- \$32,500 in 2011;
- \$33,000 in 2012; and
- \$33,500 in 2013.

228. As discussed above, there was no reasonable basis on which to compensate Mr. Lohse in the amounts he received in non-retirement compensation. When the above retirement compensation amounts are added, the total compensation that Mr. Lohse and his co-RICO Ringleaders caused him to be paid is simply outrageous. For example, in 2008 alone, Mr. Lohse and his co-RICO Ringleaders caused him to be paid by the Tribe \$796,633 in direct retirement and non-retirement compensation. This amount does not include any other amounts of Tribal money that Mr. Lohse converted that year through other means, such as his admitted regular use of Tribal money to pay personal expenses or the numerous personal flights he took on private jets at the Tribe's expense.

### RICO Defendant Leslie Lohse

229. The RICO Ringleaders caused RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse to receive the next largest amount in excessive and unauthorized retirement compensation paid by the Tribe. From just 2004 through the March of 2013, the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to directly pay Ms. Lohse <u>at least approximately \$1 million</u> in retirement compensation purportedly for her work as Treasurer. Again, no other member of the Tribal Council received <u>any</u> retirement compensation during this period.

- 230. This amount included the following approximate amounts that the RICO Defendants diverted for Ms. Lohse's benefit in the Tribal Pension:
  - \$103,081 in 2004;
  - \$98,236 in 2005;

Case 2:15-at-00324	Document 1	Filed 03/10/15	Page 70 of 171		
• \$101,437 in 2006;					

• \$101,437 in 2006; • \$128,207 in 2007; and

- \$370,961 in 2008.
- 231. Corroborating the lack of a connection between any work performed by Ms. Lohse and her co-RICO Ringleaders on behalf of the Tribe and the retirement compensation that they caused the Tribe to directly pay them, all but the last one of the foregoing amounts are exactly the same as those paid to her co-RICO Ringleader and husband Larry Lohse.
- 232. It also included the following approximate amounts that the RICO Defendants diverted for Ms. Lohse's benefit in the Tribal 401(k):
  - \$15,900 in 2006;
  - \$17,800 in 2007;
  - \$9,200 in 2008;
  - \$32,500 in 2009;
  - \$32,500 in 2010;
  - \$32,500 in 2011;
  - \$33,000 in 2012; and
  - \$33,500 in 2013.
- 233. Again, corroborating the lack of a connection between any work performed by Ms. Lohse and her co-RICO Ringleaders on behalf of the Tribe and the retirement compensation that they caused the Tribe to directly pay them, all of the foregoing amounts are exactly the same as those paid her co-RICO Ringleaders Larry Lohse, and Ines Crosby.
- 234. As discussed above, there was no reasonable basis on which to compensate Ms. Lohse in the amounts she received in non-retirement compensation. When the above retirement compensation amounts are added, the total compensation that Ms. Lohse and her co-RICO Ringleaders caused her to be paid is simply outrageous. For example, in 2008 alone, Ms. Lohse and her co-RICO Ringleaders caused her to be paid by the Tribe \$751,122 in direct retirement and non-retirement compensation. This amount does not include any other amounts of Tribal money that Ms. Lohse converted that year through other means, such as her admitted

regular use of Tribal money to pay personal expenses or the numerous personal flights she took on private jets at the Tribe's expense.

# RICO Defendant John Crosby

- 235. The amounts that the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to directly pay them in retirement and nonretirement compensation reflected their agreement to roughly share in the spoils of their scheme, rather than any correlation between work performed for the Tribe and money taken from it. Thus, because the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to pay RICO Ringleader John Crosby (directly and indirectly) significantly more than his co-RICO Ringleaders in non-retirement compensation, Mr. Crosby received the least amount among the four in retirement compensation directly from the Tribe. However, the amounts of such compensation that the RICO Defendants caused to be paid to Mr. Crosby were still grossly excessive, especially in light of the other compensation they caused him to be paid. Furthermore, as discussed herein, Mr. Crosby was also the beneficiary in 2013 of a second 401(k) established by the RICO Ringleaders in the name of Tribe-Owned Business "B."
- 236. From just 2004 through 2013, the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to directly pay Mr. Crosby **at least approximately \$650,000** in retirement compensation purportedly for his work as Economic Development Director of the Tribe.
- 237. This amount included the following approximate amounts that the RICO Defendants diverted for Mr. Crosby's benefit in the Tribal Pension:
  - \$49,983 in 2004;
  - \$46,604 in 2005;
  - \$50,062 in 2006;
  - \$63,168 in 2007; and
  - \$257,791 in 2008.
- 238. It also included the following approximate amounts that the RICO Defendants diverted for Mr. Crosby's benefit in the Tribal 401(k):
  - \$14,400 in 2006;
  - \$17,800 in 2007;

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 72 of 171

\$9,200 in 2008;
\$32,500 in 2009;
\$32,500 in 2010;
\$32,500 in 2011;
\$33,000 in 2012; and
\$8,779 in 2013.

239. As discussed above, there was no reasonable basis on which to compensate Mr. Crosby in the amounts he received in non-retirement compensation. When the above retirement compensation amounts are added, the total compensation that Mr. Crosby and his co-RICO Ringleaders caused him to be paid is outrageous. For example, in 2008 alone, Mr. Crosby and his co-RICO Ringleaders caused him to be paid by the Tribe \$637,426 in direct retirement and non-retirement compensation. This amount does not include any other amounts of Tribal money that Mr. Lohse converted that year through other means, such as his admitted regular use of Tribal money to pay personal expenses or the numerous personal flights he took on private jets at the Tribe's expense.

### RICO Defendant Sherry Myers

- 240. In consideration for her participation in and substantial assistance with the RICO Ringleaders' scheme to defraud the Tribe, the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to pay an excessive amount of retirement compensation to Ms. Crosby's assistant and RICO Defendant Sherry Myers.
- 241. From just 2004 through 2013, the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to directly pay Ms. Myers, in addition to her already generous salary and other benefits, <u>at least approximately \$290,000</u> in retirement compensation purportedly for her work as an administrative assistant. In fact, the RICO Ringleaders caused Ms. Myers to be paid these amounts in consideration for her participation and assistance in their scheme to defraud the Tribe and as a means to disguise the fraudulent nature of the Tribal Retirement Plans.
- 242. This amount included the following approximate amounts that the RICO Defendants diverted for Ms. Myers benefit in the Tribal Pension:

### 1 \$29,299 in 2004; 2 \$27,369 in 2005; 3 \$31,000 in 2006; 4 \$35,696 in 2007; and 5 \$111,710 in 2008. 6 243. It also included the following approximate amounts that the RICO Defendants 7 diverted for Ms. Myers benefit in the Tribal 401(k): 8 \$2,246 in 2005; 9 \$3,670 in 2006; 10 \$3,730 in 2007; 11 \$2,446 in 2008; 12 \$8,225 in 2009; 13 \$10,329 in 2010; 14 \$8,113 in 2011; 15 \$7,413 in 2012; and 16 \$8,309 in 2013. 3. 17 **Excessive and Unauthorized Compensation Caused to be Indirectly** Paid to the RICO Defendants by the Tribe through Tribe-Owned 18 **Businesses** 19 244. In addition to the Casino, generally at the direction of RICO Ringleader John 20 Crosby, the Tribe engaged in several business ventures ("Tribe-Owned Businesses") in which 21 the Tribe invested large amounts of money derived from the Casino. The Tribe-Owned 22 Businesses could and should have provided a mechanism for the Tribe to leverage the revenue 23 earned from the Casino and thereby increase the funds available for the benefit of all Tribal 24 members. However, in fact, these business ventures resulted in significant net losses for the Tribe. Notwithstanding such poor performance, the RICO Ringleaders used their control over 25 26 the Tribe to cause it to invest significant capital in the Tribe-Owned Businesses, which then 27 paid the RICO Ringleaders significant compensation, thereby furthering their scheme to defraud 28 the Tribe and adding to their already grossly excessive and unauthorized compensation.

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 74 of 171

245. Similarly, the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to contribute millions of dollars to CTBA, which in turn paid Leslie Lohse over a half million dollars in compensation. The Tribal Council did not approve this arrangement.

246. In essence, virtually any time the Tribe engaged in some sort of venture, the RICO Ringleaders used it as an opportunity to further enrich themselves at the Tribe's expense, causing the Tribe to invest millions in ventures that then paid the RICO Ringleaders significant compensation.

247. The money paid by these ventures to the RICO Ringleaders is properly viewed as monies paid by the Tribe since the paying entities required significant inflows of investment from the Tribe to make the payments. The Tribe is still investigating the full extent of the money that the RICO Ringleaders took from the Tribe in this manner.

#### RICO Defendant John Crosby

248. Consistent with his leadership position in the RICO Enterprises, the RICO Ringleaders caused RICO Ringleader John Crosby to receive the largest amount of Tribal money through payments from the Tribe-Owned Businesses. The Tribe is still in the process of determining the full extent of the Tribe's money that RICO Ringleader John Crosby took in this manner; however, thus far, the Tribe has discovered payments to Mr. Crosby from Tribe-Owned businesses, totaling at least approximately another \$720,000.

249. There is nothing to indicate that work performed by Mr. Crosby on behalf of the Tribe justified the above described amounts in compensation and retirement compensation that the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to *directly* pay Mr. Crosby; and certainly nothing justifies indirectly paying him hundreds of thousands of dollars in additional compensation, especially given the consistently poor performance of these businesses. Indeed, Mr. Crosby and his co-RICO Ringleaders viewed the Tribe-Owned Businesses as additional opportunities to steal from the Tribe rather than mechanisms to further the Tribe's collective welfare, and they treated them as such.

250. The approximate payments that the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to pay Mr. Crosby through Tribe-Owned Businesses include without limitation:

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 75 of 171 1 **\$245,000** from PEC in purported bonuses; 2 \$150,000 in purported loans from PEC, no part of which have 3 ever been repaid; 4 **\$183,356** from Tribe-Owned Business in purported 5 compensation for board service; 6 \$129,895 from Tribe-Owned Business "E" purported 7 compensation for board service; 8 \$42,221 invested by Tribe-Owned Business "E" on his behalf in a 9 401(k) established by Tribe-Owned Business "E"; 10 from Tribe-Owned "H" \$39,000 Business in purported 11 compensation for board service; and 12 from Tribe-Owned **Business** "G" \$57,600 purported 13 compensation for board service; 14 251. In addition to the above, the RICO Defendants also caused Tribe-Owned Business 15 "E" to grant Mr. Crosby a 5% ownership interest in the company for no consideration. 16 252. RICO Ringleader John Crosby also received compensation from Cornerstone 17 Bank ("Cornerstone"), in which the Tribe holds a substantial interest, in exchange for sitting on 18 its Board of Directors. 19 253. Payment of these amounts to Mr. Crosby were unjustified and, like those amounts 20 the RICO Ringleaders caused Mr. Crosby to be directly paid by the Tribe, constituted 21 conversion of the Tribe's money. Alternatively, these amounts were, at best, further excessive 22 and unreasonable compensation that RICO Ringleaders caused to be paid to Mr. Crosby by the

24 | RICO Defendant Larry Lohse

254. The RICO Ringleaders caused the second largest amount of Tribal money to be paid through Tribe-Owned Businesses to RICO Ringleader Larry Lohse. The Tribe is still in the process of determining the full extent of the Tribe's money that RICO Ringleader Larry Lohse

28

23

25

26

27

Tribe.

took in this manner; however, thus far, the Tribe has discovered payments to Mr. Lohse from Tribe-Owned businesses totaling at least approximately another \$700,000.

- 255. There is nothing to indicate that work performed by Mr. Lohse on behalf of the Tribe justified the above described amounts in compensation and retirement compensation that the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to *directly* pay Mr. Lohse; and certainly nothing justifies indirectly paying him hundreds of thousands of dollars in additional compensation, especially given the consistently poor performance of these businesses. Indeed, Mr. Lohse and his co-RICO Ringleaders viewed the Tribe-Owned Businesses as additional opportunities to steal from the Tribe rather than mechanisms to further the Tribe's collective welfare, and they treated them as such.
- 256. The approximate payments that the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to pay Mr. Lohse through Tribe-Owned Businesses include without limitation:
  - <u>\$240,000</u> from PEC in purported bonuses;
- <u>\$150,000</u> in purported loans from PEC, no part of which have ever been repaid;
- <u>\$179,392</u> from Tribe-Owned Business "F" in purported compensation for board service;
- <u>\$92,770</u> from Tribe-Owned Business "E" in purported compensation for board service; and
- <u>\$39,000</u> from Tribe-Owned Business "H" in purported compensation for board service.
- 257. In addition to the above, the RICO Defendants also caused Tribe-Owned Business "E" to grant Mr. Lohse a <u>5% ownership interest</u> in the company for no consideration.
- 258. Payment of these amounts to Mr. Lohse were unjustified and, like those amounts the RICO Ringleaders caused Mr. Lohse to be directly paid by the Tribe, constituted conversion of the Tribe's money. Alternatively, these amounts were, at best, further excessive and unreasonable compensation that RICO Ringleaders caused to be paid to Mr. Lohse by the Tribe.

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 77 of 171

### RICO Defendant Leslie Lohse

approximately another \$580,000.
manner; however, thus far, the Tribe has discovered payments to Ms. Lohse totaling at least
determining the full extent of the Tribe's money that RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse took in this
indirectly in this way to RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse. The Tribe is still in the process of
259. The RICO Ringleaders caused the third largest amount of Tribal money to be paid

- 260. There is nothing to indicate that work performed by Ms. Lohse on behalf of the Tribe justified the above described amounts in compensation and retirement compensation that the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to *directly* pay Ms. Lohse; and certainly nothing justifies indirectly paying her hundreds of thousands of dollars in additional compensation. Indeed, Ms. Lohse and her co-RICO Ringleaders viewed the Tribe-Owned Businesses and entities to which they caused the Tribe to donate money as additional opportunities to steal from the Tribe rather than mechanisms to further the Tribe's collective welfare, and they treated them as such.
- 261. The approximate payments that the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to pay Ms. Lohse in this manner include without limitation:
- <u>\$550,000</u> from CTBA, which Ms. Lohse directed, in purported compensation for her services to the organization;
- <u>\$20,480</u> from Tribe-Owned Business "G" in purported compensation for her board service; and
  - \$9,000 from PEC in purported bonuses.
- 262. Payment of these amounts to Ms. Lohse were unjustified and, like those amounts the RICO Ringleaders caused Ms. Lohse to be directly paid by the Tribe, constituted conversion of the Tribe's money. Alternatively, these amounts were, at best, further excessive and unreasonable compensation that RICO Ringleaders caused to be paid to Ms. Lohse by the Tribe.

#### RICO Defendant Ines Crosby

263. The RICO Ringleaders caused the fourth largest amount of Tribal money to be paid through Tribe-Owned Businesses to RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby. The Tribe is still in the

process of determining the full extent of the Tribe's money that RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby took in this manner; however, thus far, the Tribe has discovered payments to Ms. Crosby from Tribe-Owned Business "G" **totaling approximately another \$80,000** purportedly for service on its Board.

264. Payment of these amounts to Ms. Crosby were unjustified, and like those amounts the RICO Ringleaders caused Ms. Crosby to be directly paid by the Tribe, constituted conversion of the Tribe's money. Alternatively, these amounts were, at best, further excessive and unreasonable compensation that RICO Ringleaders caused to be paid to Ms. Crosby by the Tribe.

### 4. <u>Total Amounts of Excessive and Unauthorized Compensation</u> Discovered Thus Far

265. The Tribe's investigation of the excessive and unauthorized compensation that the RICO Defendants caused the Tribe to pay them is ongoing. However, based just on its preliminary investigation, the Tribe has discovered non-retirement and retirement compensation payments to the RICO Ringleaders from the Tribe and Tribe-Owned Businesses totally approximately **§20.7 million**.

266. By RICO Ringleader the approximate totals are:

• John Crosby: \$6.12 million;

• Ines Crosby: \$5.4 million;

• Larry Lohse: \$4.6 million; and

• Leslie Lohse: \$4.54 million.

267. These amounts almost certainly underestimate the totals that the RICO Ringleaders took from the Tribe in excessive and unauthorized compensation. For example, the Tribe recently discovered that the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to contribute thousands of dollars to health care savings accounts ("HSAs") set up for their benefit, and caused various Tribe-Owned Businesses to provide them and/or their family members with benefits such as generous health insurance plans.

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 79 of 171

268. These amounts also do <u>not</u> include the millions of dollars that the RICO Defendants misappropriated from the Tribe in the form of numerous and large unauthorized cash withdrawals from the Tribe's bank accounts by the RICO Defendants and the unauthorized purchases with Tribal money of goods and services consumed by the RICO Defendants for their own benefit. The Tribe strongly disputes that the RICO Defendants were authorized to take such additional money from the Tribe as part of their compensation, as the RICO Defendants have claimed. As alleged herein, these withdrawals and purchases constituted conversion and theft of Tribal money by the RICO Defendants. However, in the alternative, if *arguendo* these withdrawals and purchases were a form of compensation from the Tribe, they make the total compensation that the RICO Defendants caused the Tribe to pay them even more grossly excessive.

B. The RICO Ringleaders, with Substantial Assistance from the Abettor Defendants and Certain Co-RICO Defendants, Used Their Control of the Tribe's Bank Accounts to Convert and Embezzle Millions of Dollars of the Tribe's Money

269. The second major component of the RICO Defendants' scheme to defraud the Tribe and enrich themselves at the Tribe's expense was to use their control over the Tribe's bank accounts, with the substantial assistance of the Abettor Defendants, to directly convert and embezzle millions of dollars of the Tribal money—in the form of cash payments that the RICO Ringleaders made to themselves from the Tribe's bank accounts. The RICO Ringleaders accomplished this both by directly withdrawing large amounts in cash from the Tribe's bank accounts, and by writing checks to each other from the Tribe's bank accounts.

270. The RICO Ringleaders *have admitted to doing this*. However, in a *post hoc* and ham-fisted attempt to justify their theft of Tribal money in this manner; the RICO Ringleaders

have falsely claimed that they were authorized to withdraw Tribal money and use it for their

own personal benefit under farcically generous \$5 million lines of credit that they have falsely

claimed the Tribe granted to each of them. In fact, the Tribe did not properly authorize any of

these withdrawals of Tribal money, all of which constitute thefts and conversions of Tribal

money.

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 80 of 171

- 271. The RICO Ringleaders could not have accomplished this conversion of Tribe money without the substantial assistance of Abettor Defendants Umpqua Bank and their co-RICO Defendant Sherry Myers.
- 272. The Tribe's investigation of Defendants' conversion of Tribe money in this manner is ongoing.
  - 1. With Substantial Assistance from Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank, the RICO Ringleaders Directly Stole Millions in Tribal Money from the Tribe's Bank Accounts at Umpqua Bank
- 273. Over the course of several years, RICO Ringleaders and their co-RICO Defendant Sherry Myers stole millions of dollars of the Tribe's money by simply withdrawing it in large lump sums from the Tribe's bank accounts at Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank or writing checks to themselves out of the accounts. The Tribe did not authorize or consent to any of these payments, all of which constitute conversion of Tribal money. RICO Ringleader John Crosby has described the Tribe's accounts at Umpqua Bank as an "account on the side." RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby admits to having regularly withdrawn funds from it for personal purposes.
- 274. Employees at Umpqua Bank's Orland, California branch again and again handed over enormous amounts of the Tribe's cash to RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby.
- 275. Ms. Crosby would frequently go to Umpqua's Orland, California branch and present checks from the Tribe's checking account made payable to "Cash" or "Umpqua Bank" for large lump sums. Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank tellers and/or other employees, generally the same ones, would give Ms. Crosby cash in payment therefor. At other times, RICO Defendant Ines Crosby would simply withdraw cash from the Tribe's money market account without even presenting a check.
- 276. In 2013 and the first part of 2014 alone, these withdrawals included the following lump sum payments, totaling approximately **§756,344**:
- a. <u>January 15, 2013</u>—\$191,750 Money Market Withdrawal signed by Ines Crosby;

	Case 2:1	.5-at-00	0324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 81 of 171
1		b.	January 23, 2013—\$7,500 check made out to "Cash" signed by Ines
2	Crosby;		
3		c.	February 21, 2013—\$7,500 check made out to "Cash" signed by Ines
4	Crosby;		
5		d.	March 21, 2013—\$7,500 check made out to "Cash" signed by Ines
6	Crosby;		
7		e.	April 17, 2013—\$4,000 check made out to "Cash" signed by Ines
8	Crosby;		
9		f.	April 24, 2013—\$7,500 check made out to "Cash" signed by Ines
10	Crosby;		
11		g.	May 20, 2013—\$7,500.00 check made out to "Cash" signed by Ines
12	Crosby;		
13		h.	May 21, 2013—\$97,350 check made out to "Umpqua Bank" signed by
14	Ines Crosby		
15		i.	June 6, 2013—\$3,000 check made out to "Cash" signed by Ines Crosby;
16		j.	<u>July 3, 2013</u> —\$7,500 check made out to "Cash" signed by Ines Crosby;
17		k.	July 26, 2013—\$7,500 check made out to "Cash" signed by Ines Crosby;
18		1.	August 21, 2013—\$7,500 check made out to "Cash" signed by Ines
19	Crosby;		
20		m.	September 13, 2013—\$6,500 check made out to "Cash" signed by Ines
21	Crosby;		
22		n.	September 27, 2013—\$7,500 check made out to "Cash" signed by Ines
23	Crosby;		
24		0.	October 28, 2013—\$7,500 check made out to "Cash" signed by Ines
25	Crosby;		
26		p.	November 20, 2013—\$7,500 check made out to "Cash" signed by Ines
27	Crosby;		
28			
О			COMPLAINT

#### 1 December 20, 2013—\$7,500 check made out to "Cash" signed by Ines q. 2 Crosby; 3 January 10, 2014—\$1,000 check made out to "Cash" signed by Ines r. 4 Crosby; 5 January 23, 2014—\$7,500 check made out to "Cash" signed by Ines S. 6 Crosby; 7 February 21, 2014—\$7,500 check made out to "Cash" signed by Ines t. 8 Crosby; 9 March 3, 2014—\$1,500 check made out to "Cash" signed by Ines u. 10 Crosby; 11 March 21, 2014—\$7,500 check made out to "Cash" signed by Ines V. 12 Crosby; 13 April 7, 2014—\$10,000 check made out to "Cash" signed by Ines W. 14 Crosby; 15 April 25, 2014—\$16,905 check made out to "Umpqua Bank" signed by X. 16 Ines Crosby; 17 May 5, 2014—\$59,839 check made out to "Umpqua Bank" signed by у. 18 Ines Crosby; 19 Z. May 5, 2014—\$250,000 Money Market Withdrawal signed by Ines 20 Crosby; and 21 May 12, 2014—\$2,000.00 check made out to "Umpqua Bank" signed by aa. 22 Ines Crosby; 23 277. The RICO Defendants, reflecting the brazenness of their pattern of theft, would 24 also simply write checks to each other payable from the Tribe's checking account at Abettor 25 Defendant Umpqua bank, often with false and pretextual check memos. For example, between 26 March 1, 2013 and April 7, 2014, every month, RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby wrote a check for 27 \$600 to RICO Defendant Sherry Myers, memoed simply "mileage." In addition, during this 28 period, Ms. Crosby wrote Ms. Myers a check for \$10,800 and another for \$1,000, without any

indication of purpose in the checks' memos. There is no record of Ms. Myers ever submitting to the Tribe any requests for reimbursement that correspond with these payments. Similarly, during roughly the same period, RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse wrote RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby seven checks totaling \$29,697.59. In the memo section of three of these checks the following false and pretextual memos are respectively written: "cultural video", "reimburse", and "cultural reimbursement". The rest do not have a memo. There is no record of Ms. Crosby ever submitting to the Tribe any requests for reimbursement that correspond with these payments. Notably, with just one exception, the only checks drawn on the Tribe's checking account at Umpqua Bank from 2013 through May of 2014 signed by someone other than RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby were those made out to Defendant Ines Crosby. These checks were signed by Leslie Lohse. The only other check signed by RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse was a check for \$20,000 to the law firm that represented Mr. Lohse in an action brought by the Tribe in Tribal Court to enjoin her continued theft of Tribal money.

278. RICO Ringleader John Crosby, as a former accountant and FBI special agent focusing on white collar crime, was aware of CTR reporting requirements and instructed his mother and co-RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby to structure her withdrawals to avoid them. Ms. Crosby, following this advice, frequently made cash withdrawals from the Tribe's checking account at Abettor Umpqua Bank at levels structured to evade CTR requirements. Examples of this include without limitation fifteen checks for exactly \$7,500 made out to "Cash" by RICO Defendant Ines Crosby and cashed at the Umpqua Bank branch in Orland during the period from January 2013 through March 2014. In several instances, within two weeks or less of a \$7,500 cash withdrawal, RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby cashed checks made out to "Cash" in smaller denominations between \$1000 and \$6500 at the same branch of Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank.

### 2. The RICO Defendants Directly Stole Millions in Tribal Money from the Tribe's Bank Accounts at Cornerstone Bank

279. The RICO Defendants also stole millions of dollars of the Tribe's money by simply withdrawing it in large lump sums from the bank accounts of the Tribe and PEC at

Cornerstone Bank. The Tribe did not authorize or consent to any of these payments, all of which constitute conversion of Tribal money. This included without limitation:

- RICO Ringleader John Crosby's checkless withdrawal, on or about August 17, 2011, of \$54,323.44 from a certain PEC bank account at Cornerstone ("Cornerstone PEC Account X"), which Mr. Crosby then used to purchase a cashier's check to the IRS to pay his personal income taxes.
- RICO Ringleader John Crosby's checkless withdrawal, on or about September 21, 2011, of \$169,164.25 from Cornerstone PEC Account X, which Mr. Crosby then used to purchase two cashier's checks to Corning Ford, used to purchase luxury pickup trucks for RICO Defendants John and Ted Pata, as bribes to prevent their disclosure to others in the Tribe that the RICO Ringleaders had purchased, for their personal use, a private jet for over \$3.6 million with the Tribe's money.
- RICO Ringleader John Crosby's checkless withdrawal, on or about <u>September 26, 2011</u>, of \$9,184.48 from Cornerstone PEC Account X, which Mr. Crosby then used to purchase a cashier's check to Corning Ford, used to pay for a portion of a vehicle for himself or another RICO Ringleader.
- RICO Ringleader John Crosby's checkless withdrawal, on or about October 14, 2011, of \$58,065.40 from Cornerstone PEC Account X, which Mr. Crosby then used to purchase a cashier's check to Corning Ford, used to purchase a vehicle for himself or another RICO Ringleader.
- RICO Ringleader John Crosby's checkless withdrawal, on or about October 19, 2011, of \$56,815.75 from Cornerstone PEC Account X, which Mr. Crosby then used to purchase a cashier's check to Corning Ford, used to purchase a vehicle for himself or another RICO Ringleader.
- RICO Ringleader John Crosby's checkless withdrawal, on or about October 25, 2011, of \$27,000 from Cornerstone PEC Account X, which Mr. Crosby then used to purchase a cashier's check to RICO Defendant Sherry Myers.

1	RICO Ringleader John Crosby's checkless withdrawal, on or
2	about January 10, 2012, of \$838,434.14 from Cornerstone PEC Account X, which Mr. Crosby
3	then used to purchase a cashier's check made out to First American Title for the purchase of a
4	personal luxury home at 7857 Deer Hollow Court in Redding, California (the "Deer Hollow
5	Property"). Pictures of the Deer Hollow Property are attached hereto as <b>Exhibit B</b> .
6	RICO Ringleader John Crosby's checkless withdrawal, on or
7	about April 12, 2012, of \$11,685.24 from Cornerstone PEC Account X, which Mr. Crosby ther
8	used to purchase a cashier's check to Corning Ford, used to pay for a portion of a vehicle for
9	himself or another RICO Ringleader.
10	RICO Ringleader John Crosby's checkless withdrawal, on or
11	about July 19, 2012, of \$19,330.49 from Cornerstone PEC Account X, which Mr. Crosby ther
12	used to purchase a cashier's check to Corning Ford, used to purchase a vehicle for himself or
13	another RICO Ringleader.
14	RICO Ringleader John Crosby's checkless withdrawal, on or
15	about January 31, 2013, of \$66,900.00 from Cornerstone PEC Account X, which Mr. Crosby
16	then used to purchase a cashier's check to Corning Chevrolet, used to purchase a Camaro ZL1;
17	RICO Ringleader John Crosby's withdrawal by check payable to
18	simply "Paskenta," on or about <u>May 21, 2013</u> , of \$100,000;
19	RICO Ringleader John Crosby's checkless withdrawal, on or
20	about May 17, 2013, of \$53,373.40 from a certain PEC bank account at Cornerstone
21	("Cornerstone PEC Account Y");
22	RICO Ringleader John Crosby's checkless withdrawal, on or
23	about June 13, 2013, of \$61,327.75 from Cornerstone PEC Account Y; and
24	RICO Ringleader John Crosby's checkless withdrawal, on or
25	about April 3, 2014, of \$37,788.75 from Cornerstone PEC Account X, which Mr. Crosby ther
26	used to purchase a cashier's check to Corning Chevrolet, used to purchase a vehicle for himself
27	or another RICO Ringleader.

	Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 86 of 171
1	280. In addition, RICO Defendants John Crosby and Larry Lohse wrote checks for
2	large lump sums to each other and/or themselves from bank accounts of the Tribe and PEC at
3	Cornerstone that totaled hundreds of thousands of dollars. The Tribe did not authorize or
4	consent to any of these payments, all of which constitute conversion of Tribal money. These
5	included without limitation the following:
6	a. two \$50,000.00 checks from Cornerstone PEC Account X made payable
7	to RICO Ringleader John Crosby signed by RICO Ringleader Larry Lohse, which posted on or
8	about December 6, 2010;
9	b. two \$50,000.00 checks from Cornerstone PEC Account X made payable
10	to RICO Ringleader Larry Lohse signed by RICO Ringleader John Crosby, which posted on or
11	about December 8, 2010;
12	c. a \$42,790.60 check from Cornerstone PEC Account X made payable to
13	RICO Ringleader Larry Lohse signed by RICO Ringleader John Crosby, which posted on or
14	about December 27, 2010;
15	d. a \$6,175.00 check made payable to RICO Defendant John Crosby; a
16	\$6,175.00 check from Cornerstone PEC Account X made payable to RICO Ringleader John
17	Crosby signed by RICO Ringleader Larry Lohse, which posted on or about <u>December 27, 2010</u> ;
18	e. a \$50,000.00 temporary check from Cornerstone PEC Account X made
19	payable to RICO Ringleader John Crosby signed by RICO Ringleader Larry Lohse, which
20	posted on or about August 12, 2011;
21	f. a \$50,000.00 temporary check from Cornerstone PEC Account X made
22	payable to RICO Ringleader Larry Lohse signed by RICO Ringleader John Crosby, which

Account X made payable to RICO Ringleader Larry Lohse signed by RICO Ringleader John Crosby, which posted on or about August 18, 2011,

a \$13,972.50 check from Cornerstone PEC Account X made payable to RICO Ringleader Larry Lohse signed by RICO Ringleader John Crosby, which posted on or about January 12, 2012;

27

23

24

25

26

1	
2	RICO Ri
3	about De
4	
5	RICO Ri
6	about <u>Jar</u>
7	
8	RICO Ri
9	about Ma
10	
11	RICO Ri
12	about <u>Jur</u>
13	
14	20
	2
15	
15 16	payments
	28
16	28 RICO Ri
16 17	20 RICO Ri themselv
16 17 18	2: RICO Ri themselv Defendar
16 17 18 19	RICO Ri themselv Defendar consent to
16 17 18 19 20	RICO Ri themselv Defendar consent t
116 117 118 119 220 221	RICO Ri themselv Defendar consent t
116 117 118 119 220 221	RICO Ri themselv Defendar consent to 25 Ringlead Crosby to
116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223	RICO Ri themselv Defendar consent t  Ringlead Crosby th approxim
116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224	RICO Ri themselv Defendar consent to 25 Ringlead Crosby to

h. a \$47,682.50 check from Cornerstone PEC Account X made payable to RICO Ringleader John Crosby signed by RICO Ringleader John Crosby, which posted on or about <u>December 21, 2012</u>;

- i. a \$75,250.59 check from Cornerstone PEC Account X made payable to RICO Ringleader Larry Lohse signed by RICO Ringleader John Crosby, which posted on or about January 9, 2013;
- j. a \$33,080.00 check from Cornerstone PEC Account X made payable to RICO Ringleader John Crosby signed by RICO Ringleader John Crosby, which posted on or about May 16, 2013; and,
- k. a \$63,410.84 check from Cornerstone PEC Account X made payable to RICO Ringleader Larry Lohse signed by RICO Ringleader John Crosby, which posted on or about June 4, 2013.

### 3. The RICO Defendants Directly Stole Several Hundred Thousand Dollars in Tribal Money from the Tribe's Bank Accounts at US Bank

- 281. RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby has admitted that the RICO Defendants made cash payments to each other out of the Tribe's bank accounts at US Bank.
- 282. The Tribe's investigation is continuing. However, from 2008 through 2013, RICO Ringleaders Ines Crosby and Leslie Lohse wrote *approximately \$220,000* in checks to themselves, their co-RICO Ringleaders John Crosby and Larry Lohse, and their co-RICO Defendant Sherry Myers from the Tribe's accounts at US Bank. The Tribe did not authorize or consent to any of these payments, all of which constitute conversion of Tribal money.
- 283. By far the largest recipient of Tribal money taken in this way was RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby. From 2008 through 2013, RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse wrote Ms. Crosby thirty checks from the Tribe's checking accounts at US Bank that collectively totaled approximately \$190,000, and Ms. Crosby wrote another approximately \$4,000 in checks from the accounts directly to herself.
- 284. Many of the memos in the checks contain false and pretextual descriptions. For example, during an approximately six month period beginning on <u>December 16, 2008</u> and

27

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 88 of 171

ending on <u>June 26, 2009</u>, Leslie Lohse wrote 11 checks from one of the Tribe's US Bank checking accounts to Ines Crosby for amounts totaling approximately \$65,000. All but one of these checks contain a false and pretextual memo, including checks for \$4,844.34 and \$4,621.04, which cleared respectively on February 6, 2009 and February 9, 2009. The memos for these checks read "lang. conf. reimburse" and "languag conf."

### 4. The RICO Defendants Directly Stole Tens of Thousands in Tribal Money from the Tribe's Bank Accounts at Rabobank

285. The RICO Defendants also stole tens of thousands of dollars in Tribal Money from the Tribe's bank accounts at Rabobank, formerly known as Butte Community Bank, by writing checks payable to themselves from the Tribe's account, including without limitation \$69,789.13 in checks written by Leslie Lohse to herself and cashed from October 16, 2007 through January 24, 2008. The Tribe did not authorize or consent to any of these payments, all of which constitute conversion of Tribal money.

### 5. The RICO Defendants Directly Stole Tens of Thousands in Tribal Money from the Tribe's Bank Accounts at Premier West Bank

286. The RICO Defendants also stole tens of thousands of dollars in Tribal Money from the Tribe's bank accounts at Premier West Bank, by writing checks payable to Premier West Bank from the Tribe's account. The former includes without limitation three checks for a total of **\$80,100.00** in checks cashed on 1/5/09, 2/3/10, and 1/19/11. The Tribe did not authorize or consent to any of these payments, all of which constitute conversion of Tribal money.

# C. The RICO Ringleaders Used Their Control of the Tribe's Bank Accounts to Conduct Fraudulent Transactions that Resulted in the Transfer of Tribal Money to the RICO Ringleaders

287. In April and May of 2014, around the time the Tribe started to discover the RICO Defendants' criminal enterprise and initiated actions to remove the RICO Ringleaders from control of the Tribe, the RICO Ringleaders threw caution to the wind and withdrew as much money as they could from the Tribe's bank accounts. However, prior to that, when the RICO Ringleaders desired to steal very large lump sums of the Tribe's money—*i.e.*, in the hundreds of thousands as opposed to the tens of thousands that they would routinely steal from the Tribe's bank accounts—they would, on occasion, conduct large fraudulent transactions using the

Tribe's money, in the course of which the Tribe's money would end up in the pockets of the RICO Ringleaders. The RICO Ringleaders' purpose in doing so was to disguise their theft. The RICO Ringleaders' co-RICO Defendants substantially assisted the RICO Ringleaders in these actions.

288. The Tribe's investigation is ongoing, and there are numerous suspicious transactions in the dozens of bank accounts opened by the RICO Ringleaders in the name of the Tribe and Tribe-Owned Business. As the RICO Ringleaders intended, detangling these transactions in order to follow the money is complicated and time-consuming. However, the Tribe has already identified several fraudulent transactions in which the RICO Ringleaders engaged with the Tribe's money that ultimately resulted in most or all of that money going into their pockets. The Tribe did not authorize or consent to any of these payments, all of which constitute conversion of Tribal money. These include without limitation the following.

### 1. <u>Fraudulent Circuit Transactions in the Tribe's Accounts at Tri</u>Counties Bank

289. In 2011 and 2013, at roughly the same time of year, the RICO Ringleaders engaged in a series of transactions, in which the RICO Ringleaders deposited hundreds of thousands of dollars in the Tribe's bank accounts at Tri Counties Bank and then within a matter of days caused hundreds of thousands of dollars of those deposits to be paid to the RICO Ringleaders in cash.

290. The first is a series of deposits, transfers, and account closures, in the span of four days in November of 2011 involving three Tribe accounts at Tri Counties Bank, "TCB Tribe Account X", "TCB Tribe Account Y", and "TCP Tribe Account Z." Through this series of transactions, the RICO Ringleaders stole \$791,602.24 of the Tribe's money deposited at Tri Counties Bank.

291. On or about November 21, 2011, the RICO Ringleaders caused a deposit of

\$900,000 to be made into Account X, at a Tri Counties Bank branch in Chico, California.

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 90 of 171

- California.
  - 292. The same day, the RICO Ringleaders, using a check, transferred \$737,200 from TCB Tribe Account X to TCB Tribe Account Y at the same Tri Counties Bank branch in Chico,
  - 293. Then, on or about <u>November 23, 2011</u>, the RICO Ringleaders caused the remaining \$2,165,760.12 of Tribal funds in TCB Tribe Account X to be transferred to the newly established TCB Tribe Account Z, zeroing out TCB Tribe Account X, at the same Tri Counties Bank branch in Chico, California.
  - 294. Next, on or about <u>November 25, 2011</u>, the RICO Ringleaders closed TCB Tribe Account Y—ironically choosing "fraud" as the pretextual reason—causing \$791,602.24, the balance of the Tribe's money in TCB Tribe Account X to be paid to the RICO Ringleaders, at the same Tri Counties Bank branch in Chico, California.
  - 295. There were no transactions in Account Y between the RICO Defendants' transfer of \$737,200 to it from TCB Tribe Account X, on November 21, 2011, and their closure of it, on November 25, 2011. Thus, the bulk of \$791,602.24 that the RICO Ringleaders took from TCB Tribe Account Y upon its closure came from TCB Tribe Account X.
  - 296. There was no legitimate reason for this series of transactions. Rather, the maneuvers were conducted for the purpose, and with the resulting effect of, obscuring the RICO Ringleaders' theft of approximately \$800,000 from the Tribe.
  - 297. In <u>November and December of 2013</u>, the RICO Ringleaders engaged in a similar, but less complex, series of transactions in TCB Tribe Account Z at the same Tri Counties Bank branch in Chico, California that together resulted in the RICO Ringleaders' theft of over \$1.5 million from the Tribe.
  - 298. On or about <u>November 25, 2013</u>, the RICO Ringleaders caused \$760,000 to be deposited in TCB Tribe Account Z; and then, on the same day, withdrew the same amount.
  - 299. Then, approximately one month later, on or about <u>December 20, 2013</u>, the RICO Ringleaders did the exact same thing, causing TCB Tribe \$760,000 to be deposited in Account Z; and then, on the same day, withdrew the same amount.

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 91 of 171

300. There was no legitimate reason for these round-trip transactions; rather, they were done with the purpose and effect of hiding the RICO Defendants' theft of over \$1.5 million from the Tribe.

### 2. <u>\$192,000 Loan of Tribal Money to RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby's</u> Future Brother-In-Law

301. As discussed below, in <u>March of 2013</u>, for the benefit of RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby's sister, the RICO Ringleaders caused PEC to purchase a property in the Tahoe region in order to assist her sister's future husband, Lawrence Tracy, and his then wife finalize their divorce.

302. However, roughly simultaneously with this, the RICO Ringleaders, with the substantial assistance of Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank and their co-RICO Defendants Ted and Jon Pata, arranged a transaction whereby \$192,000 of the Tribe's money was loaned to Mr. Tracy but was ultimately repaid to RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby.

303. On <u>January 14, 2013</u>, RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby and Mr. Tracy executed a loan agreement providing for Ms. Crosby to loan Mr. Tracy \$192,000 on <u>January 15, 2013</u>, interest on which, at 3.5% annual, to be paid monthly and the principal to be repaid on <u>August 13, 2013</u>. The loan was made to allow Mr. Tracy and Ms. Crosby's sister to purchase a new

home.

304. On <u>January 15, 2013</u>, with the substantial assistance of Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank, Ms. Crosby withdrew, at Umpqua's Orland branch, \$191,750 in cash from the Tribe's money market account there. She then gave this money to Mr. Tracy pursuant to the loan Agreement.

\$192,000 payable to Ms. Crosby in satisfaction of the loan.

305. On September 27, 2013, Mr. Tracy had a cashier's check drawn in the amount of

306. Despite having used the Tribe's money to make the loan, Ms. Crosby did <u>not</u> endorse the check to the Tribe and deposit it into the Tribe's money market account at Umpqua Bank from whence the money came or otherwise return the money to the Tribe. Instead, on October 10, 2013, Ms. Crosby, with the substantial assistance and knowing participation of her

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 92 of 171

co-RICO Defendants Ted and Jon Pata, cashed the check at the Casino, leaving with literally shopping bags full of cash. Ms. Crosby did not deposit any portion of these funds in any Tribal bank account or otherwise return it to the Tribe, converting the \$191,750 in Tribal money loaned to Mr. Tracy for herself.

307. RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby was substantially assisted in this theft by her co-RICO Defendants and Pata family members, Ted and Jon Pata. As discussed herein, the RICO Ringleaders placed Ted and Jon Pata in the positions of Tribal Gaming Commissioners in order to further their criminal scheme to defraud the Tribe. In the role of Gaming Commissioners, RICO Defendants Ted and Jon Pata had control over surveillance and certain reporting obligations in the Casino. In this position, RICO Defendants Ted and Jon Pata set and enforced a policy among the Casino's surveillance staff not to surveil or report any conduct or transactions by members of the Pata family, including particularly that of the RICO Ringleaders, in the Casino or other areas in which surveillance occurred.

308. In the October 10, 2013 incident, in particular, RICO Defendant Jon Pata specifically ordered the two surveillance staff on duty at the time not to record or report the transaction by which Ms. Crosby cashed the \$192,000 check from Mr. Tracy and left the Casino with shopping bags full of Tribal money. The purpose and intent of RICO Defendant Jon Pata was to hide Ms. Crosby's theft from discovery by other members of the Tribe. In addition to ordering the surveillance staff not to record or report the transaction, RICO Defendant Jon Pata ordered them not to discuss the transaction with anyone and threatened them with termination if he discovered that they had discussed the transaction.

309. While RICO Defendant Jon Pata was watching on the Casino's surveillance system, his co-RICO Defendant Ted Pata took up a position in front of the location at which the transaction was taking place in the Casino, which is known as "the cage," barring any access to the cage until Ms. Crosby had completed her theft.

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 93 of 171

1 2

3

5

4

7

8

6

9

11

10

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

### After Using the Tribe's Money to Purchase Luxury Homes for Himself, RICO Ringleader John Crosby Took Out Large Home 3. **Equity Loans on the Homes**

- 310. As discussed herein, RICO Ringleader John Crosby, without proper authorization from the Tribe or its consent, used the Tribe's money to purchase luxury homes for him. This included without limitation the purchase of the Deer Hollow Property, in connection with which Mr. Crosby withdrew \$838,434.14 from Cornerstone PEC Account X for the purchase of a cashier's check of the same amount made out to the escrow company to complete the purchase of the home for approximately the same amount.
- 311. RICO Ringleader Crosby's goal in conducting these transactions was not, however, simply to cause the Tribe to pay for his luxury homes. Indeed, at the time Mr. Crosby converted the Tribe's money to purchase the Deer Hollow Property, he was already living in a different luxury home in the same neighborhood that he had purchased with money converted from the Tribe.
- 312. Rather, these were means by which RICO Ringleader Crosby disguised what were, in reality, thefts of large lump sums in cash for the Tribe: after using the Tribe's money to purchase large luxury homes for himself, Mr. Crosby would then take the Tribe's money out of the homes by encumbering them with home equity lines of credit ("HELOCs") that he used for his benefit. The Tribe never authorized or consented to him doing so.
- 313. For example, approximately four months after purchasing the Deer Hollow Property, in or about January 2012, using approximately \$840,000 of the Tribe's money withdrawn from Cornerstone PEC Account X, Mr. Crosby then took out a \$200,000 HELOC secured by the Deer Hollow Property. The lender for this HELOC was Cornerstone.
- 314. Approximately 6 months later, Mr. Crosby effectively withdrew another approximately \$417,000 in cash of the Tribe's money from the Deer Hollow Property. This time, he took out a HELOC for approximately \$417,000 on the property from Quicken Loans. In order to complete this loan, it was necessary for Cornerstone to agree to subordinate its loan to Quicken, which it agreed to do.

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 94 of 171

315. It is further relevant to note, that, as discussed herein, at approximately the same time as RICO Ringleader Crosby was taking the equity out of the Deer Hollow property in this manner, he was using the Tribe's money, without its authorization or consent, to pay for approximately \$660,000 in renovations to the Deer Hollow Property.

D. The RICO Ringleaders, with Substantial Assistance from Abettor
Defendants Umpqua Bank Used, Without Authorization or Consent,
Millions of Dollars of the Tribe's Money to Purchase Goods and Services
Personally Consumed by the RICO Defendants

316. Apparently not satisfied with millions of dollars that they caused the Tribe to pay them in extremely excessive and improper compensation and the millions more they embezzled from the Tribe in cash taken from its bank accounts, the RICO Ringleaders also used their control over the Tribe and its bank accounts to cause the Tribe to purchase millions of dollars in goods and services personally consumed by the RICO Defendants and/or their friends and family.

317. The RICO Ringleaders used Tribal funds to purchase for themselves and their friends and family all the trappings of a billionaire lifestyle, including without limitation regular private jet travel, luxury homes, luxury cars, hundreds of thousands of dollars in home improvements, gold and jewelry, and hundreds of thousands of dollars in tickets and other expenses related to high profile sporting events.

318. The RICO Defendants admit to having extensively used the Tribe's money in this way to directly pay for their own extravagant lifestyle. However, they claim that they were authorized to do so, occasionally (and inconsistently) basing their claims on a fabricated \$5 million line of credit, discussed herein, that they falsely claim the Tribe granted each of them. These claims are false. The Tribe authorized none of these payments of Tribal money for the RICO Ringleaders' benefit and it never consented to them. While RICO Ringleader John Crosby has an accounting degree and RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse worked as a bookkeeper for many years, the RICO Ringleaders claim not to have maintained records of these purchases, belying their claim that the purchases were authorized and/or made pursuant to these fictional

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 95 of 171

lines of credit. Accordingly, the Tribe is still in the process of identifying the full extent of their theft of Tribal money in this manner.

319. In this enterprise, the RICO Ringleaders were given substantial assistance by their co-RICO Defendant Sherry Meyers, who knowingly arranged and facilitated many of the purchases with Tribal money of personal goods and services for the RICO Ringleaders, Abettor Defendants Umpqua Bank, who knowingly, and/or in breach of its duties of care to the Tribe, provided the RICO Ringleaders unchecked access to the Tribe's money on deposit with them and facilitated these unauthorized purchases with Tribal funds, and the Patriot Defendants, who knowingly facilitated RICO Ringleader John Crosby's theft of approximately \$160,000 of Tribal money through a purchase of precious metals.

# 1. The RICO Ringleaders Caused the Tribe to Pay for Tens of Millions of Dollars in Personal Travel by Private Jet for Them and Their Family Members

million worth of travel on private jets paid for by the Tribe, the great bulk of which was for their own personal benefit, as well as that of their friends and family. This included without limitation the following unauthorized and unconsented instances of personal use: (1) approximately 134 trips by RICO Ringleaders Larry and Leslie Lohse to Glendale, Arizona, where one of their sons lived; (2) trips by RICO Ringleaders Larry and Leslie Lohse to World Series games in which another of their sons—Kyle Lohse of the Saint Louis Cardinals—pitched; (3) approximately 40 trips by RICO Ringleader John Crosby and his family to Provo, Utah, where their relatives lived; (4) numerous trips by RICO Ringleader John Crosby to attend luxury sporting event junkets, including to New Orleans to attend the 2012 NCAA Basketball Final Four; (5) nearly eighty trips from Chico to Redding, less than 75 miles away; (4) many trips (40 in 2013 alone) from Corning to Sacramento (where Defendants had floor-level season tickets for the Sacramento Kings), a trip that takes less than two and a half hours by car; and (5) numerous trips by Kyle Lohse and his Cardinals teammates.

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 96 of 171

- 321. In fact, so common was the unauthorized and unconsented instances of personal use by Kyle Lohse and his Cardinal teammates that at certain airports the plane became known to airport employees as, paraphrasing, "the plane that the baseball players always use."
- 322. In a concession that they used the Tribe's money to pay for extensive personal private jet travel, certain RICO Ringleaders have claimed that their use of the Tribe's money in this way was pursuant to the fictional \$5 million lines of credit that the four RICO Ringleaders claim to have been given by the Tribe. Others, recognizing that the cost of the personal jet travel they consumed at the Tribe's expense was well in excess of their fictionalized credit, have not sought to justify it all. And there is no record that any of the RICO Ringleaders have ever reported the personal travel expenses paid for by the Tribe on their taxes.
- 323. In fact, the Tribe never authorized or consented to the RICO Ringleaders using the Tribe's money to pay for personal private jet travel; and, indeed, the Tribe had no legitimate business or governmental need for any type of private jet ownership, fractional or entire. Nonetheless, the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to first purchase fractional ownership interests in private jets and then purchase an entire jet, which were used almost exclusively for the RICO Ringleaders' personal purposes. This constituted a conversion—a theft—of Tribal resources.
- 324. So predominant was the personal use by the RICO Ringleaders of the private jets for which they caused the Tribe to pay that most in the Tribe had no idea that the Tribe had any ownership interest in a private plane. This was an intentional result. Evidencing their recognition that the use of Tribal money to pay for this massive amount of extraordinarily expensive and wasteful private jet travel was unauthorized, unconsented to, and improper, the RICO Ringleaders, as part of their larger strategy to hide from the Tribe information that would allow it to discover the RICO Ringleaders' scheme to defraud it, took extraordinary actions to hide their use of the Tribe's money for this purpose.
- 325. Indeed, when RICO Defendants John and Ted Pata, who were members of the Tribal Gaming Commission, discovered that the RICO Ringleaders had caused the Tribe to purchase a new jet for approximately \$3,600,000 in or around <u>December 2010</u>, of which the

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 97 of 171

aforementioned \$3,100,000 wire was a part, RICO Ringleader John Crosby bribed each with a brand new \$75,000 plus truck to buy their silence concerning the purchase. As discussed herein, RICO Ringleader John Crosby, true to form, converted Tribal money in Cornerstone PEC Account X to purchase the trucks that he used for these bribes.

## 2. The RICO Ringleaders Used Tribal Money to Pay for Two Luxury Homes for RICO Ringleader John Crosby and Extensive Renovations to the Homes of all Four RICO Ringleaders

326. As discussed herein, RICO Ringleader John Crosby embezzled approximately \$840,000 of the Tribe's money deposited in Cornerstone PEC Account X to purchase the Deer Hollow Property in 2012, but falsely claims that he was entitled to do so based on the fictional \$5 million line of credit in his Fraudulent Employment Agreement. Records from Cornerstone Bank record a payment of \$838,434.14 that the RICO Ringleader John Crosby caused to be made using a cashier's check purchased with money withdrawn from Cornerstone PEC Account X on or about January 10, 2012 for the purchase of the home. As discussed above, in the following ten months, he then proceeded to withdraw approximately \$620,000 of equity from the property through two HELOCs secured by the property. Neither the use of the Tribe's money to purchase the Deer Hollow Property nor his withdrawal of approximately \$620,000 of the Tribe's equity from the house through these HELOCs was authorized by or consented to by the Tribe

327. This, however, was not the only luxury home that RICO Ringleader John Crosby used Tribal money to purchase, without the Tribe's authorization or consent. Previously, RICO Ringleader John Crosby had done the same thing with a different house.

328. While the Deer Hollow Property was already highly luxurious, as purchased with the Tribe's money, the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to spend at least another **approximately \$650,000 on renovations to the property**. They did so, in large part, by simply writing large checks to various vendors from the Cornerstone PEC Account X signed by RICO Ringleader John Crosby. These include without limitation:

1	a. Payments totaling at least approximately \$420,000 made to Guiton's
2	Inc. a pool contractor, for grading, walls, pool, and other yard improvements at Deer Hollow
3	Property, including:
4	a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$74,826.50 that
5	cleared on or around May 24, 2012;
6	a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$119,131.00 that
7	cleared on or around June 7, 2012;
8	a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$81,558.00 that
9	cleared on or around July 20, 2012;
10	a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$49,097.92 that
11	cleared on or around August 20, 2012;
12	a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$11,021.98 that
13	cleared on or around October 18, 2012;
14	a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$59,594.25 that
15	cleared on or around March 21, 2013;
16	a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$15,891.80 that
17	cleared on or around <u>June 17, 2013</u> ; and
18	a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$9,171.53 that
19	cleared on or around <u>July 23, 2013</u> .
20	b. Payments totaling at least approximately \$31,690.00 made to Gerety's
21	Inc. for landscaping work at the Deer Hollow Property, including:
22	a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$14,805.00 that
23	cleared on or around March 25, 2013; and
24	a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$16,885.00 that
25	cleared on or around July 24, 2013.
26	c. Payments totaling at least approximately \$75,548.00 made to Tribe-
27	Owned Business "E" for materials for the construction of a vehicle storage building at the Deer
28	

### Hollow Property in which RICO Ringleader John Crosby stored the numerous luxury vehicles 1 2 that he purchased with money stolen from the Tribe, including: 3 a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$60,617.34 that 4 cleared on or around August 22, 2012; and 5 a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$14,930.66 that 6 cleared on or around September 14, 2012. d 7 Payments totaling at least approximately \$80,926.55 made to Peters Construction Co. for home renovation work at the Deer Hollow Property, including: 8 9 a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$34,100.55 that cleared on or around August 2, 2012; 10 11 a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$39,691.00 that cleared on or around September 14, 2012; and 12 13 a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$7,135.00 that 14 cleared on or around October 18, 2012. 15 Payments totaling at least approximately \$35,751.25 made to Tig Tech e. 16 Inc. for construction of iron fencing at the Deer Hollow Property, including: 17 a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$14,271.25 that cleared on or around August 17, 2012; 18 19 a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$8,800.00 that 20 cleared on or around March 7, 2013; and 21 a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$12,680.00 that 22 cleared on or around October 9, 2013. f. 23 Payment of at least approximately \$6,924.00 to Town & Country Inc. 24 for interior design work at the Deer Hollow property including a check from Cornerstone PEC 25 Account X for that amount, which cleared on or around February 15, 2012.

26

27

28

the Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$31,567.85 that cleared on or around September 4, 2013, a

property tax bill that RICO Ringleader John Crosby owed for the Deer Hollow Property.

329. RICO Ringleader John Crosby further caused the Tribe to pay, via a check from

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 100 of 171 330. As discussed herein, roughly simultaneously with the expenditures from 1 2 Cornerstone PEC Account X for renovations to the Deer Hollow Property, RICO Ringleader 3 John Crosby withdrew approximately \$620,000 in equity from the Deer Hollow Property 4 through two HELOCs. 5 331. RICO Defendant John Crosby on November 6, 2014 listed the Deer Hollow property for sale at an asking price of \$1,500,000; the listing was recently removed. 6 7 332. The RICO Defendants also caused the Tribe to spend at least approximately \$98,000 on the construction of a koi pond in the yard of RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby's home. 8 9 They did so, again, by simply writing large checks to Guiton's from the Cornerstone PEC 10 Account X signed by RICO Ringleader John Crosby. These include: 11 a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$8,658.88 that 12 cleared on or around December 27, 2011; 13 a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$17,824.00 that 14 cleared on or around January 17, 2012; 15 a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$49,016.00 that 16 cleared on or around January 24, 2012; 17 a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$17,824.00 that 18 cleared on or around March 1, 2012; and 19 a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$4,456.00 that 20 cleared on or around May 24, 2012. 21 333. In 2009, the RICO Ringleaders also used Tribal money to conduct substantial 22 renovations of the home of RICO Ringleaders Leslie and Larry Lohse. 23 3. The RICO Ringleaders Caused the Tribe to Purchase Numerous

3. The RICO Ringleaders Caused the Tribe to Purchase Numerous Luxury Vehicles for Them and Their Co-RICO Defendants, with Substantial Assistance from Umpqua Bank

334. The RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to spend <u>well over approximately</u> **\$660,000** for luxury vehicles purchased for the RICO Defendants—including a Boss 302 limited-production Mustang convertible, a 650-horsepower Shelby Mustang, and a Camaro ZL1 for RICO Ringleader John Crosby, two luxury Mercedes for RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby, and

24

25

26

27

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 101 of 171

several luxury pickup trucks and SUVs for RICO Ringleaders Larry and Leslie Lohse. The RICO Ringleaders do not deny that this occurred, but claim they were entitled to do so based the fictional \$5 million dollar line of credit in their Fraudulent Employment Agreements.

335. As discussed herein, over the course of just three years, RICO Ringleader John Crosby withdrew at least approximately \$430,000 of the Tribe's money from Cornerstone PEC Account X to purchase luxury vehicles for himself and other RICO Defendants, including as payments for the silence of his co-RICO Defendants John and Ted Pata.

336. In addition, over the same period, RICO Ringleader John Crosby, wrote checks from the same Cornerstone PEC Account X to Corning Ford totaling approximately another \$131,000 for even more luxury vehicles for himself and/or other RICO Defendants, including:

- a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$45,621.75 that cleared on or around April 15, 2011;
- a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$74,429.88 that cleared on or around <u>July 31, 2012</u>; and
- a check from Cornerstone PEC Account X for \$20,209.75 that cleared on or around November 19, 2013.

337. Additional purchases of vehicles that the RICO Defendants caused the Tribe to make for them include without limitation: the purchase, on or around March 21, 2014 of a vehicle for RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby from Mercedes Benz of Rocklin by check for \$93,331.05 drawn from a Tribe account at Umpqua; the purchase, on or around September 20, 2013, of a vehicle from Crown Motors by check for \$24,542.88 drawn from Cornerstone PEC Account Y; and an additional purchase of a Mercedes vehicle for RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby.

338. While the RICO Ringleaders have falsely sought to justify this ridiculous theft of Tribal money as authorized under the fictional \$5 million lines of credit, RICO Ringleader John Crosby, evidencing his awareness of the falsity of that claim, soon after his removal from control by the Tribe, moved his extensive collection of luxury cars purchased with Tribal money out of the Deer Hollow Property, literally in the middle of the night. Given the number of such

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 102 of 171

vehicles he was required to hire a sixteen-wheel car carrier, of the type used by car dealers, to move the vehicles.

339. The RICO Ringleaders could not have converted the Tribe's money in this way without substantial assistance from Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank. Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank facilitated this conversion of Tribal money by the RICO Ringleaders, and their related breaches of their fiduciary duties, by executing the foregoing and other similar transactions by the RICO Ringleaders with the Tribe's money. It did so knowing that these transactions constituted conversions of the Tribe's money and breaches of the RICO Ringleaders' fiduciary duties and/or without fulfilling its duty to the Tribe to make an inquiry into their propriety.

### 4. The RICO Ringleaders Used Tribal Money to Pay for Numerous Luxury Sporting Events for Themselves

340. The RICO Ringleaders, without the Tribe's authorization or consent, used approximately \$1.2 million of the Tribe's money to pay for tickets and other expenses (not including the cost of their frequent use of a private jet paid for by the Tribe for related travel) for sporting events attended by the RICO Ringleaders, including at least approximately \$1 million for floor-level Sacramento Kings tickets every year from 2006 through the first part of 2014, and luxury trips to attend the 2008, 2010 and 2011 U.S. Open, the 2010 Masters, and the 2012 NCAA Final Four.

341. This included several super luxurious ticket packages put together by Marcus Evans, Inc., which cost the Tribe tens of thousands of dollars per event. Without the Tribe's authorization or consent, the RICO Ringleaders wired money from Cornerstone PEC Account X to the bank account of Marcus Evans, Inc. to pay for these packages, including without limitation:

• wires for \$34,950 on or around <u>September 30, 2009</u> and for \$11,550 on or around <u>December 17, 2009</u> for a luxury 2010 Masters Golf Tournament package in Augusta, Georgia;

	Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 103 of 171
1	• wires for \$29,100 on or around <u>January 29, 2010</u> and for \$1,650
2	on or around March 1, 2010 for a luxury 2010 US Open Golf Tournament package in Pebble
3	Beach, California;
4	• wires for \$19,500 on or around March 15, 2010 and for \$14,600
5	on or around May 28, 2010 for a luxury 2011 US Open Golf Tournament package in Bethesda,
6	Maryland;
7	• wires for \$12,500 on or around <u>September 30, 2011</u> for a luxury
8	2012 NCAA Final Four Basketball Tournament package in New Orleans, Louisiana; and
9	• a wire for \$26,750.00 on or around March 6, 2012 for a luxury
10	2012 US Open Golf Tournament package in San Francisco, California.

#### 5. The RICO Ringleaders, with Substantial Assistance from Abettor Defendants Umpqua Bank and The Patriot, Used Tribal Money to **Pay for Numerous other Personal Expenses**

- 342. In addition to the foregoing, the RICO Ringleaders used Tribal money to pay for millions more in other personal expenses, which they falsely claim they were entitled to do pursuant to the fictional \$5 million lines of credit in the Fraudulent Employment Agreements. These expenses, which the Tribe is still investigating, were unauthorized and unconsented to by the Tribe.
- 343. One of the principal means by which the RICO Defendants accomplished this conversion was by causing the Tribe to pay credit card bills that the RICO Defendants incurred in purchasing goods and services for themselves. For example, based only on its preliminary investigation, the Tribe has identified approximately \$3 million paid from the Tribe's bank accounts for this purpose. This amount includes, without limitation, \$2,394,386 in payments to American Express during the period of 2003 through a portion of 2014.
- 344. In fact, for just the period of February 2013 through March 2014, the RICO Ringleaders caused \$472,981.14 in American Express bills to be paid via the Automatic Clearing House ("ACH") system from the Tribe's checking account at Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank, including the following extraordinarily high monthly bills:
  - \$52,955.44 paid on or around March 22, 2013;

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

	Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 104 of 171
1	• \$116,252.31 paid on or around <u>May 22, 2013</u> ;
2	• \$40,472.29 paid on or around <u>June 17, 2013</u> ;
3	• \$59,589.69 paid on or around <u>August 2, 2013;</u>
4	• \$50,817.57 paid on or around <u>September 11, 2013;</u>
5	• \$32,829.67 paid on or around <u>October 7, 2013</u> ; and
6	• \$35,952.15 paid on or around <u>January 17, 2014</u> .
7	345. The Tribe is in the process of gathering more specific information regarding the
8	unauthorized and unconsented to personal purchases that the RICO Ringleaders made with the
9	Tribe's money via credit cards in this manner—a practice which RICO Ringleaders admit to
10	having regularly done. However, the following charges from 2006 and 2007 are illustrative:
11	• \$685.77 on or around November 17, 2006 for The Spa Resort;
12	• \$127.93 on or around November 21, 2006 for DirecTV;
13	• \$342.23 on or around <u>December 30, 2006</u> for Estrella Mountain
14	Golf;
15	• \$1,000.00 on or around <u>January 26, 2007</u> for Fifth Avenue
16	Limousine;
17	• \$2,625.46 on or around March 31, 2007 for Sears Roebuck (2
18	charges);
19	• \$1,285.69 on or around <u>May 5-6, 2007</u> for La Costa Resort & Spa
20	(5 charges);
21	• \$12,608.65 in or around March 2010 for airfare, hotel, car rental
22	and golf expenses in Puerto Rico; and
23	• \$1,246.92 on or around <u>April 2, 2011</u> for Best Buy.
24	346. The RICO Ringleaders would also frequently simply write checks from the
25	Tribe's bank accounts for their personal purchases, large and small, as though these account
26	were the RICO Ringleaders' own. Again, the RICO Ringleaders admit to doing so, but claim
27	they were entitled to do so pursuant to the fictional \$5 million lines of credit.
28	
0	

### 1 347. In addition to those checks already discussed herein, these included without 2 limitation the following checks written by RICO Ringleader John Crosby from Cornerstone 3 PEC Account X: 4 a check for \$813 to the DMV to pay for the registration of a 5 personal vehicle of a RICO Ringleader that posted on or around December 1, 2011; 6 two checks, totaling \$453, to the DMV to pay for the registration 7 of a personal vehicle of a RICO Ringleader that posted on or around <u>December 2, 2011</u>; 8 a check for \$359 to the DMV to pay for the registration of a 9 personal vehicle of a RICO Ringleader that posted on or around March 2, 2012; 10 a check for \$660 to the DMV to pay for the registration of a 11 personal vehicle of a RICO Ringleader that posted on or around August 28, 2012; 12 a check for \$669 to the DMV to pay for the registration of a 13 personal vehicle of a RICO Ringleader that posted on or around August 29, 2012; 14 a check for \$9,408 to The Patriot for gold that posted on or around 15 April 15, 2013; 16 a check for \$372 to the DMV to pay the registration for a personal 17 vehicle of a RICO Ringleader that posted on or around April 29, 2013; 18 eight separate checks, totaling \$150,382.95 to The Patriot for gold 19 that posted on or around June 6, 2013; 20 a check for \$24,000 to Liberty Christian Schools in Redding, 21 California for the tuition of one or more children of RICO Ringleader John Crosby that posted 22 on or around September 10, 2013; and 23 a check for \$632 to the DMV to pay for the registration of a 24 personal vehicle of a RICO Ringleader that posted on or around November 7, 2013. 25 348. These also included without limitation monthly checks of \$2,050 to Whole Body 26 Fitness written from the Tribe's checking account at Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank by RICO

27

28

Ringleader Ines Crosby, which totaled \$32,800 for just the period of January 2013 through

April of 2014, and a check of \$20,000 to Galanda Broadman—a law firm that the RICO

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 106 of 171

Ringleaders hired to defend them—written from the Tribe's checking account at Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank by RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse that posted on or around <u>April 23</u>, 2014.

349. The RICO Ringleaders could not have converted the Tribe's money in this way without substantial assistance from Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank. Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank facilitated this conversion of Tribal money by the RICO Ringleaders, and their related breaches of their fiduciary duties, by executing the foregoing and other similar transactions by the RICO Ringleaders with the Tribe's money. It did so knowing that these transactions constituted conversions of the Tribe's money and breaches of the RICO Ringleaders' fiduciary duties and/or without fulfilling its duty to the Tribe to make an inquiry into their propriety.

### E. The RICO Ringleaders Used Their Control Over the Tribe's Money to Divert Millions to Their Relatives and Friends

350. Reflecting the brazenness with which RICO Ringleaders engaged in their scheme, they did not stop at converting the Tribe's money for their own benefit but also diverted millions of dollars to relatives and friends. None of these diversions were authorized or consented to by the Tribe.

- 351. The RICO Ringleaders regularly would simply write checks for thousands of dollars from the Tribe's bank accounts to their relatives, mainly members of the Pata family. However, in other instances, the RICO Ringleaders created a pretense for the diversion of the Tribe's money, of which the following are illustrative examples.
- 352. The RICO Ringleaders caused Tribe-Owned Business "E" to pay Erik Lohse—son of RICO Ringleaders Leslie and Larry Lohse—who lives in Arizona, has no expertise that Tribe-Owned Business "E" requires, and performs no work for Tribe-Owned Business "E", \$60,000 a year in compensation purportedly for his services as a Board Member of Tribe-Owned Business "M."
- 353. In or around March 2013, to assist RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby's sister, the RICO Ringleaders caused the PEC to purchase four parcels of raw property in Truckee/Donner,

with forged documentation of PEC Board authorization, for the purpose of finalizing the divorce of Lawrence Tracy from his then wife and thus his subsequent marriage to RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby's sister.

354. The RICO Ringleaders would also sometimes characterize such diversions of the Tribe's money couched as "investments," all of which caused the Tribe massive losses and none of which were authorized by the Tribe. The Tribe is continuing to investigate what, if any, portion of the Tribe's money invested in these "investments" was kicked back to the RICO Ringleaders.

355. For example, RICO Ringleaders John and Ines Crosby caused PEC to agree to invest in Knee Centers Holding Company (also known as Emere Holding LLC). The Tribe ultimately invested approximately \$7 million, and now owns 31 percent of the company. Knee Centers has never been profitable; its financial records—which the Tribe does not appear to have had access to until after April 12, 2014—are opaque but the company now appears insolvent; and even the company's rosiest projections of its own future prospects could never have justified \$7 million investment, much less an investment of this magnitude for only a 31 percent interest. None of that, however, appears to have been shared with the Tribal Council, with the PEC Board (or at least with Mr. Galford), or with anyone else at the Tribe. The vast majority of the money invested by PEC in the company appears to have been transferred to other companies; the reasons for such transfers and the use of the money transferred is not yet clear and information regarding this has not been made available to the Tribe. RICO Ringleaders John and Ines Crosby sit on the board of Knee Centers Holding Company and thus presumably authorized these transfers.

356. Another example is the investment that the RICO Ringleaders caused the Tribe to make in Abettor Defendant The Patriot. The Patriot sells gold and silver coins and bullion, emergency food, and emergency supplies. From May 2011 through September 2011, the RICO Defendants caused the Tribe to send three wires for \$50,000 each and one check of \$50,000, all from Cornerstone PEC Account X. According to a Promissory Note dated October 1, 2011, the Tribe loaned The Patriot \$200,000 at an annual interest rate of 7 percent. The Note was secured

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 108 of 171

by 40 oz. of gold and 3,888 oz. of silver that were left in the possession of The Patriot. The Patriot has paid the Tribe approximately \$28,000 in interest; however, when the Note came due on October 1, 2014, The Patriot breached its obligation to repay the principal.

357. The RICO Ringleaders could not have converted the Tribe's money in this way without substantial assistance from Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank. Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank facilitated this conversion of Tribal money by the RICO Ringleaders, and their related breaches of their fiduciary duties, by executing the foregoing and other similar transactions by the RICO Ringleaders with the Tribe's money. It did so knowing that these transactions constituted conversions of the Tribe's money and breaches of the RICO Ringleaders' fiduciary duties and/or without fulfilling its duty to the Tribe to make an inquiry into their propriety.

## F. The Tribe Is Continuing to Investigate What Happened to Millions of Dollars that Went Missing

358. In addition to the losses of millions of dollars in Tribal money that the Tribe has traced to specific acts of embezzlement and conversion by the RICO Defendants discussed herein, the Tribe is unable, at this point, to account for several million dollars in Tribal funds that went missing during the period in which the RICO Ringleaders controlled the Tribe. The Tribe is in the process of investigating what happened to this money. However, it appears most if not all of these funds were embezzled by the RICO Defendants.

- 359. Indicative of the RICO Defendants' broad, sustained and multifaceted scheme to defraud, the Tribe has identified numerous unexplained large transactions in the limited records of the Tribe's bank accounts to which the Tribe has so far gained access.
- 360. For example, on or about May 3, 2014, well after Ms. Crosby had been removed from her position at the Tribe, RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby wrote a check for \$300,000 to an "NPI," which was cashed on or about May 6, 2014. The Tribe is in the process of investigating who or what "NPI" is and did not authorize or consent to this payment.

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

#### III. RICO DEFENDANTS ENGAGED IN A CONCERTED EFFORT TO HIDE THEIR SCHEME TO DEFRAUD AND EVADE LIABILITY THEREFOR

#### A. The RICO Defendants Misled the Tribe and Its Members Regarding the **Tribe's Finances**

- 361. As discussed herein, the RICO Defendants were able to take control of the Tribe through a pattern of racketeering activity, including, in particular, the use of threats of disenrollment to intimidate anyone who questioned their conduct, let alone challenged their control of the Tribe and its finances.
- 362. The RICO Defendants, furthermore, tightly controlled the flow of information concerning their financial dealings, in order to hide their self-dealing and other malfeasance. As alleged herein, the methods that the RICO Defendants employed in this effort included without limitation:
- Barring access by other Tribal members to statements and other information concerning activity in the Tribe's bank accounts and retirement plans;
- Refusing even to provide Tribal members with a copy of the Tribal Constitution;
- Refusing to discuss the terms of their compensation with the Tribe, the Tribal Council or any member of the Tribe, let alone seeking the required authorization from the Tribal Council.
- Intentionally failing to keep records of, or properly report to tax authorities, their expenditures of Tribal money for personal purposes and their direct receipt of Tribal money.
- Intentionally failing to conduct any audit of Tribal finances, including without limitation those required by law.
- 363. Concerning the latter omissions, the RICO Defendants cannot claim that they did not understand (i) the need for accounting records and tax compliance, or (ii) that certain more complex requirements may require professional advice. RICO Ringleaders John Crosby and Leslie Lohse have prior training and/or experience that would assist them in understanding the need for typical accounting records and tax reporting. Specifically:

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 110 of 171

- John Crosby has an accounting degree from California State Polytechnic University at Pomona, and worked as an accountant after graduation. After getting a law degree from the University of Colorado, Mr. Crosby investigated white-collar crime as a Special Agent with the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI).
- Leslie Lohse is the Tribe's Treasurer. She worked as a bookkeeper for much of her adult life before becoming the Tribe's Treasurer.
- 364. The RICO Defendants' failure to keep adequate records of the extent of their malfeasance was no accident; it was a critical part of their scheme to defraud devised by RICO Defendants to hinder the Tribe's discovery of the scheme.

## B. RICO Defendants Fabricated "Employment Agreements" to Fraudulently Justify their Theft of Tribal Resources

365. In April 2014, in the face of increasing scrutiny of their wrongful conduct, at the direction of RICO Ringleader John Crosby, the RICO Ringleaders fabricated employment agreements with the Tribe, purportedly dated between January 25-26, 2001, in an attempt to create a *post hoc* justification for their theft ("Fraudulent Employment Agreements"). In fact, however, the RICO Defendants' decision to fabricate these agreements is an implicit admission that their conduct described in the foregoing paragraphs was unauthorized and rather constituted a pattern of systematic scheming to defraud the Tribe of millions of dollars.

366. The Fraudulent Employment Agreements contain extraordinarily generous terms for the four RICO Defendants that are unheard of in normal employment contracts. Among them are: (1) they had twenty year terms; (2) they limited "for cause" termination of the RICO Defendants to circumstances in which the RICO Defendant confessed to, pled guilty to, or was convicted of certain felonies (meaning that none of the RICO Defendants could purportedly be terminated for cause due to unacceptable job performance, no matter how egregious, or even well-founded suspicion of criminal or tortious conduct and/or breaches of their fiduciary duties); (3) they provided for \$5 million lines of credit (with 1% simple interest) for each of the RICO Defendants, which would be forgiven if the RICO Defendants were terminated without

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 111 of 171

cause or if the Tribe's state gaming compact was extended beyond 2020; and (4) they included disproportionately generous severance packages.

367. The extraordinarily generous (and convenient) terms of the Fraudulent Employment Agreements themselves are indicative of the documents' fraudulent character. For example, it is inexplicable why the Tribe would give these four employees, and only these, with virtually iron-clad 20-year employment agreements and a total of \$20 million in forgivable lines of credit, at a time when the Casino was not yet built and the Tribe had no revenue. This is especially inexplicable when one considers that RICO Ringleader John Crosby had only just recently been hired by the Tribe and Defendant Larry Lohse's job duties, as Environmental Director, were likely to be extremely limited after the initial build out of the Casino. Furthermore, the Casino was not even in operation.

368. However, there are numerous other indicia of the fraudulent nature of the Fraudulent Employment Agreements including without limitation the following.

369. First, there is no evidence—in any Tribal Council minutes, resolutions, or other Tribal records—that the Tribal Council considered and approved of these Fraudulent Employment Agreements. Such approval is not only required under the Tribe's Constitution, its absence given the extraordinarily generous terms of the purported agreements is inexplicable. Assuming *arguendo* that the Fraudulent Employment Agreements were legitimate, the RICO Defendants would have had a huge incentive to ensure that the agreements were properly approved and that such approval was properly recorded, in order to prevent any challenge to their authenticity or validity.

370. Second, on <u>January 1, 2001</u>, less than a month before the Fraudulent Employment Agreements were purportedly executed, when RICO Defendant John Crosby and the then General Counsel joined the Tribal administration, they entered into written contracts with the Tribe, dated <u>January 1, 2001</u>. RICO Defendant John Crosby's and the General Counsel's contracts were identical and are fairly standard employment agreements—they provided for regular annual compensation and various incidental benefits; they defined "for cause" termination to include gross violations of the terms of employment; and they restricted

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 112 of 171

Mr. Crosby's and the General Counsel's rights to compete with Tribal enterprises when receiving severance payments. The entire Tribal Council (Chairperson Everett Freeman, Vice-Chairperson Andrew Freeman, Treasurer Leslie Lohse, Secretary Geraldine Freeman, and At-Large-Member Carlino Swearinger) executed both contracts on behalf of the Tribe, and copies of the contracts were maintained in the Tribal office.

371. The Tribe's purported decision, less than a month later, and without record of Tribal Council approval, to have entered into a different, far more generous contract with RICO Defendant John Crosby and his co-RICO Defendants, though not with the General Counsel, is inexplicable. Moreover, unlike RICO Defendant John Crosby and the General Counsel's January 1st contracts, the Fraudulent Employment Contracts were not signed by the entire Tribal Counsel. Rather, the Fraudulent Employment Agreements for RICO Defendants John Crosby, Ines Crosby and Larry Crosby were purportedly only signed by RICO Defendant Leslie Lohse (the aunt, sister and husband, respectively, of the contract's beneficiary), on January 25, 2001, and by Everett Freeman and Bud Swearinger, both of whom are now deceased, on January 26, 2001. RICO Defendant Leslie Lohse's Fraudulent Employment Agreement was only purportedly signed by the same two deceased tribal council members and Andrew Freeman. However, Andrew Freeman denies that he had any knowledge of the Fraudulent Employment Agreements before May 2014; and he says the signature of his name on Ms. Lohse's contract is not in his handwriting. Indeed, at all relevant times until May 2014, the Tribe and its members were unaware of these purported employment contracts.

372. The explanation offered by RICO Defendants Ines Crosby, John Crosby, and Leslie Lohse as to why Geraldine Freeman, Secretary of the Tribal Council in 2001, did not sign any of the four contracts is further indicative of their fraud. All three claimed that she did not sign the agreements because Ms. Freeman was then ill, and may have been in the hospital. However, Ms. Freeman's illness and consequent absence from Tribal affairs, in fact, occurred in approximately *April 2002*. Indeed, Ms. Freeman participated in Tribal Council meetings in January and February 2001 and even prepared the minutes for those meetings.

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 113 of 171

373. Third, while the aforementioned employment agreements entered into by the General Counsel and RICO Defendant John Crosby were maintained in the Tribal office, no copy of any of the four Fraudulent Employment Agreements was maintained there. Rather, according to RICO Defendants Ines Crosby, John Crosby, and Leslie Lohse, the RICO Defendants chose to have RICO Defendant John Crosby maintain the only existing copies of the Fraudulent Employment Agreements at Mr. Crosby's home, from their purported execution in January 2001, including through his move from one home to another. Notably, RICO Defendant Larry Lohse claimed instead that Ms. Lohse had maintained his contract.

374. Fourth, while the RICO Defendants have explicitly sought to justify at least \$4 million in expenditures of Tribal funds used to pay for their personal expenses, based on the fictional lines of credit they now claim were provided in the Fraudulent Employment Agreements, none maintained records of such expenditures in a manner that corresponds with a line of credit arrangement. Rather, the RICO Defendants claim to have merely kept receipts or maintained handwritten records of those expenditures in a file at the Tribal office, and planned to add up how much they had spent and calculate the interest owed at some indeterminate point in the future. However, during the Tribe's investigation, the RICO Defendants were not able to provide evidence of the former recordkeeping and the Tribe has not been able to locate such files in the Tribe's records. Indeed, the Tribal files do not include any other documents concerning or referring to the lines of credit: there are no promissory notes or other documents or accounts evidencing any formalization of these fictional lines of credit; there are no Tribal records concerning the accrued interest owed to the Tribe; nor did the Tribe report use of the lines or the unpaid interest as taxable income. There is also no evidence that either the Tribe or the RICO Defendants engaged in any contemporaneous tax reporting concerning the loans. The total absence of any contemporaneous recordkeeping concerning those lines of credit is additionally indicative of the fraudulent nature of the Fraudulent Employment Agreements, given, as discussed above, the background in accounting and law, as well as bookkeeping, held respectively by RICO Defendants John Crosby and Leslie Lohse.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 114 of 171

375. Fifth, subsequent to the purported execution of the Fraudulent Employment Agreements, the RICO Ringleaders took a number of actions that would have been economically irrational and/or otherwise inexplicable if, in fact, the Fraudulent Employment Agreements were valid and authentic, and failed to take certain actions that would have been economically rational if, in fact, the Fraudulent Employment Agreements were valid and authentic.

376. If the Fraudulent Employment Agreements had been valid and authentic, it would have been economically rational for each RICO Defendant to immediately draw down the entire \$5 million line of credit, given the large differential between the return that each could have earned on the money if invested and the 1% simple interest that the line purportedly provided. Similarly, there would have been no economically rational reason for the RICO Defendants not to have taken cash draw-downs from their lines of credit and used the cash to purchase goods and services for themselves, rather than cause the Tribe to purchase those services for them (which would presumably have required them to account for these amounts as income or loans for tax purposes).

377. Also inexplicable, if the Fraudulent Employment Agreements were valid and authentic, given their 20-year terms and generous benefits, is RICO Defendant John Crosby's negotiation of a new, less favorable employment contract with the Tribe in <u>July 2003</u>, which did not include the \$5 million line of credit (or any of the other unusually favorable terms found in Mr. Crosby's Fraudulent Employment Contract). Furthermore, records and discussions with the Tribe's outside employment counsel indicate no reference to the Fraudulent Employment Contract in the context of the <u>July 2003</u> effort. Rather, the effort was explicitly aimed at updating the <u>January 1, 2001</u> contract that RICO Defendant John Crosby entered into with the Tribe. Indeed, the outside counsel for the Tribe has no recollection of having seen or having been told about a <u>January 25, 2001</u> contract, a \$5 million line of credit then available to Mr. Crosby, or employment agreements for other Tribal employees (other than the then-recently separated General Counsel). Nor do RICO Defendant John Crosby's own handwritten comments on the draft 2003 contract reference the \$5 million line of credit that he purportedly

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 115 of 171

already had in place pursuant to the <u>January 25, 2001</u> agreement. Mr. Crosby's notes on the draft contract show that he instead focused on such things as reimbursement for cell phone expenses and the use of a car. It simply makes no sense that Mr. Crosby, alone among the RICO Defendants, would negotiate a different, substantially less advantageous contract in mid-2003, without discussing with the Tribe's lawyer the terms that he would be giving up (in particular, a \$5 million forgivable line of credit) were he to sign the new contract. It also makes no sense that Ms. Lohse, who should undoubtedly have been aware of both Mr. Crosby's <u>January 25, 2001</u> contract and her own <u>January 26, 2001</u> contract, would have participated in negotiating and approving Mr. Crosby's potential 2003 contract, which the documents suggest occurred. Although allowed opportunity to do so, RICO Defendant Crosby could not explain the draft 2003 contract during the WilmerHale investigation.

378. Along similar lines, RICO Defendants John Crosby and Larry Lohse borrowed \$150,000 each from the Tribe, subsequent to January 26, 2001, on documented terms that were substantially less generous than that purportedly provided under the line of credit provision of the Fraudulent Employment Agreements. If Messrs. Crosby and Lohse, in fact, had the now claimed \$5 million line of credit, taking out these additional less favorable loans from the Tribe would have been inexplicable. As mentioned, the RICO Defendants claim collectively to have only drawn down \$4 million of the \$20 million available.

379. Finally, the timing with which the RICO Ringleaders revealed the Fraudulent Employment Agreement corroborates their fraudulent nature. The RICO Ringleaders did not immediately reveal them when the Tribe began challenging them concerning the money they had taken from the Tribe. Rather, it was only after the Tribe discovered numerous examples of embezzlement by the RICO Ringleaders from Tribal bank accounts and had raised several of these examples with the RICO Ringleaders that the RICO Ringleaders conveniently revealed the Fraudulent Employment Agreements. If they were legitimate and extant, one would expect the RICO Ringleaders to have immediately presented to them to the Tribe when their excesses where challenged.

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 116 of 171

380. The Fraudulent Employment Agreements were fabricated by the RICO Ringleaders in a ham-fisted, *post hoc* attempt to mislead the Tribe and authorities concerning their theft of the Tribal resources. In fact, they further prove the existence of that theft. If the RICO Defendants' self-dealing and appropriation of Tribal money had been proper, the fabrication would not have been necessary. Furthermore, the RICO Ringleaders' false claim that the Tribe granted them lines of credit that collectively totaled **\$20 million** in a *post hoc* attempt to justify their malfeasance indicates that the full extent of the RICO Ringleaders' theft is far greater than even the millions of dollars of misappropriations described herein.

- C. In Response to Discovery by the Tribe of Defendants' Scheme and Actions
  Taken by it to Prevent its Continuance, RICO Defendants' Launched a
  Cyber-Attack on the Tribe, With the Purpose and Intent of Obstructing
  Efforts to Hold RICO Defendants Criminally and Civilly Liable
- 381. On or about April 12, 2014, all four RICO Defendants were relieved from their positions by the Tribe.
- 382. As discussed, in the wake of their dismissal, the Tribe engaged WilmerHale to conduct an internal investigation into the state of the Tribe's finances and investments, and also into the administrative and business issues that were subject to the RICO Ringleaders' oversight. The months-long investigation involved both attorneys and forensic accountants, and yielded significant oral and documentary evidence.
- 383. However, this investigation has been substantially and intentionally impeded by a cyber-attack implemented, on or about May 15, 2014, by the RICO Defendants that shut down data servers for the Casino and other Tribal enterprises and resulted in the permanent destruction of a substantial amount of data. The attack wiped out not only entire databases but also the locations in which the data were backed up.
- 384. More specifically, on the evening of May 15, 2014, RICO Defendant Frank James, who worked in the Casino's IT department, at the direction of RICO Defendant Chris Pata—who was a co-creator of the Casino's IT infrastructure—remotely connected to the Casino's network and erased all of its servers along with their respective datastores. The attacker also attacked two key onsite backup systems and erased their contents. As a result, in

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 117 of 171

addition to the data loss, the Casino was left without their critical Windows servers responsible for enterprise resource management and their application servers required for sustaining casino operations.

385. In advance of the May 15<sup>th</sup> attack, the Casino experienced two smaller attacks that culminated in the May 15<sup>th</sup> attack.

386. On or around May 8, 2014, the Casino received its first detected cyber-attack in the form of a Distributed Denial of Service ("DDoS") attack on ports 25 and 80 of the Casino's network. The Casino's border router and firewall became overwhelmed by the onslaught of inbound traffic and eventually stopped functioning. During the midst of the attack, the Casino's IT department observed that the attack was originating from numerous IP addresses resolving to areas located throughout the world.

387. Less than a week later, on or around May 14, 2014, the Casino received another cyber-attack in the form of a phishing attack. At approximately 9:10 a.m., approximately 40 Casino employees received a spoofed email containing a link to a malicious website. While the Casino's IT department was able to prevent most of the 40 employees from clicking on the link, a forensic analysis of the workstation of an employee who clicked the link indicates that the attack was likely an attempt to distribute "Cryptowall," which is the latest variant of "Cryptolocker." A system infected with Cryptowall will encrypt and delete all accessible user files.

388. Approximately 90 minutes later, a second spoofed email was sent to the same recipients. This email contained a malicious password-protected ZIP file and was opened by one recipient before the email was administratively deleted by IT. In this case, Norton anti-virus identified the malware as "SONAR.SelfHijack!gen1" and successfully quarantined the file before it could infect the system.

389. Having been foiled in their first two attempted cyber-attacks, the RICO Defendants launched a third and unfortunately successful attack on or about May 15, 2014.

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 118 of 171

390. At approximately 7:30 p.m., Casino IT personnel began to notice the Casino's servers going offline. They quickly confirmed that all of their virtualized servers were missing and the backups had been erased.

391. A forensic analysis of the compromised host server revealed that a decommissioned Windows 2003 domain controller was the primary origin for the attacks against the IT infrastructure. On or around May 13, 2014, the RICO Defendants installed a remote administration tool on the compromised server. Less than a minute after its installation, the RICO Defendants used the tool to connect the server to an unknown external computer. The RICO Defendants connected to the compromised server at least nine times using the tool, beginning in the evening of May 13<sup>th</sup> and ending the evening of May 15<sup>th</sup>. During the portion of the attack that resulted in the shutdown of the Casino's servers and deletion of data, the RICO Defendants were connected to the Casino's network three times for a total of approximately three hours.

- 392. In the course of the attack, the RICO Defendants systematically deleted all of the Tribe's SAN volumes, which are virtualized block storage depositories, which were the primary storage locations of the Casino's data.
- 393. The RICO Defendants also used the compromised server to connect to the enterprise's disk-to-disk system's back-up systems. The RICO Defendants attacked, and erased the contents of, a server that was responsible for hosting backups of the organization's server infrastructure. The RICO Defendants also attacked, and erased the contents of, a server that hosted backups of the organization's user files and shared department files.
- 394. This attack shut down the Casino's computer servers and all of its power for a significant period.
- 395. Due to the attack, the Tribe lost a significant amount of electronic data pertaining to Tribal administration and enterprises other than the Casino, as the Tribe conducts much of its business at the Casino and effectively uses it as an administrative office.
- 396. Among lost data were records of the Casino's customer loyalty program, the Shasta Club. When a customer uses his or her Shasta Club card to play at the Casino, the

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 119 of 171

customer earns points that can be converted into cash and other things of value. To mitigate the significant loss of goodwill suffered by the Casino as a result of the loss of this data, the Casino chose to honor any claim by a customer that they held a certain amount of points before the loss, which exposed them to unknowable amounts of fraud. Furthermore, many customers do not know how many points they had and/or do not know that the Tribe will honor a request to have them restored, resulting in a significant loss to the Casino of goodwill.

397. To date, the attack has cost the Casino and the Tribe hundreds of thousands of dollars to mitigate the damage caused to their computer systems, in addition to the lost revenues from the period during which the Casino and its servers were shut down, as well as a significant loss of goodwill.

398. The Tribe has also been injured by the fact that this attack took place only weeks after the RICO Ringleaders were relieved from their duties, and before the investigation had fully gotten under way. The information stored on the attacked servers related to the RICO Ringleaders' abuse of their position and their use of Tribal funds for their own personal benefit. The RICO Ringleaders destroyed evidence of their wrong-doing with the specific purpose and intent of obstructing inquiries into their wrong-doing and to evade the resulting civil and criminal liability therefor.

399. The RICO Ringleaders have publically admitted to orchestrating the cyber attack. For example, in comments reported by the media, RICO Ringleader Leslie took credit for what she and her co-conspirators referred to as a "remote shut-down" of the Casino. In subsequent filings made in the case *State of California v. Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians*, No. 14-cv-01449 (E.D. Cal.), her co-responsibility for the decision to take what were characterized as "measures to shut down operations [sic] the Casino" and directing "the remote shut down of all server-based computers and related systems associated with Class III gaming activities at Rolling Hills Casino, effective as of May 9, 2014" were also admitted.

400. In a further effort to economically coerce and intimidate the Tribe into allowing them to regain their positions of control, at approximately the same time as the RICO Defendants launched a cyber-attack on the Casino, they also launched a "denial of service"

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 120 of 171

attack on Tribe-Owned Business "E", which entailed a coordinated effort of sending thousands of emails to its website, causing it to crash. This attack caused the website of Tribe-Owned Business "E" to become unavailable for employees, customers, vendors, and the entire public. It also caused the websites of certain third-party advertisers to crash as well.

# D. The RICO Defendants Resorted to Armed Physical Intimidation in a Last Ditch Effort to Maintain Their Control Over the Tribe and its Money

- 401. On June 9, 2014, in a last ditch effort to maintain their control over the Tribe and its money and prevent them from being accountable therefor by the Tribe, the RICO Defendants hired and sent thugs, armed with automatic weapons, to take control of the Casino.
- 402. These thugs falsely claimed to be "Tribal Police," though no such institution exists, and placed false "Tribal Police" decals on their vehicles. Using these vehicles, the thugs prevented customers from exiting or entering the Casino.
- 403. As in the case of the RICO Ringleaders' cyber-attack of the Casino the purpose and motive of the RICO Ringleaders was to coerce the Tribe's members into allowing the RICO Ringleaders to take back control of the Tribe, by holding the Casino and thus the economic livelihoods of Tribal members hostage.
- 404. Ultimately, the Tehama County Sheriff deployed deputies to the Casino to keep order; and a subsequent temporary restraining order was sought and, on <u>June 18, 2014</u>, obtained by California State Attorney General from the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of California enjoining the RICO Defendants and anyone else from, among other things, disturbing the status quo at the Casino (controlled by the Tribal Council constituted on <u>April 12</u> and <u>May 10</u>) and possessing firearms on Tribal properties.
- 405. However, in the interim, as a result of the RICO Defendants' conduct in this regard, the Tribe suffered significant injuries to the business reputation and goodwill of the Tribe itself and the Casino, in addition to financial losses that occurred due to lost business on the day of the attack.

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 121 of 171

406. In a related effort to economically coerce the Tribe into allowing the RICO Ringleaders to regain control, after their removal from power, the RICO Ringleaders took actions aimed at convincing banks that held Tribal money not to allow the Tribe access to it.

#### FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT AND EQUITABLE TOLLING

- 407. The Tribe had neither actual nor constructive knowledge of the pertinent facts constituting its claims for relief asserted herein, despite its diligence in trying to discover such facts.
- 408. The Tribe and its members could not have discovered through the exercise of reasonable diligence the existence of the RICO Defendants' scheme to defraud the Tribe until in or about April 2014, when the RICO Ringleaders were removed from control of the Tribe.
- 409. The RICO Defendants engaged in a self-concealing conspiracy that did not give rise to facts that would put the Tribe on inquiry or notice that there was a conspiracy among the RICO Defendants to defraud the Tribe out of millions of dollars.
- 410. In fact, as alleged herein, the RICO Ringleaders took extraordinary action to hide their scheme from discovery, including without limitation refusing to provide any information to other Tribal members, including members of the Tribal Council, concerning the Tribe's financial activities and the financial benefits that the RICO Ringleaders were taking from it.
- 411. The RICO Ringleaders, furthermore, purposefully prevented any type of standard auditing or reporting of the Tribe's finances from occurring, despite legal obligations to do so, and did not engage in even the most basic bookkeeping, despite their knowledge and experience in this regard.
- 412. To protect their control over the Tribe and its money, the RICO Ringleaders purposefully manipulated the electoral process by which the Tribal Council was chosen. The RICO Ringleaders, furthermore, threatened any Tribal member who challenged their authority or sought information concerning their conduct with disenrollment and/or suspension, which for virtually every member other than the RICO Ringleaders would result in the elimination of most of the income they use to survive, if not all of it.

1	413. The RICO Ringleaders also purchased the silence of persons who were in a
	position to disclose their wrongful conduct, including without limitation RICO Defendants
3	Sherry Myers, Ted and Jon Pata, providing them illegal benefits and bringing them into their
4	criminal scheme in exchange for their agreement not to disclose the scheme to others in the
5	Tribe.  414. The RICO Ringleaders also made repeated false claims that they were entitled to
6	414. The RICO Ringleaders also made repeated false claims that they were entitled to
7	take all of the benefits they stole from the Tribe.

- 415. The RICO Ringleaders, furthermore, on multiple occasions, made concerted efforts to destroy evidence of their malfeasance.
- 416. The RICO Ringleaders' misleading statements and other actions in this regard were designed to conceal their scheme, intimidate, and lull the Tribe and its members into believing that their actions were proper, rather than part of an unlawful scheme to defraud the Tribe.
- 417. The Abettor Defendants and the RICO Ringleaders' co-RICO Defendants, furthermore, intentionally assisted the RICO Ringleaders in concealing their scheme to defraud the Tribe and, at least and in the alternative, failed to make appropriate inquiries into the RICO Ringleaders' conduct and bring to the Tribe's attention the results there of.
- 418. As a result of the Defendants' fraudulent concealment of the RICO Defendants' scheme to defraud and the other wrongful actions taken by the RICO Defendants to prevent its discovery or challenge, the running of any statute of limitations has been tolled with respect to any claims that the Tribe has as a result of the conduct alleged herein.

#### **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

#### First Claim for Relief

#### (Violations of RICO – Conduct of a RICO Enterprise, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c)) (Against the RICO Defendants)

419. The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

28

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 123 of 171

- 420. At all relevant times, the Tribe and PEC are persons within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(3) and 1962(c).
- 421. At all relevant times, each RICO Defendant is a person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(3) and 1962(c).

#### The RICO Enterprise

- 422. The RICO Defendants are a group of persons associated together in fact for the common purpose of carrying out an ongoing criminal enterprise, as described herein; namely to defraud the tribe and enrich themselves. Together, the RICO Defendants directed nearly every aspect of the Tribe's financial and related governance affairs, with little or no oversight or control by the Tribal Council, control of which, in fact, the RICO Defendants took specific and concerted actions to achieve and retain. These actions included without limitation the illegal manipulation of the election process by which the Tribal Council members were chosen in order to ensure RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse's repeated re-election as Treasurer and the election of other persons to the Tribal Council that the RICO Ringleaders were confident of their ability to control, the employment of a pattern of extortionary intimidation to prevent Tribal members from challenging their control, and jealous guarding of even the most basic information concerning the Tribe's financial activities from discovery by other Tribal members.
- 423. RICO Defendants used that control to defraud the Tribe out of millions of dollars and thereby enrich themselves. These Defendants and their co-conspirators have organized their operation into a cohesive group with specific and assigned responsibilities and a command structure.
- 424. The criminal enterprise was comprised generally of members of the Pata family and was generally structured to operate as a unit in order to accomplish the goals of their criminal scheme:

#### The RICO Ringleaders

425. The RICO Enterprise was directed by and chiefly benefited the RICO Ringleaders John Crosby, Leslie Lohse, Ines Crosby, and Larry Lohse, all of whom are closely related by blood or marriage. The RICO Ringleaders agreed to organize their scheme to defraud the Tribe

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 124 of 171

such that the wrongful proceeds of the scheme were shared in rough equality amongst them, and, as alleged herein, as part of their effort to effect the scheme and conceal it from discovery, would regularly write checks to one another from Tribal bank accounts.

**RICO Ringleader John Crosby** is the son of RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby and nephew of RICO Ringleaders Leslie and Larry Lohse. Mr. Crosby was a co-leader of the Rico Enterprise with RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse, organizing and directing financial aspects of the enterprise's activities to benefit himself and his co-RICO Defendants. Mr. Crosby took for himself the position of the Tribe's Economic Development Director. In that position, by Mr. Crosby's own admission, he had substantial control over how the money generated from the Tribe's gaming business was invested. This authority included identifying investments for Tribal funds, negotiating and effecting those investments, and overseeing the appointment of the other RICO Defendants to boards for new businesses or investments. Mr. Crosby claimed that this authority was not subject to the review or approval of the Tribal Council and thus he did not seek such approval before exercising it. The principal way in which the Tribe made investments and otherwise engaged in commercial activities was through PEC. Thus, by taking control over this aspect of the Tribe's activities, Mr. Crosby took control over, and directed, the massive amounts of Tribal money that flowed in and out of PEC's bank accounts, over which he and his fellow RICO Ringleader Larry Lohse had signing authority. Mr. Crosby used this control over Tribal funds to significantly devise and direct many of the financial aspects of the RICO Defendants' scheme to defraud the Tribe and its members, including without limitation breathtaking levels of embezzlement of Tribal money from Cornerstone PEC Account X and Cornerstone PEC Account Y. Mr. Crosby has an undergraduate degree in accounting from California State Polytechnic University at Pomona and a law degree from Colorado University, making him the most educated of the RICO Defendants. He is also a former FBI agent, who investigated white-collar crime, and worked as an accountant. Mr. Crosby used his education and experience to lead and direct the financial aspects of the RICO Enterprise, including devising financial transactions and bookkeeping procedures, and the lack thereof, aimed at disguising the RICO Defendants' theft of the Tribe's money.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

b. **RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse** is the wife of RICO Ringleader Larry Lohse, aunt of RICO Ringleader John Crosby, and sister of RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby. Ms. Lohse was the co-leader of the criminal enterprise, organizing and directing political aspects of the enterprise's activities to benefit herself and her co-RICO Defendants. Ms. Lohse also was the Lohse-side's representative in its control. Ms. Lohse worked closely with RICO Ringleader John Crosby to plan and execute the RICO Enterprise, including the creation and promotion of false pretenses meant to justify and explain the RICO Defendants' criminal activity. Prior to becoming the Tribe's Treasurer, Ms. Lohse had worked her entire life as a bookkeeper. Ms. Lohse was elected Tribe's Treasurer in 1998 and held that position until the spring of 2014. As alleged herein, the RICO Defendants used various illegal means to ensure her continued reelection in that position, including without limitation falsely asserting the requirement that any person wishing to challenge her must post a \$1 million bond in order to be listed on the ballot. As the Tribe's Treasurer, she had control over financial reporting of the Tribe, which she used to ensure that the criminal activity of the RICO Enterprise was not discovered. Ms. Lohse also claimed for herself the (fictitious) position of Political Director of the Tribe. In this position, Ms. Lohse worked to protect the RICO Ringleaders' control over the Tribe by currying extensive political ties with politicians at all levels, in large part by making numerous and large campaign donations of the Tribe's money.

c. RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby is the mother of RICO Ringleader John Crosby and sister of RICO Ringleaders Leslie Lohse and sister-in-law of RICO Ringleader Larry Lohse. RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby took for herself the position of Tribal Administrator. In that position, Ms. Crosby oversaw the Tribal Council office, including directing the office administrative assistant. This position also gave Ms. Crosby signing authority over certain Tribal bank accounts, including accounts at Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank, from which Ms. Crosby effected the embezzlement of millions of dollars of the Tribe's money by the RICO Defendants. In the position of Tribal Administrator, Ms. Crosby was able to ensure that any necessary paperwork needed to further the scheme was executed in the name of the Tribe, including without limitation, the paperwork necessary to place her and her fellow

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 126 of 171

RICO Ringleaders and RICO Defendant Sherry Myers on the Tribe's direct payroll, to the exclusion of anyone else and establishing and administering the Tribal Retirement Plans for the sole benefit of the same people. The position further allowed her to ensure that the Tribe did not keep records that would have otherwise exposed the scheme to discovery.

d. RICO Ringleader Larry Lohse is the husband of RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse and uncle of RICO Ringleader John Crosby and brother-in-law of RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby. Mr. Lohse acted as RICO Ringleader John Crosby's deputy in various elements of the scheme and served as RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse's "eyes", ensuring that RICO Ringleader John Crosby honored their agreement to roughly share the spoils of the scheme. Beginning around late 2000, after leaving his family's farming business in Northern California, through the spring of 2014, Mr. Lohse occupied the position of Tribe's Environmental Director, and was technically responsible for evaluating, managing, and monitoring the development and use of land and construction projects. However, in practice, Mr. Lohse, spent the bulk of his time furthering the RICO Enterprise's activities at the direction of Mr. Crosby. This included serving on the Boards of Tribe-Owned Businesses, executing various documents in the name of the Tribe and such Tribe-Owned Businesses for the benefit of the RICO Enterprise, and otherwise taking actions needed to further the RICO Defendants' scheme to defraud the Tribe and enrich themselves, including protecting it from discovery. Mr. Lohse also had signing authority for Cornerstone PEC Account X and Cornerstone PEC Account Y, which he used to further the scheme.

#### Other RICO Defendants

426. RICO Defendants Sherry Myers, Ted Pata, Jon Pata, Chris Pata, and Frank James were recruited by the RICO Ringleaders to play various roles in the RICO Enterprise in service of the scheme. The role each was recruited to play was determined by the position each occupied in the Tribe's and/or Casino's structure and how, in that position, their participation could further the scheme and/or how, in that position, their lack of participation could expose the scheme.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 127 of 171

- a. **RICO Defendant Sherry Myers** was RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby's administrative assistant. In this position Ms. Myers assisted Ms. Crosby in the accomplishment of tasks necessary to further the scheme, including execution of required paperwork in the name of the Tribe. Ms. Myers also assisted the RICO Ringleaders in concealing the scheme from discovery, protecting its secrecy and ensuring that otherwise incriminating records were not kept.
- h **RICO Defendants Ted and Jon Pata** are members of the Pata family and together controlled the Tribe's Gaming Commission. When the Casino was established, RICO Ringleader John Crosby specifically rejected the suggestion of the Tribe's outside consultants that the Tribe appoint outside third parties to the Tribe's Gaming Commission, identifying RICO Defendants Ted and Jon Pata as the persons that would be appointed to the Commission. While a third person was also appointed to the Commission, he was consistently and explicitly out-voted by RICO Defendants Ted and Jon Pata, such that any authority he otherwise had was effectively removed. In their position of control of the Tribe's Gaming Commission, RICO Defendants Ted and Jon Pata controlled security for the Casino, including its video surveillance system. The RICO Defendants employed this control in service of the RICO Enterprise by preventing scrutiny and disclosure of criminal conduct conducted by participants in the enterprise. Indeed, RICO Defendants Ted and Jon Pata set an explicit policy under which rules that otherwise applied to Tribal members in the Casino did not apply to members of the Pata family, including the RICO Ringleaders, and the activities of Pata family members in the Casino would not be recorded.
- c. RICO Defendants Chris Pata and Frank James are, respectively, a member of the Pata family and one of the architects and supervisors of the Casino and the Tribe's former IT structure and a former employee in the Casino's IT department, who was fired following the cyber-attack of the Casino alleged herein. RICO Defendants Chris Pata and Frank James organized and executed the cyber-attack alleged herein, in furtherance of their conduct of the RICO Enterprise. Prior to the attack, RICO Defendant Chris Pata used his

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 128 of 171

position in the Casino's IT department to guard the activities of the RICO Enterprise from discovery.

- 427. The RICO Defendants constitute an association-in-fact enterprise within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961 (4) and 1962(c). Each of the RICO Defendants participated in the operation or management of the RICO Enterprise.
- 428. At all relevant times, the RICO Enterprise was engaged in, and its activities affected interstate and foreign commerce within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c).

#### Pattern of Racketeering Activity

429. The RICO Defendants conducted or participated, directly or indirectly, in the conduct, management, or operation of the RICO Enterprise's affairs through a "pattern of racketeering activity" within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 1961(5) and in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c), to wit:

## Pattern of Racketeering Activity: Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud in Violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343

- 430. As described herein, in furtherance of their conduct of the RICO Enterprise, the RICO Defendants engaged in a wide-ranging scheme or artifice to defraud the Tribe, and obtain money and property from the Tribe by means of fraudulent pretenses, representations, and/or promises. The ultimate objective of the RICO Defendants' scheme or artifice to defraud was to enrich themselves at the expense of the Tribe.
- 431. In furtherance of their scheme, and as described herein, the RICO Defendants transmitted, or caused to be transmitted, by means of wire communication in interstate or foreign commerce, writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds, and also caused matters and things to be placed in any post office or authorized depository, or deposited or caused to be deposited matters or things to be sent or delivered by a private or commercial interstate carrier, including, but not limited to, the following:
- a. Interstate transfers of Tribe funds, via electronic means and via checks sent in the mail, to third parties for the benefit of the RICO Defendants in furtherance of their scheme or artifice to defraud the Tribe. The electronic means by which such transfer of funds

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 129 of 171

occurred included without limitation interstate wire transfers from bank accounts of the Tribe and PEC to third parties initiated by the RICO Ringleaders and/or third persons acting at the direction of the RICO Ringleaders, including without limitation RICO Defendant Sherry Myers. These transfers included without limitation millions of dollars in payments for goods and services personally consumed by the RICO Ringleaders and paid for with Tribal money without the Tribe's authorization or consent.

- b. Use of credit cards, the bills for which the RICO Defendants used Tribal money to pay without the authorization or consent of the Tribe, to purchase millions of dollars in goods and services personally consumed by the RICO Ringleaders.
- c. The interstate and/or international wire transfers of Tribal funds including without limitation numerous wires alleged herein to have been made from Cornerstone PEC Account X to the bank account of Marcus Evans, Inc. in England at the direction of the RICO Ringleaders and wires of Tribal Funds deposited for the benefit of the RICO Ringleaders and RICO Defendant Sherry Myers in the Tribal Retirement Plans to persons and/or companies.
- d. Interstate emails and other interstate communications that assisted in furthering, executing, and/or effecting the RICO Defendants' scheme or artifice to defraud the Tribe.
- 432. Although, as discussed herein, the RICO Defendants launched a cyber attack on the Tribe with the specific intention, purpose and result of destroying evidence probative of their scheme or artifice to defraud the Tribe, including without limitation emails sent in furtherance of the scheme or artifice, examples of the things described in the foregoing paragraph so far discovered by the Tribe include without limitation:
- a. The sending, on <u>August 19, 2014</u>, via email from California to Washington, D.C., by the RICO Defendants' agent, J.R. Riddell, to counsel for the Tribe, Christopher Davies, copies of the Fraudulent Employment Contracts for RICO Ringleaders Leslie Lohse, Larry Lohse, and Ines Crosby in a fraudulent effort to conceal the criminal activities of the RICO Enterprise.

- b. The sending, on <u>July 22, 2014</u>, by RICO Ringleaders Leslie Lohse from California to Oregon, of an email to various Tribe members falsely claiming that the RICO Defendants' theft of the tribal funds was justified by their Fraudulent Employment Contracts in a fraudulent effort to conceal the criminal activities of the RICO Enterprise.
- c. The interstate and/or international wire transfers of Tribal funds including without limitation numerous wires alleged herein to have been made from Cornerstone PEC Account X to the bank account of Marcus Evans, Inc. in England at the direction of the RICO Ringleaders and wires of Tribal Funds deposited for the benefit of RICO Ringleaders and RICO Defendant Sherry Myers in the Tribal Retirement Plans to persons and/or companies.
- d. The interstate transfer of Tribal funds via ACH, including without limitation: (i) numerous payments of credit card bills from the Tribe's bank accounts for personal purchases made by the RICO Ringleaders without the Tribe's authorization or consent; and (ii) in connection with the contribution, and/or investment, of Tribal Money into/in the Tribal Benefit Plans for the benefit of the RICO Ringleaders and RICO Defendant Sherry Myers, including without limitation interstate transfers of Tribal Money to American Funds Retirement Services;
- e. Credit card charges for personal purchases by the RICO Defendants, the bills for which the RICO Ringleaders used Tribal money to pay, without the Tribe's authorization or consent;
- f. The wiring by the RICO Defendants of millions of dollars of the Tribe's money to Knee Centers' bank in Utah from Cornerstone PEC Account X.
- 433. The RICO Defendants participated in the scheme or artifice knowingly, willfully, and with the specific intent to deceive and/or defraud the Tribe. The RICO Defendants knowingly and intentionally sent the above-described interstate wires and communications in furtherance of the scheme or artifice, knowingly and intentionally caused them to be sent, knew and/or could have foreseen that such interstate wires and communications would be sent; knew and/or could have foreseen that their use credit cards to make purchases of goods and/or services for their own benefit, the bills for which RICO Defendants caused the Tribe to pay,

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 131 of 171

would cause interstate wires to be used in the ordinary course of business to obtain payment for the events.

Pattern of Racketeering Activity: Theft or Embezzlement from Employee Benefit Plans in Violation of 18 U.S.C. §664

- 434. The RICO Ringleaders took and/or appropriated to themselves <u>several millions</u> of dollars in Tribal funds deposited into the Tribal Retirement Plans, which RICO Ringleaders John and Leslie Lohse, as trustees thereof, were responsible for setting up and administering, and which were subject to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act ("ERISA"), in a manner that was substantially inconsistent with the fiduciary purposes and objectives of the Tribal Retirement Plans, as set forth by statutes, bylaws, charters, and/or trust documents which govern uses of the funds in question.
- 435. The RICO Ringleaders did so with the specific intent of defrauding the Tribe of these funds and enriching themselves.
- 436. As alleged herein, the RICO Ringleaders used their control over the Tribe, its operations, including the Tribe's finances and administration, Tribe-Owned Business "B," and the Tribal Retirement Plans, to cause: (a) contribution of extraordinarily large amounts of Tribal funds to the Tribal Retirement Plans and the Tribe-Owned Business "E" 401(k) over an extraordinarily short time; (b) diversion to the RICO Ringleaders—in particular, to RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby—and to a lesser but still substantial extent RICO Defendant Sherry Myers all of the Tribal funds contributed to the Tribal Retirement Plans; and (c) investment of the contributed Tribal funds in non-ERISA compliant fringe investments from which the RICO Ringleaders gained additional benefits.

Pattern of Racketeering Activity: Money Laundering in Violation of 18 U.S.C. §1956(a)(1)

437. In furtherance of their conduct of the RICO Enterprise, the RICO Defendants, knowing that the funds involved represented the proceeds of their unlawful racketeering activity alleged herein, conducted, or attempted to conduct, financial transactions which in fact involved the proceeds of their unlawful racketeering activity, with the intent to promote the carrying on

of the unlawful racketeering activity, including the RICO Defendants' unlawful activities alleged herein that are in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 664, 1341, 1343, and/or to conceal and/or disguise the nature, the location, the source, the ownership, or the control of the proceeds of the unlawful racketeering activity.

- 438. This included the RICO Defendants' conduct of numerous financial transactions with the proceeds of their scheme or artifice to defraud the Tribe with the intent to further that scheme and disguise Defendants' embezzlement and the nature of the funds Defendants embezzled from the Tribe. These financial transaction included without limitation the following:
- c. Disguising thefts by the RICO Defendants of Tribal money deposited in the Tribe's bank accounts by causing Tribal money deposited in those accounts to be paid directly to third parties for millions of dollars of goods and services personally consumed by the RICO Ringleaders. The RICO Ringleaders accomplished this through means including without limitation writing checks from the Tribe's accounts directly to third party vendors, wiring money from the Tribe's accounts directly to third party vendors, and paying credit card bills incurred by the RICO Ringleaders for the purchase of goods and services personally consumed by the RICO Ringleaders. The RICO Ringleaders engaged in these actions with the purpose and intent to conceal from the Tribe their theft of Tribal money and to promote their scheme to defraud the Tribe out of millions of dollars.
- d. Disguising thefts by the RICO Defendants of Tribal money by establishing and administering the Tribal Retirement Plans in such a way that millions of dollars of Tribal money were diverted to the RICO Ringleaders and RICO Defendant Sherry Myers, with the purpose and intent of disguising these thefts as legitimate retirement contributions and promoting the RICO Defendants' scheme to defraud the Tribe out of millions of dollars.
- e. Disguising thefts by the RICO Defendants of Tribal money by causing the Tribe and Tribe-Owned Businesses to pay the RICO Ringleaders extraordinarily high and unjustified amounts of non-retirement compensation in various forms, including salaries, bonuses, loans and director's fees, with the purpose and intent of disguising these payments as

legitimate compensation and promoting Defendants' scheme to defraud the Tribe out of millions of dollars.

- f. Disguising thefts by the RICO Defendants of Tribal money by causing the Tribe to contribute approximately \$3.4 million to CTBA and its affiliate Like Minded Investors ("LMI")—both of which the RICO Ringleaders helped form and which, in the case of CTBA, RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse was the Chair of its Board of Directors—and then causing CTBA to pay RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse approximately \$550,000, with the purpose and intent of disguising these payments as legitimate compensation and promoting Defendants' scheme to defraud the Tribe out of millions of dollars.
- g. Disguising thefts by the RICO Defendants of Tribal money by engaging in the transactions described in ¶¶ [190-222] with the purpose and intent of disguising these thefts and promoting Defendants' scheme to defraud the Tribe out of millions of dollars.
- h. Causing PEC to purchase, on March 29, 2013, four parcels of raw property in the Truckee/Donner area, with forged documentation of PEC Board authorization, for the purpose and intent of disguising a gift of embezzled Tribe money to the sister of RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby and promoting Defendants' scheme to defraud the Tribe out of millions of dollars.
- i. Disguising the RICO Defendants' theft of Tribal money held by Tribe-Owned Business "F" by causing Tribe-Owned Business "F" to make profit distributions to PEC, which the RICO Ringleaders then directly withdrew in cash for their own benefit or transferred to Tribe Owned Business "B," which in turned paid the funds out to the RICO Ringleaders, with the purpose and intent of disguising these thefts and promoting Defendants' scheme to defraud the Tribe out of millions of dollars.

<u>Pattern of Racketeering Activity: Money Laundering in Violation of 18 U.S.C.</u> §1956(a)(2)

439. The RICO Defendants transported, transmitted, transferred, and/or attempted to transport, transmit, or transfer monetary instrument(s) or funds from a place in the United States to or through a place outside the United States or to a place in the United States from or through

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 134 of 171

a place outside the United States, knowing that the monetary instrument or funds involved in the transportation represent the proceeds of the RICO Defendants' racketeering activity alleged herein and knowing that such transportation, transmission, or transfer was designed in whole or in part to conceal or disguise the nature, the location, the source, the ownership, or the control of the proceeds of the RICO Defendants' racketeering activity alleged herein.

440. Such actions include without limitation the transportation, transmittal, transfer, and/or attempted transportation, transmittal, or transfer by RICO Ringleaders Leslie Lohse and Larry Lohse of the proceeds of the racketeering activities alleged herein to the Philippines in order to conceal or disguise the nature, the location, the source, the ownership, or the control of the proceeds of the RICO Defendants' racketeering activity alleged herein.

#### Pattern of Racketeering Activity: Bribery in Violation of California State Law

- 441. At all relevant times, RICO Defendants Ted and Jon Pata were "executive officers in the state" within the meaning of California Penal Code § 67.
- 442. At all relevant times, the Tribe was the "employer" of RICO Defendants Jon and Ted Pata within the meaning California Penal Code § 641.3.
- 443. RICO Defendants Ted and Jon Pata, as members of the Tribal Gaming Commission, an executive commission of the Tribal Government, had responsibilities including without limitation monitoring financial activities involving Tribal funds.
- 444. As alleged herein RICO Ringleader John Crosby provided RICO Defendants Ted and Jon Pata vehicles worth approximately \$75,000 each in exchange for their agreement not to disclose or otherwise take action concerning the RICO Ringleaders unauthorized purchase of a \$3.6 million private jet with Tribal money.
- 445. The foregoing constitutes bribery punishable under California Penal Code § 67 by two, three or four years of imprisonment and under California Penal Code § 641.3 by 16 months, or two or three years.

#### Pattern of Racketeering Activity: Extortion in Violation of California State Law

446. At all relevant times, every adult member of the Tribe is a "public officer" within the meaning of California Penal Code § 518.

- 447. Section 2 of Article III, titled Governing Body, of the Tribal Constitution, provides: "The General Council shall consist of all members of the Band who are eighteen (18) years of age or older. The General Council shall exercise its powers through election of a Tribal Council, referendum, initiative, amendment and such other powers as may be reserved to them by this Constitution." Among the powers reserved to the General Council is the power to remove members of the Tribal Council.
- 448. As alleged herein, on numerous occasions the RICO Ringleaders, threatened members of the Tribe with disenrollment and/or suspension from the Tribe if they challenged the RICO Ringleaders' control of the Tribe, including removal of RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse from the Tribal Council, or challenged the activities of the RICO Ringleaders.
- 449. Disenrollment and suspension from the Tribe would result, respectively, in the permanent and temporary loss of the per capita distributions for the Tribe, which for virtually all members of the Tribe, except for the RICO Defendants that are Tribal members, represents the majority of their income and, for a majority of Tribal members, it consists of virtually all of their income. Disenrollment or suspension from the Tribe furthermore exposes or imputes Tribal members to disgrace.
- 450. The foregoing constitutes extortion, punishable under California Penal Code § 518 by two, three or four years of imprisonment.

#### Pattern of Racketeering Activity: Obstruction of Justice in Violation of 18 U.S.C. §1503

- 451. Faced with the imminent discovery of their racketeering activity, the RICO Ringleaders sought to corruptly obstruct and impede, and did, in fact, obstruct or impede the due administration of justice, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1503, by launching the cyber attack on the Casino as alleged herein. The RICO Defendants launched the attack with the specific purpose and effect of destroying evidence of their wrongdoing so as to avoid civil and criminal liability therefor, and did so destroy some of that evidence.
- 452. The RICO Defendants, furthermore, sought to obstruct and impede, and did, in fact, obstruct or impede the due administration of justice, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1503, by preventing the recording of the criminal acts of the RICO Defendants by the Casino video

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 136 of 171

surveillance system. The RICO Defendants took such actions with the specific purpose and effect of destroying evidence of their wrongdoing so as to avoid civil and criminal liability therefor, and did so destroy some of that evidence.

- 453. Each of the RICO Defendants has engaged in multiple predicate acts, as described herein. The conduct of each of the RICO Defendants described herein constitutes a pattern of racketeering activity within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 1961(5).
- 454. As a direct, proximate, and reasonably foreseeable result of the RICO Defendants' violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c), the Tribe was injured in its business and property. The injuries to the Tribe caused by reason of the violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) include without limitation the loss of millions of dollars that the RICO Defendants stole from the Tribe by operation of their criminal scheme. The Tribe has been and will continue to be injured in its business and property in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 455. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c), the Tribe is entitled to recover treble damages plus costs and attorneys' fees from the RICO Defendants.

WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below.

#### **Second Claim for Relief**

# (Violations of RICO – Taking Control of an Enterprise, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(b)) (Against the RICO Defendants)

- 456. The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 457. At all relevant times, the Tribe is an enterprise within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(4) and 1962(b).
- 458. At all relevant times, PEC is an enterprise within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(4) and 1962(b).
- 459. Through the pattern of racketeering activity alleged herein, including without limitation the RICO Defendants' violations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343 Defendants took control of the Tribe and PEC.

	46	0. By c	ontroll	ling thes	se en	tities,	Defe	ndants were	e able	e to	cause	them to en	ıga	ge in
financi	al	transactio	ons by	which	the	Tribe	was	defrauded	and	the	RICO	Defendar	its	were
enriche	ed.													

- 461. As a direct and proximate result of the RICO Defendants' taking control of the Tribe and PEC, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962, the Tribe was injured in its business and property in an amount to be determined at trial. These injuries include without limitation: (1) financial losses incurred by the Tribe as a result of the RICO Defendants' misappropriation, embezzlement, theft and wrongful diversion to themselves of millions of dollars of the Tribe's money; (2) hundreds of millions of dollars in financial losses incurred by the Tribe and Tribe-Owned Businesses as a result of the RICO Defendants' mismanagement; (3) financial losses and costs of several millions of dollars incurred by the Tribe in connection with removal of the RICO Defendants' control over the Tribe and PEC, and related investigation; and (4) the damage that has been caused to the goodwill and reputation of the Tribe, its businesses, including the Casino, and the Tribal Entities.
- 462. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c), the Tribe is entitled to recover treble damages plus costs and attorneys' fees from the RICO Defendants.

WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below.

#### **Third Claim for Relief**

# (Violations of RICO – Investment of Racketeering Proceeds, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(a)) (Against RICO Ringleaders John Crosby and Larry Lohse)

- 463. The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 464. At all relevant times, CRP 111 West 141st LLC, CRP West 168th Street LLC, and CRP Sherman Avenue LLC (collectively "CRP Entities") are enterprises affecting interstate commerce within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(4) and 1962(b).
- 465. The CRP Entities are special purpose real estate investment vehicles created by Castellan Real Estate Partners. The Tribe is a significant investor in these and other special purpose real estate investment vehicles created by Castellan Real Estate Partners; and such

# Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 138 of 171 investments are among the only investments by the Tribe while under the RICO Ringleaders' control that have been financially successful.

466. RICO Defendants John Crosby and Larry Lohse through the racketeering activities alleged herein caused PEC to "loan" each of them \$150,000 to invest in the CRP Entities, which neither has made any effort to pay back, and which were, in fact, unauthorized conversions of Tribal money. These moneys represented proceeds of the RICO Defendants'

467. As a direct and proximate result of the investment of the racketeering proceeds in the CRP Entities by RICO Defendants John Crosby and Larry Lohse, in violation of the 18 U.S.C. § 1962, the Tribe was injured in its business and property in an amount to be determined at trial. These injuries include without limitation the loss of the opportunity to earn returns on an investment by the Tribe of these proceeds in the CRP Entities.

468. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c), the Tribe is entitled to recover treble damages plus costs and attorneys' fees from the RICO Defendants.

WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below.

#### **Fourth Claim for Relief**

# (Conspiracy to Violate Section 1962(c) of RICO – Conduct of a RICO Enterprise, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d)) (Against the RICO Defendants)

- 469. The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 470. The RICO Defendants have unlawfully, knowingly and willfully combined, conspired, confederated and agreed together and with others to violate 18 U.S.C. §§ 1962(c), as described therein, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d).
- 471. The RICO Defendants knew that they were engaged in a conspiracy to commit the predicate acts described in the First Claim for Relief, and they knew that the predicate acts were part of such racketeering activity, and the participation and agreement of each of them was necessary to allow the commission of this pattern of racketeering activity. This conduct constitutes a conspiracy to violate 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c), in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d).

racketeering activities alleged herein.

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 139 of 171

- 472. The RICO Defendants agreed to conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the conduct, management, or operation of the RICO Enterprise's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c).
- 473. Each RICO Defendant knew about and agreed to facilitate the RICO Enterprise's scheme to obtain property from the Tribe. It was part of the conspiracy that the RICO Defendants and their co-conspirators would commit a pattern of racketeering activity in the conduct of the affairs of the RICO Enterprise, including the acts of racketeering set forth herein.
- 474. As a direct and proximate result of the RICO Defendants' conspiracy, the acts of racketeering activity of the RICO Enterprise, the overt acts taken in furtherance of that conspiracy, and violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d), the Tribe has been injured in its business and property, including without limitation the loss of millions of dollars that the RICO Defendants stole from the Tribe by operation of their criminal scheme. The Tribe has been and will continue to be injured in its business and property in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 475. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c), the Tribe is entitled to recover treble damages plus costs and attorneys' fees from the RICO Defendants.

WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below

#### Fifth Claim for Relief

# (Conspiracy to Violate Section 1962(b) of RICO – Taking Control of an Enterprise, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d)) (Against the RICO Defendants)

- 476. The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 477. The RICO Defendants have unlawfully, knowingly and willfully combined, conspired, confederated and agreed together and with others to violate 18 U.S.C. §§ 1962(b), as described therein, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d).
- 478. The RICO Defendants knew that they were engaged in a conspiracy to take control of the Tribe and PEC. As described in the Second Claim for Relief, they knew that their actions were part of such racketeering activity, and the participation and agreement of each of

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 140 of 171

them was necessary to allow the commission of this racketeering activity. This conduct constitutes a conspiracy to violate 18 U.S.C. § 1962(b), in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d).

- 479. The RICO Defendants agreed to conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the taking of control of the Tribe and PEC through a pattern of racketeering activity in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(b).
- 480. Each RICO Defendant knew about and agreed to facilitate the scheme to take control of these enterprises. It was part of the conspiracy that the RICO Defendants and their co-conspirators would commit a pattern of racketeering activity to take control of these enterprises, including the acts of racketeering set forth herein.
- 481. As a direct and proximate result of the RICO Defendants' taking control of the Tribe and PEC, in violation of the 18 U.S.C. § 1962, the Tribe was injured in its business and property in an amount to be determined at trial. These injuries include without limitation: (1) financial losses incurred by the Tribe as a result of the RICO Defendants' misappropriation, embezzlement, theft and wrongful diversion to themselves of millions of dollars of the Tribe's money; (2) hundreds of millions of dollars in financial losses incurred by the Tribe and Tribe-Owned Businesses as a result of the RICO Defendants' mismanagement; (3) financial losses and costs of several millions of dollars incurred by the Tribe in connection with the removal of the RICO Defendants' control over the Tribe and PEC, and related investigation; and (4) the damage that has been caused to the goodwill and reputation of the Tribe, its businesses, including the Casino, and the Tribal Entities.
- 482. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c), the Tribe is entitled to recover treble damages plus costs and attorneys' fees from the RICO Defendants.

WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below.

#### Sixth Claim for Relief

# (Conspiracy to Violate Section 1962(a) of RICO – Investment of Racketeering Proceeds, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d)) (Against RICO Ringleaders John Crosby and Larry Lohse)

483. The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

- Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 141 of 171

  484. RICO Ringleaders John Crosby and Larry Lohse have unlawfully, knowingly and willfully combined, conspired, confederated and agreed together and with others to violate 18 U.S.C. §§ 1962(a), as described therein, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d).

  485. RICO Ringleaders John Crosby and Larry Lohse knew that they were engaged in a conspiracy to use or invest the proceeds of their racketeering activities in the acquisition of an interest in the CRP Entities, as described herein.

  486. RICO Ringleaders John Crosby and Larry Lohse agreed to conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the use or invest the proceeds of their racketeering activities in the acquisition of an interest in the CRP Entities in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(a). This agreement included without limitation the agreement to write checks to each other from Cornerstone PEC Account X for the amounts invested by each in the CRP Entities.
  - 487. Each RICO Defendant knew about and agreed to facilitate the scheme to use or invest the proceeds of their racketeering activities in the acquisition of an interest in the CRP Entities in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(a). It was part of the conspiracy that the RICO Defendants and their co-conspirators use or invest the proceeds of their racketeering activities in the acquisition of an interest in the CRP Entities in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(a).
  - 488. As a direct and proximate result of the RICO Defendants' conspiracy, the use or investment of the racketeering proceeds in the acquisition of an interest in the CRP Entities, the overt acts taken in furtherance of that conspiracy, and violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d), the Tribe has been injured in its business and property, including without limitation the loss of the opportunity to earn returns on an investment by the Tribe of these proceeds in the CRP Entities.
  - 489. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c), the Tribe is entitled to recover treble damages plus costs and attorneys' fees from RICO Ringleaders John Crosby or Larry Lohse.

WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below.

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 142 of 171

### 

#### **Seventh Claim for Relief**

# (Violation of the Federal Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(3)) (Against the RICO Defendants)

490. The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

491. The RICO Defendants have violated the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1030, by intentionally accessing, as part of the RICO Defendants' scheme to take control and defraud the Tribe, the Tribe's computers used for interstate commerce and communication, without authorization and by exceeding authorized access to such a computer and by obtaining information from such a protected computer, and causing significant damage to such computers.

492. The RICO Defendants have violated the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1030, by knowingly, with intent to defraud the Tribe, and as part of the RICO Defendants' scheme to take control and defraud the Tribe, accessing a protected computer, without authorization or by exceeding authorized access to such a computer, and, by means of such conduct, furthering their intended fraud and obtaining one or more things of value, including, but not limited to: evidence of the RICO Defendants' scheme or artifice to defraud the tribe and the criminal conduct of the RICO Defendants and their co-conspirators; and information concerning the location of assets of the Tribe stolen by the RICO Defendants.

493. The RICO Defendants have violated the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1030, by intentionally accessing, as part of the RICO Defendants' scheme to take control and defraud the Tribe, a protected computer beyond the scope of the authorization granted, and causing damage to the Tribe, recklessly or without due regard for their actions.

494. The computer system or systems that the RICO Defendants accessed as alleged herein constitute a "protected computer" within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 1030, as they are used by the Tribe to conduct interstate communication and interstate business, including without limitation in connection with the Casino and the various business activities in which the Tribe is engaged directly and through Tribe-Owned Businesses.

#### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 143 of 171

495. As a direct, proximate, and reasonably anticipated result of the RICO Defendants'
actions in violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1030, the Tribe has been damaged by these violations,
including without limitation damage caused to the Tribe's data, programs, and computer
systems and impairment of the integrity and availability of data, programs, systems, or
information. The Tribe has further suffered damage and loss through the cost of responding to
the offenses, including conducting damage assessments and restoring data, programs, systems,
and/or information to its condition prior to the offenses. These, as well as other losses and
damages in an amount to be determined at trial, amount to over \$5,000 aggregated over a one-
year period.

496. The RICO Defendants' unlawful access to, and misappropriations from, the Tribe's computers have also caused the Tribe irreparable injury. Unless restrained and enjoined, the RICO Defendants will continue to commit such acts. Damages are not adequate to compensate the Tribe for these actual and threatened injuries; the Tribe is therefore entitled to injunctive relief as provided by 18 U.S.C. § 1030(g).

WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below.

#### **Eighth Claim for Relief**

# (Violation of the California Comprehensive Computer Data Access and Fraud Act, Cal. Penal Code, §502) (Against the RICO Defendants)

497. The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

498. In violation of Penal Code §502(c)(1), the RICO Defendants, as part of the RICO Defendants' scheme to take control and defraud the Tribe, knowingly accessed and without permission altered, damaged, deleted, and/or destroyed the Tribe's data, computers, computer systems and/or computer networks in order to devise and/or execute a scheme and/or artifice to defraud and/or deceive and/or in order to wrongfully control and/or obtain money, property, and/or data.

499. In violation of Penal Code §502(c)(2), the RICO Defendants, as part of the RICO Defendants' scheme to take control and defraud the Tribe, knowingly accessed and without

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 144 of 171

permission took, copied, and/or used data from the Tribe's computers, computer systems and/or computer network.

- 500. In violation of Penal Code §502(c)(3), the RICO Defendants, as part of the RICO Defendants' scheme to take control and defraud the Tribe, knowingly and without permission used or caused to be used the Tribe's computer services.
- 501. In violation of Penal Code §502(c)(4), the RICO Defendants, as part of the RICO Defendants' scheme to take control and defraud the Tribe, knowingly accessed and without permission altered, damaged, deleted, and/or destroyed data, computer software, and/or computer programs which reside and/or exist internal or external to the Tribe's computers, computer systems, or computer network.
- 502. In violation of Penal Code §502(c)(6), the RICO Defendants, as part of the RICO Defendants' scheme to take control and defraud the Tribe, knowingly and without permission provided or assisted in providing a means to access the Tribe's computers, computer systems, and/or computer network in violation of Penal Code §502.
- 503. In violation of Penal Code §502(c)(6), the RICO Defendants, as part of the RICO Defendants' scheme to take control and defraud the Tribe, knowingly and without permission accessed or caused to be accessed the Tribe's computers, computer systems, and/or computer network.
- 504. The Tribe owned and/or leased the computer, computer system, computer network, computer program, and/or data referenced in the preceding six paragraphs.
- 505. As a direct, proximate, and reasonably anticipated result of the RICO Defendants' actions in violations of Penal Code § 502, the Tribe suffered damages in an amount to be proved at trial.
- 506. The RICO Defendants' actions in violation of Penal Code § 502 were willful, malicious, and were done with fraud and oppression.

WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below.

	Case 2:15-at-00324	Document 1	Filed 03/10/15	Page 145 of 171
--	--------------------	------------	----------------	-----------------

1	
1	

### 3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

#### **Ninth Claim for Relief**

### (Conversion under California Law) (Against the RICO Defendants)

507. The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

508. The Tribe owned, possessed and/or had a right to possess the profits from the Casino, as well as all other sources of income for the Tribe, including without limited income generated by the Tribe-Owned Businesses.

509. Further, the Tribe owned, possessed and/or had a right to possess all moneys in the bank accounts and investment accounts taken out or opened in the Tribe's name.

510. The RICO Defendants intentionally and substantially interfered with the Tribe's property rights as to these moneys by *inter alia* (a) taking for themselves, without the assent of the Tribe, certain identifiable quantities of such moneys, including without limitation those alleged in detail herein; (b) preventing the Tribe from gaining access to the moneys deposited in the Tribe's banking and investment accounts; and (c) refusing to return the Tribal moneys taken, despite the Tribe's demands for their return. The RICO Defendants concealed these actions from the Tribe, in contravention of their duties under the Tribal Constitution.

- 511. The Tribe did not consent to this interference with its property rights to these moneys.
- 512. As a direct, proximate, and reasonably anticipated result of this interference, the Tribe was harmed in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 513. The RICO Defendants acted willfully, maliciously, and with fraud and oppression in taking such actions.

WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 146 of 171

### 

### 

### **Tenth Claim for Relief**

### (Fraudulent Concealment under California Law) (Against the RICO Defendants)

514. The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

- 515. By virtue of their positions with the Tribe and/or PEC the RICO Defendants owed the Tribe and/or PEC a duty to truthfully disclose information concerning the Tribe's finances and PEC's finances, including without limitation transactions involving the Tribe's assets and PEC's assets. The RICO Ringleaders further owed the Tribe a duty to truthfully disclose information concerning issues of Tribal governance, including without limitation election requirements for Tribal Council members and accounting requirements contained in the Tribal Constitution.
- 516. As part of their scheme to defraud and control the Tribe, the RICO Defendants intentionally failed to disclose to, and actively concealed from the Tribe, including Tribal Council members, numerous important facts concerning these topics including without limitation:
- a. The amounts of non-retirement and retirement compensation the RICO Ringleaders were causing the Tribe to pay themselves and RICO Defendant Sherry Myers;
- b. The RICO Ringleaders' uses of Tribal money to pay for their personal expenses;
- c. The RICO Defendants' uses of other Tribal resources for their personal benefit, including without limitation private jets wholly or fractionally owned by the Tribe;
- d. The RICO Ringleaders' uses of Tribal money and other tribal resources for the benefit of their friends and relatives;
- e. The RICO Ringleaders' withdrawals of Tribal money from Tribal bank accounts in cash for their personal benefit and that of their friends and relatives;

GROSS LAW P.C

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 147 of 171

- - f. The locations in which the RICO Ringleaders had secreted Tribal money, including without limitation the existence of a number of bank accounts established by the RICO Defendants that contained Tribal moneys;
    - g. The RICO Ringleaders' purchase of private jet with Tribal money;
  - h. The losses incurred as a result of the investments made by the RICO Ringleaders with the Tribe's money;
  - i. Requirements in the Tribal Constitution concerning approval and accounting of financial transactions of which the RICO Ringleaders were in consistent violation;
  - j. Provisions in the Tribal Constitution concerning the election of Tribal Council members.
  - 517. At no time during their tenure, did the RICO Defendants provide truthful reports to the Tribe or the Tribal Council regarding the Tribe's finances or expenditures purportedly made on the Tribe's behalf by the RICO Ringleaders, but rather actively concealed this information from the Tribe and Tribal Council.
  - 518. At no time during their tenure did the RICO Defendants provide truthful account balance sheets to the Tribe, or any form of accounting of Tribal moneys or any expenditures purportedly made on the Tribe's behalf, but rather actively concealed this information from the Tribe and Tribal Council.
  - 519. At no time during their tenure did the RICO Defendants conduct a truthful audit of the Tribe's finances.
  - 520. When the foregoing information was specifically requested by a member of the Tribe and/or Tribal Council, the RICO Ringleaders would refuse to provide it and took actions with the specific purpose and intent of silencing through intimidation any Tribal member that persisted in such requests.
  - 521. The RICO Ringleaders intentionally structured financial transactions involving the Tribe's money to hide and obscure their conversion of Tribal money.

## Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 148 of 171 522 The RICO Ringleaders purchased the silence including through

2

1

- 3
- 45
- 67
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 2425
- 26
- 27
- 28

- 522. The RICO Ringleaders purchased the silence, including through bribes paid to public officials, of persons that could otherwise have disclosed facts concerning the RICO Ringleaders' use of the Tribe's money.
- 523. The RICO Defendants took specific actions to prevent the recording of evidence concerning the RICO Defendants' use of Tribal money and other illegal conduct.
- 524. The RICO Defendants took specific actions to destroy evidence concerning the RICO Defendants' use of Tribal money and other illegal conduct.
- 525. The RICO Defendants purposefully and intentionally failed to disclose and actively concealed these facts in order to deceive the Tribe, hide their scheme to defraud the Tribe, and remain in control of the Tribe. The RICO Defendants' failures to disclose and active concealment of important facts was an integral component of their scheme to control and defraud the Tribe.
- 526. The RICO Defendants acted willfully, maliciously, and with fraud and oppression in taking such actions and making such omissions.
- 527. The Tribe and Tribal Council reasonably relied on the RICO Defendants' deceptions.
- 528. As a direct, proximate, and reasonably anticipated result of the RICO Defendants' deceptions and the reliance of the Tribe and Tribal Council thereon the Tribe was harmed in an amount to be proven at trial.

WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below.

### **Eleventh Claim for Relief**

### (Fraudulent Misrepresentation under California Law) (Against the RICO Defendants)

- 529. The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 530. As part of their scheme to control and defraud the Tribe, the RICO Defendants falsely represented to the Tribe, including to Tribal Council members, that numerous facts were true including without limitation:

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 149 of 171 Any person wishing to challenge RICO Ringleader Leslie Lohse in an 1 a. 2 election for the Tribe's Treasurer was required to post a \$1 million bond; 3 b. Per capita payments to other Tribe members could not be raised because of restrictions under federal law; 4 5 The investments that the RICO Ringleaders were making on behalf of the Tribe were doing very well and earing the Tribe money; 6 7 d The RICO Ringleaders were making money for the Tribe through various 8 economic activities conducted on behalf of the Tribe; 9 e. Once it was discovered that the RICO Ringleaders had caused the Tribe 10 to purchase, wholly or partially, a private jet, that the personal trips taken by the RICO 11 Ringleaders on the private jet paid for by the Tribe were trips for Tribal business; and 12 f. There were other employees of the Tribe that were paid more than the 13 RICO Ringleaders. 14 531. The RICO Defendants knew that these representations were false, and intended 15 for the Tribe to rely on these false misrepresentations. 16 532. The RICO Defendants acted willfully, maliciously, and with fraud and oppression 17 in taking such actions. 18 533. The Tribe relied on the false misrepresentations. 19 534. As a direct, proximate, and reasonably anticipated result of the RICO Defendants' 20 deceptions and the reliance of the Tribe and Tribal Council thereon, the Tribe was harmed in an 21 amount to be proven at trial. 22 WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below 23 **Twelfth Claim for Relief** 24 (Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Relations under California Law) 25 (Against the RICO Defendants) 26 535. The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previous 27 paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 150 of 171 1 536. The Tribe and the Tribe-Owned Businesses were in economic relationships that 2 probably would have resulted in an economic benefit to the Tribe. 3 537. The RICO Defendants knew of these economic relationships. 4 538. The RICO Defendants engaged in conduct aimed at diverting for themselves the 5 economic benefits that the Tribe-Owned Businesses would otherwise have provided to the 6 Tribe. 7 539. The RICO Defendants intended that by engaging in this conduct these 8 relationships would be disrupted and/or knew that disruption of the relationships was certain or 9 substantially certain to occur. 10 540. The RICO Defendants acted willfully, maliciously, and with fraud and oppression 11 in taking such actions. 12 541. The economic relationships between the Tribe and the Tribe-Owned Businesses 13 were disrupted, and as a direct, proximate, and reasonably anticipated result of the RICO 14 Defendants' conduct in this regard the Tribe was harmed in an amount to be proven at trial. 15 WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below. 16 Thirteenth Claim for Relief (Breach of Fiduciary Duty of Undivided Loyalty under California Law) 17 (Against the RICO Defendants) 18 19 542. The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previous 20 paragraphs as though fully set forth herein. 21 543. The RICO Defendants, as employees of the Tribe and/or officials thereof, and 22 who, in the case of the RICO Ringleaders, knowingly took for themselves control of the Tribe 23 and its economic activities, were duty bound to act with the utmost good faith for the benefit of 24 the Tribe. This required inter alia that the RICO Defendants take no advantage from their acts 25 relating to the interests of the Tribe without the Tribe's knowledge and consent.

26

27

28

in a consistent pattern of self-dealing and theft that resulted in the enormous enrichment of the

RICO Defendants at the expense of the Tribe.

544. The RICO Defendants knowingly acted against the Tribe's interests by engaging

	Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 151 of 171
1	545. The Tribe did not consent to this conduct by the RICO Defendants.
2	546. The RICO Defendants acted willfully, maliciously, and with fraud and oppression
3	in taking such actions.
4	547. As a direct, proximate, and reasonably anticipated result of the RICO Defendants'
5	conduct in this regard, the Tribe was harmed in an amount to be proven at trial.
6	WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below.
7	Fourteenth Claim for Relief
8	(Breach of Fiduciary Duty of Reasonable Care under California Law) (Against the RICO Defendants)
0	548. The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previous
1	paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
2	549. The RICO Defendants, as employees of the Tribe and/or officials thereof, and
3	who, in the case of the RICO Ringleaders, knowingly took for themselves control of the Tribe
4	and its economic activities, including without limitation numerous financial and investment
5	transactions they caused the Tribe to enter into, were duty bound to act with the utmost good
6	faith for the benefit of the Tribe. This required inter alia that the RICO Defendants conduct
7	their activities as employees of the Tribe and/or officials thereof with reasonable care.
8	550. In conducting themselves in this capacity, the RICO Defendants failed to act as a
9	reasonably careful person would have acted under similar circumstances.
20	551. The RICO Defendants acted willfully, maliciously, and with fraud and oppression
21	in taking such actions.
22	552. As a direct, proximate, and reasonably anticipated result of the RICO Defendants'
23	conduct in this regard, the Tribe was harmed in an amount to be proven at trial.
24	WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below.
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	Fifteenth Claim for Relief
2	(Common Count – Money Had and Received under California Law)
3	(Against the RICO Defendants)
4	553. The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previou
5	paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
6	554. The RICO Defendants received moneys that were intended to be used for the
7	benefit of the Tribe.
8	555. That money was not used for benefit of the Tribe.
9	556. The RICO Defendants have not returned or otherwise given these moneys back to
10	the Tribe.
11	WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below.
12	Sixteenth Claim for Relief
13	(Civil Conspiracy under California Law) (Against the RICO Defendants)
14	(Against the RICO Defendants)
15	557. The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previou
16	paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
17	558. The RICO Defendants formed a conspiracy to defraud the tribe and enrich
18	themselves at the Tribe's expense.
19	559. The aforementioned conspiracy subsequently operated to defraud the Tribe and
20	enrich the RICO Defendants.
21	560. In furtherance of the conspiracy, the RICO Defendants took wrongful actions
22	including those alleged herein.
23	561. The RICO Defendants acted willfully, maliciously, and with fraud and oppression
24	in the actions taken to form and operate the conspiracy and in the wrongful conduct taken by
25	them in furtherance of it.
26	562. As a direct, proximate, and reasonably anticipated result of the RICO Defendants
27	conduct in this regard, the Tribe was harmed in an amount to be proven at trial.
28	WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below.

Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 152 of 171

Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 153 of 171
Seventeenth Claim for Relief
(Aiding and Abetting - RICO Defendants' Conversion and Breaches of Fiduciary Duties) (Against RICO Defendants)
563. The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previous
paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
564. As alleged herein, the RICO Defendants effected numerous conversions of
identifiable amounts of the Tribe's money.
565. The RICO Defendants substantially assisted their fellow RICO Defendants in
effecting these conversions.
566. As alleged herein, the RICO Defendants committed numerous breaches of their
fiduciary duties of undivided loyalty owed to the Tribe.
567. The RICO Defendants substantially assisted their fellow RICO Defendants in
committing these breaches.
568. As alleged herein, the RICO Defendants independently owed the Tribe fiduciary
duties, which, as alleged herein, they violated.
569. In addition and/or in the alternative, RICO Defendants knew that their fellow
RICO Defendants were effecting these conversions and committing these breaches of their
fiduciary duties.
570. As a direct, proximate, and reasonably anticipated result of the RICO Defendants'
actions and omissions in substantial assistance to their fellow RICO Defendants' conversions
and breaches of fiduciary duties the Tribe was harmed in an amount to be proven at trial.
WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below.

### **Eighteenth Claim for Relief**

(Common Law Negligence) (Against Umpqua Bank and Umpqua Holdings Corporation)

571. The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 154 of 171

- 572. Defendant Umpqua Bank owed the Tribe, as a depositor and account holder, a duty of reasonable care.
  - 573. Defendant Umpqua Bank violated that duty of reasonable care.
- 574. To the extent Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank did not know that the RICO Defendants, and, in particular, RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby, were converting the Tribe's money deposited at Umpqua Bank, were engaging in a fraudulent scheme to convert the Tribe's money deposited at Umpqua Bank, and/or were committing acts that constituted breaches of their fiduciary duties to the Tribe, Abettor Umpqua Bank's failure to make any inquiry or investigation into the propriety of the RICO Ringleaders' transactions vis-à-vis the Tribe's money at Umpqua Bank, despite numerous warning signs it is required to recognize, Abettor Umpqua Bank's failure to prevent its employees from knowingly assisting RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby in her conversion of Tribe money deposited at the bank, Abettor Umpqua Bank's failure to stop the RICO Ringleaders' use of the Tribe's accounts at Umpqua Bank to further their scheme, including without limitation by freezing or closing the accounts and/or limiting RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby's ability to withdraw funds from those accounts, were breaches of the duty of reasonable care that Defendant Umpqua Bank owed the Tribe as its depositor.
- 575. Such violations of its duty of reasonable care include without limitation Defendant Umpqua Bank's failure to investigate or inquire into the propriety of numerous large cash withdrawals by the Rico Ringleaders from the Tribe's accounts at Umpqua Bank, totaling millions of dollars, and large payments for the RICO Ringleaders' personal benefit, further totaling millions of dollars, that the RICO Ringleaders caused to be made from the Tribe's accounts at Umpqua Bank.
- 576. The circumstances alleged herein both demonstrate that certain employees of Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank gave knowing assistance to the RICO Ringleader in commission of their illegal scheme and were sufficiently suspicious and made the risk sufficiently apparent that the RICO Ringleaders were converting the Tribe's money deposited at Umpqua Bank, were violating their fiduciary duties to the Tribe in connection with such money, and/or were otherwise acting improperly in connection with such money, that Defendant

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 155 of 171

Umpqua Bank had a duty to investigate and inquire into the propriety of the activity of the RICO Ringleaders vis-à-vis the Tribe's money deposited at Umpqua Bank. Defendant Umpqua Bank failed to do so, and, instead, continued to provide the RICO Ringleaders with the ability to conduct such transactions with the Tribe's money without making any inquiry into the propriety of the transaction.

577. Among the suspicious transactions conducted by the RICO Ringleaders that evince the knowing assistance provided by certain Umpqua Bank employees and which placed Umpqua Bank on inquiry notice were numerous large cash withdrawals from the Tribe's accounts at Umpqua bank made by RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby, at the same Orland, California branch of Umpqua Bank, with the assistance of the same Umpqua Bank tellers.

578. Because of the consistently large size of the unauthorized cash withdrawals made by Ms. Crosby—regularly in the \$7,500 range and frequently in the tens and even hundreds of thousands—Ms. Crosby was not able to accomplish these thefts using an ATM or other anonymous means. Rather, Ms. Crosby required the in-person assistance of Umpqua Bank tellers at bank's branch in Orland, California, a town with a population of approximately 7,300 people. The Umpqua Bank branch in Orland is small with approximately four tellers and one non-teller bank manager working at any given time. Furthermore, the same tellers and non-teller bank manager consistently work at the branch and have worked at the branch for substantial periods, including during the period that Ms. Crosby made repeated and regular large unauthorized cash withdrawals from the Tribe's accounts at Umpqua Bank. Thus, RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby was consistently assisted in making these unauthorized withdrawals by the same Umpqua Bank employees and in the presence of the same Umpqua Bank employees. These Umpqua Bank employees furthermore, as members of the small Orland community, were aware of the extraordinarily extravagant and luxurious life style enjoyed by RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby.

579. To these tellers, Ms. Crosby presented numerous large denomination checks for cash from the Tribe's accounts—made out to "Cash," the Tribe, or "Umpqua Bank"—and other requests for large cash withdrawals from the Tribe's accounts, and the tellers would hand over

large amounts of cash to her. This was despite the fact that these withdrawals—due to several factors including without limitation their amounts, the manner in which the checks were made out, and their frequency, both generally and in relation to each other—triggered scrutiny under internal Umpqua policies and procedures and those mandated under federal law. These withdrawals, furthermore, were remarkably large relative to other withdrawals from the branch.

580. Many of the withdrawals that RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby made from the Tribe's accounts at Defendant Umpqua Bank were at or above \$10,000, a level that requires Umpqua Bank to file a Currency Transaction Report ("CTR") with the Internal Revenue Service regarding such a transaction. Indeed, many of these transactions were many times more than the level triggering the CTR reporting requirement.

581. These amounts were sufficiently large and sufficiently frequent that they triggered scrutiny under Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank's internal control policies. Umpqua Bank employees, including the Umpqua Bank tellers in its retail-banking locations, receive training concerning these federal and internal reporting requirements and are trained to recognize and scrutinize the propriety of transactions such as these. Umpqua Bank further has automated systems to identify such transactions, reports which Umpqua Bank employees review and scrutinize. As a result of such scrutiny, Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank was, at least, on inquiry notice whether the RICO Ringleaders' conduct in connection with the Tribe's money deposited at Umpqua Bank was proper. To the extent certain bank personnel were not already aware that RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby was regularly converting large sums of the Tribe's money deposited at the Bank, Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank failed to make any such inquiry or investigation and, instead, routinely handed over large amounts of the Tribe's money in cash to RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby.

582. Indeed, Abettor Defendant Umpqua allowed RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby to make large cash withdraws above the CTR limit from the Tribe's bank accounts at Umpqua Bank *after* it was widely reported in the local press that RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby and her co-RICO Ringleaders had been suspended from the Tribe, removed from their positions, and were suspected of misappropriating millions of dollars from the Tribe. Certain employees of

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 157 of 171

Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank knew these withdrawals constituted conversions of the Tribe's money and substantially assisted Ms. Crosby in making the withdrawals, and those that did not know were, at least, placed on inquiry notice concerning the propriety of these withdrawals. And, as in the past, Umpqua Bank employees handed over another almost \$340,000 of the Tribe's money in cash to RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby upon her request knowing that doing so assisted her in converting the funds for her benefit. Those employees that did not know these withdrawals by Ms. Ines constituted conversions of the Tribe's money were on inquiry notice concerning their propriety, including without limitation by virtue of the then-recent widely reported news that RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby had stolen millions of dollars of the Tribe's money, but made no such inquiry.

583. Employees of Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank were further made aware of the impropriety of the withdrawals by RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby and those that were not already aware were further placed on inquiry notice concerning the propriety of the RICO Ringleaders' cash withdrawals from the Tribe's accounts and the other large payments they caused to be made therefrom by virtue of other cash withdrawals by RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby, which, based on the manner in which the amounts were structured and the frequency of the withdrawals, were indicative of Ms. Crosby's efforts to evade CTR reporting requirements. Thus, these transactions triggered Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank's obligations to file a Suspicious Activity Report ("SAR") with the Financial Crimes Reporting Network concerning such transactions. To the extent Umpqua Bank employees failed to file these reports they provided further knowing assistance to RICO Ringleaders in their scheme. To the extent they filed these reports, their filing made them further aware and/or placed them on inquiry notice of the impropriety of the withdrawals.

584. Ms. Crosby frequently made cash withdrawals from the Tribe's checking account at Abettor Umpqua Bank at levels structured to evade CTR requirements. Examples of this include without limitation fifteen checks for exactly \$7,500 made out to "Cash" by RICO Defendant Ines Crosby and cashed at the Umpqua Bank branch in Orland, California during the period of January 2013 through March 2014. In several instances, within two weeks or less of a

\$7,500 cash withdrawal, RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby cashed checks made out to "Cash" in smaller denominations between \$1000 and \$6500 at the same Orland, California branch of Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank.

Defendant Umpqua Banks's internal control policies. Umpqua Bank employees, including the Umpqua Bank tellers in its retail-banking locations, receive training concerning federal and internal reporting requirements and are trained to recognize and scrutinize the propriety of transactions such as these, which are known as "smurfing" or "structuring." Umpqua Bank further has automated systems to identify such transactions, reports which Umpqua Bank employees review and scrutinize. As a result of such scrutiny, employees of Abettor Umpqua Bank were aware, and to the extent not aware, were placed on inquiry notice that RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby was structuring her transactions in an illegal effort to avoid scrutiny. Employees of Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank continued handing over thousands of dollars of the Tribe's money in cash to RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby with the knowledge of their impropriety or, to the extent they did not already have such knowledge, without further inquiring into the propriety of the withdrawals.

Secondary Secondary Defendant Umpqua Bank employees' knowledge that the RICO Ringleaders were converting the Tribe's money deposited at the bank and were committing related breaches of their fiduciary duties was further confirmed by the fact that most of the large non-cash transactions in the Tribe's checking account at Abettor Umpqua Bank were characteristic of activity in a bank account of an extraordinarily rich individual, not of any type of business or governmental institution. For those employees that did not already have such knowledge they were further placed on inquiry notice by these transactions.

587. For example, the RICO Ringleaders used the Tribe's checking account at Abettor Umpqua Bank to pay extraordinarily high monthly American Express bills for purchases that the RICO Ringleaders admit were for their personal benefit. Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank employees receive specific training on the use of credit card transactions as a means to disguise and commit illegal transactions and thus are trained to scrutinize large transactions such as

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 159 of 171

these. As a further example, on or around April 1, 2014, RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby wrote a check from the Tribe's checking account at Abettor Defendant Umpqua bank in the amount of \$93,331.05 to Mercedes Benz of Rocklin for a vehicle that Ms. Crosby admits to purchasing with the Tribe's money for her own benefit. This transaction further confirmed the knowledge of Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank employees of the impropriety of the transactions being conducted by RICO Ringleader Ines Croby with the Tribe's money deposited with Umpqua Bank, and for those employees who lacked such knowledge, it placed them on inquiry notice. The latter failed to make any such inquiry, paid this check and continued to hand over large sums of the Tribe's money to RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby.

588. The foregoing facts, as well as others discussed herein, indicate that certain Defendant Umpqua Bank employees, in fact, knew that RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby was converting Tribal money deposited with the bank for her own benefit and was committing acts contrary to her fiduciary duties to the Tribe. These facts, furthermore, placed Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank on inquiry notice, and, Umpqua Bank, to the extent it did not already know that RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby was converting Tribal money on deposit at the bank for her own benefit and was committing acts contrary to her fiduciary duties to the Tribe, failed to sufficiently inquire into the propriety of the RICO Ringleaders' conduct vis-à-vis the Tribe's money deposited at Umpqua Bank.

- 589. This and other conduct alleged herein by Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank was negligent.
- 590. As a direct, proximate, and reasonably anticipated result of Defendant Umpqua Bank's conduct in this regard, the Tribe was harmed in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 591. Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank was acting as Defendant Umpqua Holdings' authorized agent when it took these negligent actions and made these negligent omissions. Defendant Umpqua Holdings maintained control over Defendant Umpqua Bank during the period when such actions were taken and such omissions made by Defendant Umpqua Bank. Such actions and omissions were in the scope of the agency relationship between Defendant Umpqua Holdings and Defendant Umpqua Bank.

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 160 of 171

592. Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank was furthermore acting as Defendant Umpqua Holdings' alter ego when it took these negligent actions and made these negligent omissions. Defendant Umpqua Bank and Defendant Umpqua Holdings have such a unity of interests that they do not function as separate entities but rather as a single entity. Furthermore, an inequitable result would follow if the negligent actions and omissions of Defendant Umpqua Bank were treated as solely its own.

WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below.

#### Nineteenth Claim for Relief

### (Statutory Negligence, Cal. U.C.C. § 3405(b)) (Against Umpqua Bank and Umpqua Holdings Corporation)

593. The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

- 594. In the alternative to the Eighteenth Claim for Relief and to the extent Cal. U.C.C. § 3405 is applicable to some or all of the improper transactions made by the RICO Defendants in connection with the Tribe's accounts at Umpqua Bank, Defendant Umpqua Bank violated Cal. U.C.C. § 3405(b) by failing to exercise reasonable care required thereunder.
  - 595. This conduct by Defendant Umpqua Bank was negligent.
- 596. As a direct, proximate, and reasonably anticipated result of Defendant Umpqua Bank's conduct in this regard the Tribe was harmed in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 597. Defendant Umpqua Bank was acting as Defendant Umpqua Holdings' authorized agent when it took these negligent actions and made these negligent omissions. Defendant Umpqua Holdings maintained control over Defendant Umpqua Bank during the period when such actions were taken and such omissions made by Defendant Umpqua Bank. Such actions and omissions were furthermore in the scope of the agency relationship between Defendant Umpqua Holdings and Defendant Umpqua Bank.
- 598. Defendant Umpqua Bank was furthermore acting as Defendant Umpqua Holdings' alter ego when it took these negligent actions and made these negligent omissions. Defendant Umpqua Bank and Defendant Umpqua Holdings have such a unity of interests that

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 161 of 171

they do not function as separate entities but rather as a single entity. Furthermore, an inequitable result would follow if the negligent actions and omissions of Defendant Umpqua Bank were treated as solely its own.

WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below.

#### **Twentieth Claim for Relief**

### (Aiding and Abetting - RICO Defendants' Conversion and Breaches of Fiduciary Duties) (Against Umpqua Bank and Umpqua Holdings Corporation)

- 599. The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 600. As alleged herein, the RICO Defendants effected numerous conversions of identifiable amounts of the Tribe's money on deposit in accounts held by Defendant Umpqua Bank.
- 601. Defendant Umpqua Bank substantially assisted the RICO Defendants in effecting these conversions.
- 602. As alleged herein, the RICO Defendants committed numerous breaches of their fiduciary duties of undivided loyalty owed to the Tribe.
- 603. Defendant Umpqua Bank substantially assisted the RICO Defendants in committing these breaches.
- 604. As alleged herein Defendant Umpqua Bank independently owed the Tribe a duty of reasonable care, which, as alleged herein, Defendant Umpqua Bank violated.
- 605. In addition and/or in the alternative, Defendant Umpqua Bank knew that the RICO Defendants were effecting these conversions and committing these breaches of their fiduciary duties. Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank employees, including without limitation the tellers at Defendant Umpqua Bank's Orland branch, knew that the numerous cash withdrawals by RICO Defendant Ines Crosby from the Tribe's accounts, including without limitation those that occurred in April and May of 2014, constituted misappropriations and conversions of Tribe money and breaches of Ms. Crosby's fiduciary duties to the Tribe.

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 162 of 171

Crosby's theft of the Tribe's money in this manner for years and gave her substantial assistance in its accomplishment on numerous occasions. The same employees of Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank's Orland Branch knowingly assisted RICO Ringleader Ines Crosby for years in these conversions and breaches because of the significant benefits that they received as a result of the large profits earned by Defendant Umpqua Bank on the Tribe's accounts. The Tribe's accounts at Umpqua Bank were the largest on deposit at the bank's Orland, California branch, as well as in every bank branch in Glenn County, and thus significantly contributed to Defendant Umpqua Bank's position as the second largest bank in Glenn County in terms of deposits, which, in turn, resulted in financial gain for the employees of Defendant Umpqua Bank at the bank's Orland, California Branch.

607. Consistent with Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank's knowing provision of substantial assistance to the RICO Defendants in their conversion of Tribal money and breaches of fiduciary duties, Abettor Defendant Umpqua Bank has been uncooperative with the Tribe and its representatives in their investigation of the RICO Defendants' wrongful conduct.

608. As a direct, proximate, and reasonably anticipated result of Defendant Umpqua Bank's actions and omissions in substantial assistance to the RICO Defendants' conversions and breaches of fiduciary duties, the Tribe was harmed in an amount to be proven at trial.

609. Defendant Umpqua Bank was acting as Defendant Umpqua Holdings' authorized agent when it took such actions and made such omissions. Defendant Umpqua Holdings maintained control over Defendant Umpqua Bank during the period when such actions were taken and such omissions made by Defendant Umpqua Bank. Such actions and omissions were furthermore in the scope of the agency relationship between Defendant Umpqua Holdings and Defendant Umpqua Bank.

610. Defendant Umpqua Bank was furthermore acting as Defendant Umpqua Holdings' alter ego when it took such actions and made such omissions. Defendant Umpqua Bank and Defendant Umpqua Holdings have such a unity of interests that they do not function as separate entities but rather as a single entity. Furthermore, an inequitable result would follow

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 163 of 171 if the negligent actions and omissions of Defendant Umpqua Bank were treated as solely its 1 2 own. WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below. 3 **Twenty-First Claim for Relief** 4 5 (Common Law Negligence) (Against APC, Moore, and Haness) 6 7 611. The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previous 8 paragraphs as though fully set forth herein. 9 612. Abettor Defendants APC, Moore, and Haness owed the Tribe a duty of reasonable 10 care, as the Tribe's retirement plan service providers. 11 613. Abettor Defendants APC, Moore, and Haness were negligent in the performance 12 of their duties, as the Tribe's retirement plan service providers, and failed to meet the standard 13 of care applicable to those providing administrative, investment and actuarial services to the 14 sponsor of tax-qualified retirement plans. 15 614. As a direct, proximate, and reasonably anticipated result of the actions and 16 omissions by Defendants Moore, Haness, and APC in this regard the Tribe was harmed in an 17 amount to be proven at trial. 18 615. Defendants Moore, Haness, and APC acted willfully, maliciously, and with fraud 19 and oppression in taking such actions and making such omissions. 20 WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below. 21 **Twenty-Second Claim for Relief** 22 (Breach of Fiduciary Duties) (Against APC, Moore, and Haness) 23 616. The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previous 24 paragraphs as though fully set forth herein. 25 617. Abettor Defendants APC, Moore, and Haness, the Tribe's retirement plan service 26 providers, were duty bound to act with the utmost good faith for the benefit of the Tribe. This 27 required inter alia that Abettor Defendants APC, Moore, and Haness conduct their activities 28

with the same care as that with which other reasonably competent providers of administrative,
investment and/or actuarial services to sponsors of tax-qualified retirement plans would provide
such services. This also required inter alia that Abettor Defendants APC, Moore, and Haness
take no advantage from their acts relating to the interests of the Tribe without the Tribe's
knowledge and consent.

- 618. In conducting themselves in this capacity, Abettor Defendants APC, Moore, and Hanes failed to act as other reasonably competent providers of administrative, investment and/or actuarial services to sponsors of tax-qualified retirement plans would have acted under similar circumstances.
- 619. Abettor Defendants APC, Moore, and Haness furthermore knowingly acted against the Tribe's interests by *inter alia* assisting the RICO Defendants in their use of the Tribal Retirement Plans as a means to convert Tribal moneys.
- 620. The Tribe did not consent to this conduct by Abettor Defendants APC, Moore, and Haness.
- 621. As a direct, proximate, and reasonably anticipated result of the actions and omissions by Defendants Moore, Haness, and APC in this regard, the Tribe was harmed in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 622. Abettor Defendants Moore, Haness, and APC acted willfully, maliciously, and with fraud and oppression in taking such actions and making such omissions.

WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below.

### 

### 

### 

24	

### 

### 

### 

### Twenty-Third Claim for Relief

### (Aiding and Abetting - RICO Defendants' Conversion and Breaches of Fiduciary Duties ) (Against APC, Moore, and Haness)

- 623. The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 624. As alleged herein, the RICO Defendants committed numerous breaches of their fiduciary duties of undivided loyalty owed to the Tribe, including without limitation diverting

millions in Tribal retirement plans, including that of Tribe-Owned Business "E", for the benefit of the RICO Ringleaders and RICO Defendant Sherry Myers.

- 625. Defendants APC, Moore, and Haness substantially assisted the RICO Defendants in committing these breaches.
- 626. As alleged herein, the RICO Defendants effected numerous conversions of identifiable amounts of the Tribe's money by diverting millions in Tribal retirement plans, including that of Tribe-Owned Business "E", for the benefit of the RICO Ringleaders and RICO Defendant Sherry Myers.
- 627. Defendants APC, Moore, and Haness substantially assisted the RICO Defendants in effecting these conversions.
- 628. Defendants APC, Moore, and Haness knew that the RICO Defendants were effecting these conversions and committing these breaches.
- 629. Defendants APC, Moore, and Haness knew that the manner in which the RICO Ringleaders structured the Tribal Retirement Plans and then sought to have them administered was improper under applicable rules and/or regulations.
- 630. Defendants APC, Moore, and Haness intentionally and purposefully assisted the RICO Ringleaders in accomplishing this result. Defendants Moore and Haness, in fact, suggested to the RICO Ringleaders that they establish a defined benefit plan, in the form of the Tribal Pension, in order to maximize the amounts that the RICO Ringleaders could divert for their benefit, and then designed the Tribal Pension in a manner that would achieve this result. The latter included without limitation excluding from participation in the Tribal Pension every employee of the Tribe other than RICO Ringleaders John Crosby, Larry Lohse, and Ines Crosby and RICO Defendant Sherry Myers. The same is true as to the Tribal 401(k).
- 631. Defendants APC, Moore, and Haness, furthermore, intentionally and purposefully administered the Tribal Retirement Plans in order to maximize the amount of Tribal money that the RICO Ringleaders could divert through them.
- 632. Defendants APC, Moore, and Haness furthermore intentionally and purposefully assisted the RICO Ringleaders in further maximizing the amounts of Tribal money that RICO

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 166 of 171

Ringleader John Crosby could convert in this manner by assisting him in establishing an additional 401(k) in the name of Tribal Business "M," through which they assisted him in converting additional Tribal money through an effective double dipping.

- 633. By way of further example and not limitation, Abettor Defendant APC knowingly facilitated the RICO Ringleaders' premature liquidation of the Tribal Pension in 2009 and the liquidation of the Tribal 401(k) in 2014 without having appropriate authorizations from the Tribal Council or Tribal administration to do so. By facilitating these liquidations, APC materially assisted the RICO Ringleaders with circumventing the Tribe's ability to recoup these wrongfully received funds.
- 634. Defendants APC, Moore, and Haness further independently owed the Tribe duties, which they violated by assisting the RICO Ringleaders in structuring and administering the Tribal Retirement Plans and the 401(k) Tribe-Owned Business "E" in the manner alleged herein and, at the very least and in the alternative, by doing so without first making adequate and required inquiries.
- 635. As a direct, proximate, and reasonably anticipated result of the actions and omissions by Defendants Moore, Haness, and APC in substantial assistance to the RICO Defendants' conversions and breaches of fiduciary duties the Tribe was harmed in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 636. Defendants Moore, Haness, and APC acted willfully, maliciously, and with fraud and oppression in taking such actions and making such omissions.

WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below.

### **Twenty-Fourth Claim for Relief**

### (Aiding and Abetting - RICO Ringleader John Crosby's Conversion and Breach of Fiduciary Duties) (Against The Patriot)

- 637. The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 638. As alleged herein, RICO Ringleader John Crosby committed numerous breaches of his fiduciary duty of undivided loyalty owed to the Tribe, including without limitation using

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 167 of 171

the Tribe's money without the Tribe's authorization or consent to purchase approximately \$160,000 in gold from Abettor Defendant The Patriot.

- 639. Abettor Defendant The Patriot substantially assisted RICO Ringleader John Crosby commit this breach.
- 640. As alleged herein, RICO Ringleader John Crosby effected numerous conversions of identifiable amounts of the Tribe's money, including without limitation using the Tribe's money without the Tribe's authorization or consent to purchase approximately \$160,000 in gold from Abettor Defendant The Patriot.
- 641. Abettor Defendant The Patriot substantially assisted RICO Ringleader John Crosby effect this conversion.
- 642. Abettor Defendant The Patriot knew that RICO Ringleader John Crosby was effecting this conversion and committing this breach.
- 643. Abettor Defendant The Patriot knew that the gold that RICO Ringleader John Crosby purchased from him with the Tribe's money was not being purchased for the benefit of the Tribe, that the Tribe had not authorized or consented to the use of its money by Mr. Crosby for this purpose, and thus that payment for the gold with the Tribe's money constituted a conversion of the Tribe's money by Mr. Crosby. Abettor Defendant The Patriot nonetheless assisted in that conversion by accepting payment for the gold by checks drawn from Cornerstone PEC Account X.
- 644. As a direct, proximate, and reasonably anticipated result of Defendant The Patriot's actions and omissions in substantial assistance to RICO Ringleader John Crosby's conversions and breaches of fiduciary duties, the Tribe was harmed in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 645. The Patriot acted willfully, maliciously, and with fraud and oppression in taking such actions and making such omissions.

WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below.

### **Twenty-Fifth Claim for Relief** 1 2 (Restitution) (Against all Defendants) 3 4 The Tribe incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in the previous 646. 5 paragraphs as though fully set forth herein. 647. Defendants have received benefits at the expense of the Tribe. 6 7 648. It would be unjust for the Defendants to retain these benefits. 8 649. The Tribe is entitled to the disgorgement of these benefits by Defendants in an 9 amount to be determined at trial. 10 WHEREFORE, the Tribe prays for judgment as set forth below. 11 **DEMAND FOR JUDGMENT** WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court: 12 13 1. Award Plaintiffs such preliminary injunctive and ancillary relief as may be 14 necessary to avert the likelihood of Plaintiffs' irreparable injury during the pendency of this 15 action and to preserve the possibility of effective final relief, including, but not limited to, a 16 temporary restraining order, a preliminary injunction, an order freezing assets of the RICO 17 Defendants, writs of possession and/or attachment; 2 18 Award such equitable relief as necessary and available to redress the injury to 19 Plaintiffs, including, but not limited to: 20 A declaration that the Fraudulent Employment Contracts are null and a. 21 void. 22 b. A declaration that the Tribe is the lawful owner of any and all property, 23 real or personal, tangible or intangible, purchased using money converted from the Tribe, 24 including without limitation: i. 25 The Deer Hollow Property;

2728

26

ii

Ringleaders John Crosby and Larry Lohse; and

Ownership interests in the CRP Entities purchased by RICO

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 169 of 171

1	iii. Various vehicles, including without limitation a 2014 Mercedes, a
2	Shelby Mustang, a Camaro ZL1, a Boss 302 Mustang, and several luxury SUVs and pickup
3	trucks.
4	c. A declaration that any purported encumbrance of any property purchased
5	with money converted from the Tribe is null and void, including without limitation any security
6	interest claimed in the Deer Hollow Property.
7	d. A declaration that any purported transfer of any interest in any Tribe-
8	Owned Business to any RICO Defendant, and any purported contract that purports to effect
9	such transfer, is null and void.
10	e. A declaration that any purported contract that purports to obligate the
11	Tribe or any Tribe-Owned Business to provide any RICO Defendant any thing of value is null
12	and void.
13	f. Any other necessary declaration.
14	g. Rescission or reformation of any contract not otherwise declared null and
15	void, as necessary.
16	h. An accounting of Defendants' ill-gotten gains.
17	i. Disgorgement of Defendants' ill-gotten gains.
18	j. Imposition of a constructive trust upon Defendants' ill-gotten gains.
19	k. Restitution to Plaintiffs of all benefits unjustly acquired by Defendants at
20	the Plaintiffs' expense.
21	l. An injunction permanently enjoining the RICO Defendants from, or
22	attempting to, access, damage, or destroy any computer, computer system, or electronically
23	stored data of the Tribe or any Tribe-Owned Business.
24	m. An injunction requiring the Defendants return to the Tribe any records,
25	documents, and/or data of the Tribe in their possession, custody, or control, whether in paper or
26	electronic form.
27	n. An injunction requiring the Defendants return to the Tribe any other
28	property of the Tribe in their possession, custody, or control.

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 170 of 171 3. Award Plaintiffs compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, 1 2 4. Award Plaintiffs treble, multiple, punitive, and/or other exemplary damages, in 3 an amount to be determined at trial, 4 5. Award Plaintiffs prejudgment and post-judgment interest; 6. Award Plaintiffs their costs of suit, including reasonable attorneys' fees as 5 provided by law; 6 7 7. And any other relief the Court, at its discretion finds necessary and/or 8 appropriate. 9 10 Respectfully submitted, 11 Dated: March 10, 2015 **GROSS LAW, P.C.** 12 /s/ Stuart G. Gross 13 By: STUART G. GROSS 14 15 JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM, INC. 16 17 /s/ Andrew M. Purdy By: ANDREW M. PURDY 18 19 Attorneys for Plaintiff the Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

### Case 2:15-at-00324 Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 171 of 171 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** Plaintiffs hereby demand a jury trial as provided by Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Respectfully submitted, Dated: March 10, 2015 **GROSS LAW, P.C.** By: /s/ Stuart G. Gross STUART G. GROSS JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM, INC. By: /s/ Andrew M. Purdy ANDREW M. PURDY Attorneys for Plaintiff the Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians

### **EXHIBIT A**

# We spent 38.5 Million to build the Casino... remember this article?

olling Hills: Where'd the \$38.5 million go?



### **EXHIBIT B**





























